

ECCLESIASTES / A NEW TRANSLATION WITH A COMMENTARY ANTHOLOGIZED FROM TALMUDIC, MIDRASHIC AND RABBINIC SOURCES.



ב בריך כבב סבה כתולה הכנון בי ביבולה הביב הכון בי הבון בי הבילה הביב הכון בי המנום וונה בי הביל התבון בי המנום וונה בי הביל התבון בי המנום וונה בי הביל התבון ביבול ביבול התבון ביבול ביבול התבון ביבול ביב



The ArtScroll Tanach Series®

For the first time, the Holy Scriptures are being made available to the English-speaking public in all their richness and depth, in consonance with the insights and understanding transmitted through over three millennia of Torah tradition.

The series is a trailblazing project, never before attempted in English. Lucidly, literately, it offers:

- •§ Original translations, faithful to the insights of the Talmud and the interpretations of the classic commentators.
- ◆§ An anthologized commentary spanning 20 centuries of Talmudic, Midrashic, and Rabbinic thought.
- An overview of the Hashkafah (philosophic) perspective of each book.
- ◆§ A bibliography of sources including a biographical sketch of each cited commentator.

This series has set a new standard of aspiration and excellence in English language Torah commentary.

Available at better Hebrew bookstores or directly from the publisher. Please write for current brochure.

Published by



1969 Coney Island Avenue / Brooklyn, New York 11223

ArtScroll Tanach Series*

A traditional commentary on the Books of the Bible

Rabbis Nosson Scherman/Meir Zlotowitz

General Editors

Koheles

ECCLESIASTES / A NEW TRANSLATION WITH A COMMENTARY ANTHOLOGISED FROM TALMUDIC, MIDRASHIC AND RABBINIC SOURCES.

Published by

Mesorah Publications, ltd

Translated and compiled by Rabbi Meir Zlotowitz

'An Overview/Eternity and Futility' by Rabbi Nosson Scherman

FIRST EDITION

First Impression ... September, 1976 Second Impression ... December, 1976

SECOND EDITION

Revised and Corrected
First Impression . . . April, 1977
Second Impression . . . January, 1978
Third Impression . . . June, 1979
Fourth Impression . . . June, 1983
Fifth Impression June, 1985
Sixth Impression . . . August, 1986
Seventh Impression . . . February, 1988

Published and Distributed by MESORAH PUBLICATIONS, Ltd. Brooklyn, New York 11223

Distributed in Israel by MESORAH MAFITZIM / J. GROSSMAN Rechov Harav Uziel 117 Ierusalem. Israel Distributed in Europe by J. LEHMANN HEBREW BOOKSELLERS 20 Cambridge Terrace Gateshead TYNE AND WEAR England NE8 1RP

THE ARTSCROLL TANACH SERIES®

KOHELES / ECCLESIASTES
© Copyright 1976, 1977, 1978, 1979, 1988
by MESORAH PUBLICATIONS, Ltd.

1969 Coney Island Avenue / Brooklyn, N.Y. 11223 / (718) 339-1700

All rights reserved. This text, the new translation, continentary, and prefatory comments
— including the typographic layout, illustrations, charts, appendices, and cover design —
have been edited and revised as to content, form and style
and are fully protected against copyright infringement.

No part of this book may be reproduced **in any form**— **including photocopying and retrieval systems** —
without **written** permission from the copyright holder,
except by a reviewer who wishes to quote brief passages in connection with a review
written for inclusion in magazines or newspapers.

THE RIGHTS OF THE COPYRIGHT HOLDER WILL BE STRICTLY ENFORCED. ISBN THE FIVE M

KOHELES / ECCLESIASTES 0-89906-006-4 (hard cover) 0-89906-007-2 (paperback) THE FIVE MEGILLOS
(set of five volumes)
0-89906-010-2 (hard cover)
0-89906-011-0 (paperback)

Typography by CompuScribe at ArtScroll Studios, Ltd. 1969 Coney Island Avenue / Brooklyn, N.Y. 11223 / (718) 339-1700

Printed in the United States of America by Moriah Offset Bound by Sefercraft, Quality Bookbinders, Ltd. Brooklyn, N.Y. לזכר נשמת מסח ב"ר יעקב יצחק נובצקי ע"ה שנפטר ביום ב"לחג הסוכות תשל"ו אהוב ונעים בחייו פועל צדק והולר תמים תומר תורה ועושה חסד דורש טוב לעמו ולמשפחתו שמח בחלקו ונכבד על הבריות זכה לראות בנים ובני בנים עוסקים בתורה ובמצוות לפני שנלקח מאתנו במיטב שנותיו

הטכמת הגאון האמיתי שר התורה ועמוד ההוראה מורנו ורבנו מרן ר' משה פיינשטיין שליט"א

RABBI MOSES FEINSTEIN 495 F. D. R. DRIVE NEW YORK, N. Y. 10002 OREGON 7-1222

משח פיינשטיין ר"מתפארתירושלים מואיארם

בע"מ

ور المراجع ال

הנה ידידי הרב הנבבר מאד מוהר״ר מאיר יעקב כן ירירי הרב הנאון ד" אהרן ולאטאוויץ שליט״א אשר היה מתלמידינו החשובים בהישיבה ובל העת מתנהג בכל הענינים בראוי לכני תורה ויראי השו״ת, כבר כתב שלשה ספרים חשובים אחר על מגילת אסתר ואחר על מגילת רות ואחר על מגילת איכה, בשפה האנגלית המרוברת ביותר במדינה זו. אשר קבץ רבדים יקרים ופנינים נחמרים מספרי דבותינו נ״ע, אשר הם מעוררים לאהבת התורה וקיום המצוות וחווק האמונה בהשי״ת, ונתקבלו אצל ההמון עם ההולכים בדרך התורה. ויש מזה תועלת לקרב לב הקוראים, אף מאלו שנתרחקו קצח, לאבינו שבשמים ולקיים מצותיו. ועתה בתב ספר בזה גם על מגילת קהלת, וככר ראה אותו בני הרה״נ ד"רור שליט״א ושבחו מאר. אשר על כן רבר טוב הוא מה שמרפיסו ומוציאו לאור עולם להנדיל אהבת השי״ת ותורתו הקרושה ואמונתו בגאולה שהובטח לנו מהשי״ת ע״י משיח צרקנו בקרוב.

וגם אני מברך את ירידי הנכבר מאר מוהר״ר נתן שערמאן שליט״א אשר הוסיף נופך לבאר תוכן כל מגילה ומגילה במקצח לפי רברי חז״ל בפתיחת הספר כרברים המושבין את הלב לתורה ויראת השי״ת שיצליח מאר כבל מעשיו בפרט כעכורת החינוך אשר עוסק כזה כבל כחו לקרש שם שמים. וע״ז באתי על החתום כעש״ק לסרר והיה מחניך קדוש ח׳ אלול תשל״ו.

גאום משה פיינשטיין

Haskamah (viii)

מכתב ברכה מאאמו״ר הרב הגאון ר׳ אהרן זלאטאוויץ שליט״א

בעמשיים

הדב אהרן ולאטאוויץ Rabbi Aron Jlotowitg

CONGERGATION ETT EMAIN AMENET LUENS
ENECUTIVE DIRECTOR BOARD OF DRYHODOX GABBIS OF BROUNLYN

MEMORAGE 1634 EAST 9 STREET INCOKLYN, M.Y. 1123G (212) 282-9188

درام الملحد وا در کاح به بارار دور ال

المراب ، فرالا و و المراب الموادة و و الما الموادة و ال

Table of Contents

Haskamah/Hagaon Rav Moshe Feinstein	viii
Approbation/Harav Aron Zlotowitz	ix
Preface	x i
Introduction/Rabbi Nosson Scherman Overview/Eternity and Futility	xviii
Megillas Koheles/Ecclesiastes	
Chapter I	50
Chapter II	66
Chapter III	82
Chapter IV	96
Chapter V	108
Chapter VI	120
Chapter VII	128
Chapter VIII	146
ChapterIX	156
Chapter X	172
Chapter XI	182
Chapter XII	192
Bibliography/Biographical Sketches	205

Preface

With the Book of Koheles the ArtScroll Tanach Series offers its fourth publication to the Jewish public, תעוהשניים. It is done with a sense of gratitude and responsibility: gratitude because of the overwhelming response of the reading public to the books of Esther, Ruth, and Eichah; and responsibility because we are more keenly aware than ever of the need for English-language Torah translation and commentary that is completely faithful to Masorah.

The Book of Koheles was surely the most difficult and probably the most important of the books published thus far. Unlike most books of the Scriptures, a general, superficial understanding of Koheles cannot be attained by reading it in translation. And study of it with one or two basic commentaries — the sort of study to which the typical student is limited — is far less adequate than it would be with other books. The difficulty encountered in writing this new translation and anthology of commentaries can easily be understood by even the casual reader — he need only attempt a thorough study of several verses of Koheles.

The extent to which the available English-language material on Koheles ignores Chazal and rabbinic commentary is both shocking and distressing. Even in simple translation, most of the available work is so unfaithful to Masorah that it is unworthy of use by observant Jews. And the generally accepted picture of King Solomon — wisest of men and one of the holiest — is disgraceful and degrading.

It is instructive and important to point out a few instances — only two out of scores — where the typical reader at the mercy of the general literature is totally misled. The third verse of Koheles, "What profit does man have for all his labor which he toils beneath the sun," is generally interpreted as a decadent declaration that everything is futile. But the Talmud cites it as proof that Koheles is completely "words of Torah." "Rejoice young man, in your childhood, let your heart cheer you in the days of your youth" (11:9) is rendered by English commentators as a call to young people to rejoice and enjoy the pleasures of this world while they are physically able, but the authentic Torah commentators see it as a challenge to repent. The need, then, is obvious and becomes plainer the more one attempts an independent study of the paucity of acceptable English-language material.

In the prefaces to earlier works, we said that the purpose of the ArtScroll Tanach Series וֹל לְּחָחֵיִר עָטֶרָה לִיוּשׁנָה, to restore the crown of

valid Torah interpretation to its true bearers. This goal is even more urgent in the case of Koheles. To whatever extent it has been achieved, a major service has been rendered to the Torah public.

SCOPE OF THE TRANSLATION AND COMMENTARY

The commentary is meant to appeal to a large cross section of people—from the early-teenage day school student to the Hebrew teacher; from the college student with a limited Hebrew background to the Kollel scholar who has neither access to all the sources in their original nor the time to investigate them individually. A serious attempt has therefore been made to bridge the very wide gap and fill the very unique individual needs of each reader.

The translation and commentary posed unique problems for two reasons. First, in the original Hebrew, it is often ambiguous, even incomprehensible, without commentary. Second, the division of the book into chapters (a non-Jewish division as pointed out in the prefatory remarks to Chapter 2) is often in direct opposition to the trend of the ideas as perceived by the commentaries.

As with the previously published books, we began with a new, free-flowing translation of the text — not always literal, but faithful to Rabbinic interpretation. Designed to be as readable as possible, the translation removed many of the "surface difficulties" dealt with by the Midrash, Rashi, and Ibn Ezza by incorporating their interpretations into the translation. At the same time, we felt that in certain cases the ambiguity of the original Hebrew should be preserved and reflected in the translation in order to convey the richness and complexity of Koheles' language.

The Talmud and Midrashim were consulted for selections concerned with טַשְּׁם, the simple intended meaning of the text, which could meaningfully be incorporated into an English-language commentary.

Next, the classic commentators, Rashi, Ibn Ezra, and Alshich, as well as the major commentaries: Rav Saadiah Gaon; Akeidas Yitzchak by Rav Yitzchak Arama; Nachal Eshkol by the Chidah; Metzudas David, Metzudas Zion by Rav Yechiel Altschuller; Taalumos Chachmah by The Lissa Gaon; Kol Yaakov by the Dubno Maagid, and the commentaries of Sforno, Rav Almosnino, Ibn Yachya, and Ibn Latif.

Because Koheles provided such a rich vein of thought for such ethical and philosophical masters as Rabbeinu Yonah (Shaarei Teshuvah), Rav Saadiah Gaon (Emunos V'De'os), Rav Moshe Chaim Luzatto (Mesillas Yesharim), and Rav S.R. Hirsch (Horeb), we have culled these classics for elucidations of the concepts reflected in Kohele and sprinkled them through the commentary as footnotes. Enlightening selections from the Talmud and Midrash were included in the footnotes as well.

HASHEM's NAME

Wherever the Hebrew Four Letter Name of God appears, it is translated: "HASHEM," i.e. 'THE Name' — the Holy Name of God. Where the Hebrew has Elokim, the more general and less 'personal' Name of the Diety — it is translated 'God.' Although the name of the Creator is generally written 'G-d' and not spelled out in its entirety, this Book is a portion of the Holy Scriptures and the full Four Letter Name of HASHEM appears in the Hebrew; it would have been ludicrous to abbreviate the spelling of the Name in English. אָרֶץ יִשְׂרָאל, was translated Eretz Yisrael (Land of Israel). Where the word 'Israel' is found, it refers to the Jewish people in general, not always specifically in distinction with the Tribes of Judah.

A cross between the Sephardi and Ashkenazi transliteration of Hebrew words was used: Ashkenazi consonants, so to speak, with Sephardi vowels. Thus: Akeidas Yitzchak, not Akeidas Yitzchok; Koheles not Kohelet, etc. Proper names from Scripture that have become generally accepted have been retained. Thus: Solomon, David, Jerusalem, Moses, Judah, Isaac were retained and not changed to conform to our method of transliteration. However, when these names appear in Talmudic or Midrashic citations we have conformed to our method by using: Yitzchak, Yehudah, Yaakov, etc. Although there are several inconsistencies, the style has generally been held throughout the work

SEVERAL WORDS OF CAUTION

There is a conflict of conscience in any work of this nature. On the one hand, there is a crying need for Torah publications in English. On the other hand, there are those equipped to study the original sources who will use the ARTSCROLL TANACH SERIES as a means to acquire instant expertise. Neither the translation nor the commentary is meant to serve as a substitute for concentrated study of the original sources. Torah scholars who make use of this book are urged to do so as an aid to more intensive learning rather than as a substitute for it.

It should be understood that many hundreds of pages of commentary are abridged and anthologized in this slim volume. Clearly, only highlights could be culled from the sources quoted. In the majority of cases, study of the full commentary in the original will yield much more than could be quoted in a work of this nature. Too, such study may lead the reader to different interpretations of the same commentary. This is natural and desirable, for the Torah greats of previous generations compared to us were, in the expression of the Sages, 'like angels compared to men or like men compared to donkeys.'

Far be it from the authors of the Overview and Commentary to pretend that they have done more than scratch the surface of the holy thoughts of the Torah giants.

The reader will note that the various commentaries often appear to be mutually exclusive. They are not; they complement each other just as each of the many facets of a diamond plays a part in enhancing the brilliance of a precious stone. As the Talmud says:

The school of Rabbi Ishmael taught, '[The word of God is] like a hammer that breaks a rock in pieces' (Jeremiah 23:29). Just as a hammer [striking a rock] divides into many sparks, so, too, every word emanating from the mouth of the Holy One, blessed be He, divides into seventy interpretations (Shabbos 88b).

Every word of Torah has within it many meanings, all of them true even though they may point in different directions. Just as the myriad sparks coming from the clang of hammer against rock all come from the same source, so, too, do many varying interpretations lie within every word of Torah.

In Koheles the Sages found words of Torah and fear of God from beginning to end. It is in the Holy Scriptures because all generations must benefit from it. We can never hope to do justice to the Godly words emanating from the wisest of men. But we hope and pray that we have succeeded in transmitting some precious kernels of its abundance.

Rabbi Nosson Scherman / Meir Zlotowitz

Acknowledgements

The duty is again pleasantly mine to express my deepest feelings of gratitude to those who did not allow me to stumble over my own ignorance, and who have graciously given of their time to encourage, inspire, read and comment upon this work in its various stages:

My father HARAV HAGAON ARON ZLOTOWITZ שליט", who has given of his paternal guidance and phenomenal storehouse of Torah-scholarship. I have discussed several of the selections with him before insertion, and I have benefited greatly from his sagely comments. May he and my dear mother be blessed with longevity and תַחַח from their children and grand-children:

l am indeed grateful to again have been privileged to be given free access to a group of אַנְשִׁי־אַשְׁכּלוֹת, the most scholarly and gifted personalities on the American Torah scene: HARAV DAVID FEINSTEIN, a human treasury of Torah knowledge and insight dedicated much of his precious time — as did my good friend HARAV DAVID COHEN, to whose scholarship and phenomenal storehouse of learning I owe much for the impetus of this project — to a meticulous and detailed critical reading and analysis of the manuscript in its evolving stages as it went from research to press. HARAV JOSEPH ELIAS, too, has read portions of the manuscript and made most incisive comments. Each of the three displayed his warm concern and friendship by taking time from his harried schedule to make the most profound and stimulating suggestions, noting discrepencies, and guiding me to sources and interpretations. Their mark has been left on the book, but since they have left final editorial discretion to me, they are absolved from any share of responsibility for the final product; I bear that responsibility alone.

We are especially grateful to the Telshe Rosh haYeshivah, HAGAON HARAV MORDECHAI GIFTER who very graciously granted us time to discuss many aspects of this undertaking. His warm encouragement and astute guidance were most valuable and immeasurably appreciated.

We are indebted to HARAV MOSHE EISENMANN, Mashgiach of Yeshivas Ner Israel. Upon hearing that the work was in progress, he sent us his original Hebrew manuscript on Koheles with complete freedom to use any, all, or none of it. It is a work of rare breadth and depth, and it added immeasurably to the Overview.

The family of the late PETER NOVETSKY ", with a profound dedication to Torah, and understanding for the need of this Series, saw in it a way to memorialize the name of a man to whom Torah was precious. They helped significantly to make this publication possible.

Our admiration and gratitude go to RABBI YAAKOV MARCUS, spiritual leader of the Young Israel of Staten Island for his great interest in this project. He has unselfishly assumed a leadership role in its dissemination.

I must likewise express my appreciation to the group of long-time friends who have read the manuscript, offered suggestions, and in general encouraged me to undertake this work: MR. DAVID H. SCHWARTZ, RABBI BORUCH B. BORCHARDT, RABBI ELI MUNK, RABBI NISSON WOLPIN, RABBI YOSEF WEINBAUM, and my brothers-in-law, RABBI SOLOMON T. REICHEL, and RABBI JACOB KIFFEL. The finished product is the best testimony to their sincere and productive contribution.

The efforts of REB ZUNDEL BERMAN in disseminating this volume to the B'nai Yeshivos for whom it is primarily intended, is again acknowledged.

A special note of thanks is due my long-time friend RABBI AVIE GOLD

who undertook to meticulously proofread and check the source references in this volume. Additionally, he made valuable comments, many of which were incorporated into the final text. He has tried to assure a nearly error-free publication לְשֶׁם וּלְחֶבֶּאָרָת. The several errors which remain are due to technical reasons beyond his control.

MRS. JUDY GROSSMAN, too, has given of her personal time to proofread the galleys and help ensure the accuracy of the printed page. She has my gratitude.

My sister, MRS. SHIRLEY KIFFEL, and MISS PEARL EINHORN have responded with selfless devotion to this project. They have graciously given much of their own time to prepare the manuscript for the computer. That the volume was published in time for Yom Tov is due in great measure to their efforts;

My good friend REB SHEAH BRANDER has again risen to the need and given of his mastery of graphics and esthetic beauty to produce a visually excellent work. Were it not for Sheah's devotion, the final product would not have attained its high level of graphic excellence. Words to not adequately express my appreciation for his constant dedication.

In closing, I would again like to share with the readers my profound recognition that the ARTSCROLL TANACH SERIES would not have achieved its widespread acceptance were it not for the involvement of my יְרִיר HARAV NOSSON SCHERMAN, who continues to distinguish himself as a master of eloquence and clarity, presenting the most abstract Torah concepts in a manner that inspires every level of readership. By enlightening me on methodology of translating obscure phrases and concepts, he has lent the manuscript the benefit of his erudition sensitivity and flowing style;

It is not redundant to stress that my deepest appreciation goes to my wife חינבים, RACHEL, for her constant good cheer, guidance, and astute insights. The work could not have proceeded at such a pace — amidst the duties of גְּדְוֹלְ־בְּנִים, domestic responsibilities — were it not for her constant encouragement. She has created an atmosphere conducive for Torah-study and turned our home into a בִּיח וַעָּד לַחֲבָמִים, a forum for Torah scholars. May her sincere efforts for Harbatzas Torah be amply rewarded: may our children dwell in the 'tent of Torah'

I humbly thank the רבשייע that He has given me the inspiration to compile this Book and that my attempts to contribute to the store of Torah knowledge have been enthusiactically received. May we merit to continue this work הלהגריל תורה ולהארירה.

Meir Zlotowitz

Brooklyn, New York, Rosh Chodesh Elul, 5736

An Overview -

Eternity and Futility

An Overview — Eternity and Futility

אמר ר' יהודה אמר רב ... אמר אברהם לפני הקב"ה ... נסתכלתי באצטגנינות שלי ואיני ראוי להוליד בן. אמר ליה צא מאצטגנינות שלך שאין מזל לישראל

Rabbi Judah said in the name of Rav, Abraham said before the Holy One blessed be He, I have studied closely with my astrology [my knowledge of natural law] and I am incapable of giving birth to a son. [God] said to him, leave your astrology. Israel has no [dependence on] constellations (Shabbos 156a).

מַה יִתְרוֹן לָאָרָם בְּכָל עֲמָלוֹ שְיַצֵּמֹל תַּחַת הַשָּמֶש. ואמרו דבי רי ינאי תחת השמש הוא דאין לו. קודם השמש יש לו.

What profit does man have for all his labor which he toils beneath the sun? (Koheles 1:3). And the academy of Rabbi Yannai said, Only [in striving for benefit in what is] under the sun [is there] no gain, [but in striving for Torah which] preceded the sun, there is [benefit] (Shabbos 30b).

I. The Greatest Gift

When Solomon became King of Israel, God came to him in a dream and said: יְשְאַל מָה אֶתֶן לָך, 'Ask! What shall I give you?' (I Kings 3:5).

The young king answered,
וְנָתַתָּ לְעַבְּדְּךְּ לֵב שֹמֵע לִשְפּט אֶת עַמְּךְ לְהָבִין בִּין
טוב לְרָע בִי מִי יוּבַל לִשְפּט אֶת עַמְּךְ הַבְּבֵּר הַזֶּה.
'Give your servant an understanding heart
to judge your people, to discern between

good and evil, for who is able to judge this your difficult people?' (Ibid 9).

The Midrash likens Solomon's request to that of a royal adviser. The king wished to reward his confidante and asked him to choose whatever he would like. What should he choose?

'If I ask for gold and silver, the king will give it to me. If I ask for beautiful garments, the king will give them to me. If I ask for a luxurious palace, the king will give it to me. I will ask for one thing more precious than any of these. One thing. which will assure me of gold, silver, clothing, a palace - everything the king has to offer!'

Whereupon the servant asked for the hand of the princess in marriage.

So, concludes the Midrash, did Solomon. Rather than ask for wealth. power, or glory, he asked for one thing more precious than any of them, one thing that included them all. He asked for wisdom, for he who has wisdom gains everything (Midrash Koheles Rabba).

his is a Midrash that cannot be understood L superficially. For, even if it were possible for an adviser to deceive his king. Solomon could not deceive God. Can we believe that his plea for wisdom was nothing more than a ploy to gain all heavenly gifts with one clever request? Or that God could praise him for ignoring all the earth's bounty in favor of wisdom when, in truth, he sought wisdom merely as a device to gain other benefits.?

No. Solomon's request revealed profound wisdom, not skillful negotiating skills. At the age of twelve, when he assumed the throne, he already already knew that knew that "possible" and "impossible" are syn"possible" and
"impossible" are onyms for human blindness. He knew that the world is God's stage and that all the phenomena of nature are but tools to enact or disguise His will. He knew

At the age of twelve, when he assumed the throne, he synonyms for human blindness.

that earthly goods - gold, silver, clothing, success can always be provided if they suit God's will, if only man would open his eyes to see them.

When Eyes Open

An instance of what man can see if he is willing to look with spiritual eyes is found in the Torah, Hagar, an Egyptian princess, became the second wife of Abraham when his marriage to Sarah proved barren after many years. She gave birth to Ishmael, but after the birth of Isaac to Abraham and Sarah, Ishmael became a physical and moral danger to the young heir. Hagar and Ishmael were forced to leave the home of Abraham. Ishmael became feverish in the desert and was close to death. His distraught mother moved off into the distance to avoid watching him die. Then, a miracle happened.

> וַיָּפָקָח אֱלֹקִים אָת עִינֵיהַ וַתַּרָא בָּאֵר מַיִם And God opened her eyes and she saw a well of water (Genesis 21:19).

Note the verse closely. It does not say that a well was miraculously created; it says that Hagar's eyes were "opened"! But her eves weren't closed. She was alone in the midst of desolation, desperately searching for water to save her son's life. Why hadn't she seen the well earlier? It was there, but she hadn't seen it because her flesh-and-blood eyes and cause-and-effect mind could focus only on the "fact" that it was "impossible" for water to flow in that burning, parched desert. When God opened her eyes, she saw more than a well, she saw the source of all wells. She saw behind the veils of nature: indeed. she saw that nature itself is a veil concealing the omnipotence — even the existence — of God. Anything The same God who can happen. The same God who commands oil to burn can command vinegar to burn (Taanis 25), and vinegar to burn the same God who made the desert can transform it same God who made into a Garden of Eden.

commands oil to burn can command (Taanis 25), and the the desert can

transform it into a

Let us not forget that when the Midrash gives us a Garden of Eden. parable of a king's adviser seeking to marry a princess, it seeks to illuminate the behavior of Solomon, one of history's greatest figures. We live in a world where all-powerful kings in the ancient sense hardly exist; where masters, lords and rulers in almost all lands are fair game for criticism and ridicule. The king in the Midrashic parable is the sort of ruler whom few if any of us have ever seen, the sort of ruler who is an earthly symbol of God Himself להבדיל. Life and death are in his hands and he is accountable to no one because everyone lives by his pleasure. Only in fairy tales do commoners believe that that sort of request could even be considered, much less honored. What could the commoner expect who dares tempt the wrath of the king by asking him for the princess - dismissal? imprisonment? execution?

The True Request

Yet the royal adviser surely no fool, did make the request. Certainly not because wealth and honor were important to him - those could have been his without taking so dangerous a course. He asked for the princess because he wanted her so much that everything became insignificant by comparison; so much that he was willing to risk everything if he could not have her.

This is the parallel to Solomon.

Solomon knew that the sort of wisdom he sought, the ultimate depth of Torah knowledge, was beyond human power to attain. How dare he even make the request! Logically he should have contented himself with the normal wishes of kings - wealth, peace, glory, triumph. He didn't because they were meaningless to him, they were of no value in the eyes of a Solomon if they were unaccompanied by wisdom. And, indeed, the wisdom which finally became his cherished heavenly gift became the proof that he had chosen well. After becoming the wisest of men and amassing a lifetime of learning and experience, Solomon said הבל הבלים Futility of futilities! (Koheles 1:2) He knew when he became king that only Torah wisdom mattered; at the end of his days he would know it all the more. So Solomon temporal world. disclaimed all the gifts of the temporal world. Instead

Solomon disclaimed all the gifts of the

to wells, and hearts

he asked for the gift that was beyond attainment because a young man who grew up in the house of God can open eyes King David knew what Hagar had learned. God can to wisdom, open eyes to wells, and hearts to wisdom. If Solomon could have wisdom, he would have all the gold and glory he needed and more. Without wisdom, they would be fool's gold and empty vanity. (Sfas Emes).

An Understanding

The way he phrased his request reveals even more. He asked for לב שומע, a listening, heedful, understanding heart. The person who desires nothing Heart more than to listen to, to heed, and then to understand God declares that he dedicates his entire essence to Someone outside of himself. His entire being is dedicated only to the service of God. It is this desire that stamped Solomon as the master of wisdom.

> For a human being can be described as the master of anything only if it is completely under his control. The leader who must tailor his every policy to suit public opinion or the satraps of his party, is truly their creature, not their leader. The businessman who always neglects himself and his family in favor of his business is truly its servant, not its owner. The human being whose life is always ordered according to the lusts and rationalizations of his heart, is a slave of his impulses and wisdom, not their possessor. Solomon wanted a heedful heart, a heart that was forever ready to listen and understand what its Creator demanded of it. Because it was that sort of wisdom that Solomon craved, because it was a wisdom always placed in the service of God, and because it was a wisdom that Solomon wanted to serve as his compass on the path of divine service, it was his wisdom (Ibid).

II Solomon's Mission

Whose Every person has his unique mission on earth, and his tests and challenges are determined by his Test? mission. This is seen most strikingly, of course, in the lives of the Patriarchs, but it is true, though to a much smaller extent, of every Jew.

A pivotal event in Jewish history was Akeidas Yitzchok, the Binding of Isaac upon an altar when Abraham was commanded to bring his beloved, only son as an offering to God (Genesis 22). Our prayers are filled with references to this awesome event. The courage and self-sacrifice of both father and son are inspirations and sources of merit for Iews in all generations. Abraham was bringing the offering, and Isaac was voluntarily mounting the altar prepared to give his life should that prove to be the Divine Will. Yet strangely enough, the Talmud and Midrash refer Surely, the aged to it only as a test of Abraham. Surely, the aged father was being tested, but why should it not be regarded as a test of Isaac as well?

father was being tested, but why should it not be regarded as a test of Isaac as well?

To this question, the Kotzker Rebbe answered, "It is not called Isaac's test because the Torah says of the Akeidah והאלקים נסה את אברהם, and God tested Ahraham.

The answer seems even harder to understand than the question. Of course, the Torah calls it Abraham's test, but that is exactly what we don't understand!

Character

Mastery of The Kotzker is right. The same event can be a test for one person but not for another. Abraham's overriding characteristic was kindness. Through kindness he won converts to his belief in the One God. Even on the third day following his circumcision, when he was in great pain, he sat in the blazing sun hoping he could spy wayfarers to invite in and serve personally.

> Because he elevated mercy and generosity into a lofty means of serving God, his kindness was tested. Was he generous merely because it was his nature, or

because it was his way of serving God? What if his kind nature came in conflict with the requirements to obey God's command — what would he do then? Could he even be cruel if that was what God wanted of him?

Our Sages teach us that God is never unfair with his creatures. People are not tested with challenges beyond their capacity to endure. Abraham was great enough to master every test, therefore he was given the opportunity to surmount them all to gain merit for himself and set eternal patterns of behavior for his descendants. And all of his tests involved either cruelty or the sort of behavior that would put a distance between him and others. Could any of us send a woman with a deliriously feverish child into a burning desert without even water? Could we do it to a total stranger, much less our own wife and child? Yet Abraham was asked to dispatch his concubine. Hagar, with his sick son, Ishmael, on short notice without provisions. That divine intervention would save Ishmael's life was not known to Abraham beforehand. That was his test - would the kindest of mortals bring himself to perform an act of apparent callousness because such was the will of God?

That was his test would the kindest of mortals bring himself to perform an act of apparent callousness because such was the will of God?

Akeidas Yitzchok was a similar test of Abraham's ability to triumph over his own nature. For a hundred years he had waited for Isaac, a son born to Sarah, a worthy scion of Abraham's ideals and mission. Now he was asked to bring him as an offering. More — Abraham had spent his entire adult life preaching that the true God did not want human sacrifice, that the God of Mercy sought to salvage human life, not destroy it. If he were to sacrifice his own beloved son, how could he ever hope to draw people close to God again? His teaching would be ridiculed; his reputation, discredited! (Michtav Me'Eliyahu)

Isaac's temperament was different. He represented uncompromising strength in the service of God. To him it was not difficult to give up his life if need be. For Isaac, unlike Abraham, the call to mount the altar was not a potentially traumatic test. And that is why

the Torah, in introducing Akeidas Yitzchok, says that Abraham was being tested.

Similarly, Jacob whose overriding attribute was truth, was faced with challenges to his ability to remain truthful. He had to deceive Isaac to get the Abrahamitic blessings, match wits and bargains for twenty years with Laban, and outwit Esau on his way back to Canaan. All these skirmishes with falsehood and still remain the master of truth - this was Jacob's test (Ibid).

Father and Son

David and Solomon, father and son, were the greatest kings Israel ever had, but they were very different personalities with very different missions.

David was the king who, true to the example of his forefather Judah, lived not for himself at all. He saw none of his greatness as belonging to himself: everything was at the service of his people. This attribute of unselfish majesty was a legacy of the forefather of the Davidic dynasty, Judah. He established the pattern of complete personal submersion to a mission. The name Judah- יהודה - has within it the Four-Letter Name of God. But it also has the letter 7, dalet, which means poverty. Judah could bear the greatness of his Creator, but, to himself, he was a pauper possessing nothing of his own. Because of this, he was king. (See Overview to ArtScroll edition of Ruth).

His prototype descendant, David, was the same way. He, the individual, did not matter at all. He was a servant of God and the nation. The name of David begins with a 7 dalet symbolizing his humble origins. Then comes the letter 1 vav, a simple straight letter representing his uninterrupted spiritual ascent. But when it was all over and his greatness was acknowledged even by his bitterest enemies, his name concluded with another dalet. David remained a heavenly gift to be a pauper — nothing that he had achieved was his held in safekeeping for the people own; all was a heavenly gift to be held in safekeeping because a king for the people because a king belongs to the people people. (Chidushei HaRim).

David remained a pauper - nothing that he had achieved was his own; all was

Only once in his lifetime do we find David asking for food and drink for himself. When, after David's heartbreaking fasts and prayers, the first offspring of his union with Bathsheba died, he arose from the floor where he had been begging for Heavenly mercy, and asked that his servants bring him a meal. It was his way of showing that he accepted God's בשם שמברר על הטובה כר מברר על :decree הרעדה, just as one blesses for the good, one should also bless for the bad (Brochos 48b) - acknowledging that, whether in our unseeing eyes God's judgment seems to be benevolent or not, we accept it with the realization, if not the understanding, that whatever He does is truly good. With the exception of that celebration of acceptance. David's life was a chain of fasting and self-denial until he could say of himself that he had killed his evil inclination with fasting (Pri Tzaddik).

Solomon's mission was diametrically opposed. He, too, accepted God's will and subjugated his evil inclination. But his way of establishing God's kingdom within himself and Israel was to bring holiness into every aspect of luxurious living. Lavish feasts were everyday occurrences in Solomon's palace. Beauty and ostentation were the rule of the day. The harem held a total of a thousand wives and concubines. But feasts, beauty, and wives did not affect Solomon. It was through them that he proved that holiness can conquer every apparent manifestation of hedonism and it was through his experience with them that he was able to proclaim 'Futility of futilities, all is futility' (Koheles 1:2) (ibid).

But feasts, beauty, and wives did not affect Solomon and it was through his experience with them that he was able to proclaim Futilities, all is futility.

David was a man of war; as he fought his evil inclination, so he fought his enemies. For, in truth, they were both the same. Satan disguises himself in many ways: as Israel's desire and lust for sin, and as foreign enemies seeking to defeat the nation or at least weaken its spirit. As David defeated his inner enemy, his outward enemy, too, fell defeated.

Solomon had no foreign enemies. Nor had he an inner enemy. He had defeated his evil inclination, and because he had, foreign enemies became his sub-

jects. He surrounded his life with luxury — to show that it was meaningless; he seemed immersed in love — only to prove that all love belongs only to God. It is from the lavish feasts of Solomon that the Sages learn עושין סעודה לגמרה של תורה, one makes a feast upon completion of the Torah (Midrash). Solomon's feasts were far from the gastronomical orgies portrayed by misreaders of Scripture; they were celebrations of Torah study, deed, and life.

True, Scripture says:

וַיָהִי לְעֵת זְקָנַת שְלֹמֹה נָשָׁיו הִטוּ אֶת לְבָבוּ אַחֲבִי אֱלֹהִים אֲחַרִים וְלֹא הָיָה לְבָבוּ שָׁלֵם עִם ה׳ אֱלֹקָיו כלבב דויד אביו.

And it came to pass when Solomon was old that his wives turned away his heart after other gods, and his heart was not perfect with HASHEM his God as was the heart of David his father (I Kings 11:4).

Thus, unquestionably Solomon fell short of what he should have been, but as the Talmud says: To say that Solomon sinned is an error; even though Scripture indicates that Solomon was actually guilty of idolatry thanks to the blandishments of his wives, he himself never worshipped idols. However, because he should have prevented his wives from continuing to serve their own foreign gods but did not, he is blamed as if he had done so himself (Shabbos 56b).

So great was Solomon that even an understandable, excusable lapse — the failure in his declining years to rein in wives of foreign birth and upbringing — was considered equivalent to the most grievous of all acts: idol worship. The Talmud continues that Solomon would have gladly served as a groom to swine to prevent those words from appearing in Scripture. Because he was so great, because all of the excess and luxury of his life did not affect his spiritual purity, his small lapses were so unforgivable. Great people must hew to high standards; our greatest people must adhere to standards unimaginably high by our logic. (This concept is dealt with at length in the *Overview* to the ArtScroll edition of *Ruth.*) It is by this measuring rod alone that

Great people must hew to high standards; It is by this measuring rod alone that Solomon fell short Solomon fell short and, therefore, spent his last years in decline. That this was so should not blind us to the awesome proportions of his greatness.

III. The Mission of the Jew

Living and Livelihood

תניא ר׳ שמעון בן אלעזר אומר מימי לא ראיתי צבי קייץ וארי סבל ושועל חנווני, והם מחפרוסים שלא בצער והם לא נבראו אלא לשמשני ואני נבראתי לשמש את קוני ומה אלו שלא נבראו אלא לשמשני מתפרנסיו שלא בצער אני שנבראתי לשמש את קוני אאכו״כ? אלא שהריעותי את מעשי וקפחתי את פרנסתי Rabbi Shimon ben Elazar said, "In all my days I have never seen a deer as a farmer, a lion as a porter, or a fox as a storekeeper. They make their living without suffering. But they were created only to serve me while I was created to serve my Maker. If they, who were created created only to serve me are supported without suffering. than surely I should be, for I was created to serve my Maker. Except that I have corrupted my deeds and thus denied myself on easy livelihood! (Kiddushin 82b)

thinking that life's things and comforts

An was created to live for something higher than the earning of a livelihood — that is the meaning of Rabbi Shimon's plaint. If quickness, That people can be strength, and shrewdness were necessary to earn a debuded into livelihood according to the divine plan, than the goal is the swift deer, powerful lion, and shrewd fox would find accommutation of it necessary to employ their own attributes in hard, rather than the unremitting labor to earn their sustenance. Why is it emassing of wisdom only man who must be preoccupied constantly with and goodness is a finding the means to live? Why man? As Rabbi the evil brilliance of Shimon concludes, it is only because we have corthe rupted our ways that we are forced to toil in less than Inclination human pursuits. That people can be deluded into

thinking that life's goal is the accumulation of things and comforts rather than the amassing of wisdom and goodness is a macabre tribute to the evil brilliance of the יצר הרע, the Evil Inclination.

An Apt Punishment

But there is more. Rabbi Shimon makes it clear that man's battle to earn a livelihood is not a necessity; it is a punishment. It is in itself an example of the Heavenly principle that the punishment must fit the crime, that it ironically resemble the crime. The person who sinned through arrogance will be punished through abject humiliation: the person who sinned through unprincipled greed will be punished with want. If man corrupts his mission by devoting his talents to the race for sustenance; by devoting his wit and guile to outsmarting others for an extra piece of earth's resources, then he is punished by being plunged ever more deeply into the maelstrom of competition for material survival. If the deer, the lion, and the fox are given their sustenance by a merciful Creator, then why not man, the object of creation? True, even the Torah says ואַסְפַתַּ דְגֵנּך, you may

gather in your grain (Deut. 11:14) - the Scriptural injunction that one may work to earn a living - but that is hardly a command that a Jew devote the essence of his being to the economic fray. Rather it is a challenge that the necessary human functions of plowing, planting, and harvesting; of planning, building, and utilizing; of mining, processing, and selling, be sublimated into means toward an infinitely greater end - that of utilizing the earth's riches and man's earnings for the service of God. If man's ambition for material success becomes akin to Solomon's desire for an understanding heart (see Man hallows the above, I), then the gold and silver and glory that are earth which its by-products become holy as well. Man hallows as the Temple the earth which produces them just as the Temple service hallowed the service hallowed the utensils and clothing used in its utensils and clothing used in its performance — all become tools in the service of God performance. (Maharal).

There is a familiar Talmudic saying that man was Fulfilling made last in the Six Days of Creation so that he could His be told one of two things:

Purpose

If he was virtuous and served God as he was intended to, he is told, 'Everything was created for your sake.' But if he sinned he is told. 'Even an insect preceded vou.

Rav Shraga Feivel Mendlowitz, the legendary pioneer of Torah chinuch in America, explained it this way: There are four categories in creation. In ascending order of importance, they are: בומם. inanimate objects like the earth and minerals: vegetation: birds and fish: and man who has the power of speech and thought. During the days of Creation, they were fashioned in that same order. After creating the inanimate part of the universe, God went on to a higher order of existence: Man was intended plant life, and so on. Man was intended to be the

God's work, to add a everything that

to be the climax of climax of God's work, to add a new dimension of new dimension of greatness dwarfing everything that came before, just greatness dwarfing as a growing, reproducing, fruit tree dwarfs even the came before. Rock of Gibraltar in its contribution to Creation. But if man uses his intelligence for nothing more than seeking comfort, providing food, and reproduction. then he is simply aping animal life. He is merely a two-legged animal with more intelligence than an orangutan, just as an orangutan has more intellegence than an insect. All, however, are basically the same - physical, but not spiritual. In that case, God's desire to add to the quality of the universe with each succeeding level of Creation was thwarted by man's surrender to his animal lusts and instincts. If that happens, God says to man, 'An insect preceded you. What have you added to My universe that lower orders of animal life have not already provided?

IV. Light and Darkness

visible.

Ironic ing man to pleasure and self-indulgence are power-Blindness ful. Flesh-and-blood eyes are easily blinded by the synthetic light of tinsel. How ironic that Iews who succumbed to the decadent temptations of secular humanism called their movement Enlightenment while branding the great Torah scholars as champions of Darkness. The words of our Sages are indeed prophetic: 'The Holy One, blessed be He saw that the brilliant light of Creation was too exalted for the wicked people who would emerge in the future. so He took it and hid it for the use of the righteous in the world to come (Chagigah 12a).' That light was Not every man is spiritual. Not every manner of man is capable of seecapable of seeing ing spiritual light; some can look at it and condemn it look at it and as 'the forces of Darkness.' They can then turn condemn it as 'the around and stare at the murkiness of spiritual perversion and applaud the 'Enlightenment.' But life retains value and the potential of holiness only because glimmers of that original light peak through - just as people can see even on the foggiest, cloudiest day because the sun is present, though in-

But it cannot be denied that the earthly allures call-

spiritual light; some forces of Darkness."

> Each immersion in the 'reality' of this world casts another pall over the true light until people can stare right at it and see only darkness - so blinded have their eyes become to anything save superficial materialism. Commentators have written that the עשרה מאמרות, the ten utterances of Creation are parallel to the עשר מכות, the ten plagues that befell Egypt. (see Avos Chapter V). The world had become so cloaked in nature, materialism, and disbelief in the true God that Pharaoh could have the temerity to say מי ה אשר אשמע בקלו, who is this HASHEM that I should hearken to His voice? (Exodus 5:2). As the Midrash explains, Egypt had a directory of gods, but the name of HASHEM did not even appear in it, so forgotten had the Origin of light become. The

belief in strange Emancipation. Liberalism, or Science.

Man has always myopia was not limited to pharaohs in ancient Egypt. been hyptonized by Man has always been hypnotized by belief in strange gods whether they gods whether they were called Baal, Emancipation. were called Baal, Liberalism, or Science.

From the Darkness

The ten plagues had a greater purpose than the punishment of a cruel nation. They were intended to prove that אני ה' בקרב, l am HASHEM in the midst of the land. (Exodus 8:18). Israel, Egypt, and all the world had to see that all their philosophies of purpose in life were nothing but camouflage masking the existence of God and blocking the rays of His spiritual light.

Each plague peeled away one more layer of concealment. Therefore, say the commentators, ten plagues were needed to bring back the realization that God with His ten utterances brought everything into existence and remains the source of all existence. When all ten plagues were done, the realization set in once again that בראשית ברא אלקים את השמים ואת הארץ, In the beginning God created the heavens and earth. (Genesis 1:1).

The ninth plague was Darkness. It brought mankind back to the realization of the second divine utterance: יהי אור let there be light. How remarkable! Egypt was bathed in blackness. While the Egyptians groped about seeing nothing, Scripture says ולכל בני ישראל היה אור במושבתם, and for all the children of Israel there was light in their dwellings' The darkness of (Exodus 10:23). The darkness of Egypt made the Egypt made the Jews Iews see light. The discovery that the greatest, most civilized nation on earth, for all its supposed enlightenment, was truly groping in unrelieved spiritual darkness — that in itself provided a brilliant shaft of light that made Israel know what the true light was. Let there be light, the Creator had said. That brilliance of spirit might be reserved for the righteous of a future day, but Israel glimpsed it then. (Chidushei haRim).

see light.

Solomon says in Koheles (2:13) יתרון הָאוֹר מִן החשף, (light excels darkness). These words tell us that the maximum benefits of light derive from previous darkness — the excellence of light derives from darkness. (Chidushei HaRim, Resisei Layla). A person who has always been bathed in light cannot appreciate how important it is so long as he can take it for granted; it takes an electrical blackout and hours of darkness to make one realize how vital is the light. In a deeper sense, people or nations that have been spiritually deprived and become conscious of the deficiency, move upward with a drive and vitality unequalled by their complacent brethren who have never known spiritual deprivation.

Therefore, במקום שבעלי תשובה עומרין צריקים, גמורים אינם עומריו. In the place where repenters stand, even the perfectly righteous cannot stand (Berachos 34b). The earnest repenter can surpass the righteous, thanks to his remorse over what was, and his determination to make a better future. The greater his remorse and determination, the greater his repentance. Thus the apparent paradox that the greater the sinner, the greater his potential for goodness because, once the urge to repent comes to him, it intensifies with every sin. This explains why, in the case of the most perfect repentance, sins are transformed into merits. Each sin plays a part in increasing the zeal of the repenter and in raising him to higher levels of virtue, therefore the sins themselves become part of his greatness (Michtav Me'Eliyahu).

Jewish history is filled with the darkness-to-light cycle. Before the giving of the Torah on Mount Sinai, there was the blackness of Egyptian exile and slavery. The impetus for the construction of the Holy Temple was the Philistine destruction of the Mishkan which was itself built after the sin of the Golden Calf. Again, light emanated from darkness as the Mishkan became an indirect product of the Golden Calf.

Source of Greatness is not a product born of complacency; it is forged from the tension of a struggle to emerge Greatness from darkness and create light. Solomon's life's work

was to wallow in darkness that masqueraded as light: to indulge in comfort, pleasure, and luxury; to reign in peace and security — and still to recognize that the true light was spiritual, that great accomplishment was in the mind, heart, and soul; not in palaces, stables, and treasuries. He testifies in Koheles 2 that he denied himself virtually nothing. That and the astounding total of his wives paint the picture of what most people have taken to be the prototype of the oriental potentate. But Solomon was as different from that popular stereotype as is light from darkness

Of Solomon's three sacred books - Shir Hashirim. Mishlei, and Koheles — the one whose content seems least sacred is Shir Hashirim. Yet the Midrash savs that all the books of the Scriptures are holy, but that Shir Hashirim is holy of holies. The Sages have their own definition of holy:

בכל מקום שאתה מוצא גדר ערוה ותאוה שם אתה מוצא קרושה. Wherever you find a barrier against immorality and lust, there you find holiness (Midrash).

True holiness is not found in ceremonial robes, rolling eyes, expressions, but in personal chastity and control.

True holiness is not found in ceremonial robes. rolling eyes, and pious expressions, but in personal and pious chastity and control. Shir Hashirim, an allegorical rendering of the love between God and Israel, shows Solomon as a passionate young man: the casual reader easily sees in it the Solomon who married a thousand wives and who indulged every mortal whim. How false a vision! Shir Hashirim is holy of holies! - because its author was a man who was able to descend to the murky depths of physical indulgence and remain complete untouched and unsullied. In lewish belief, there is no such thing as a profane person producing a holy work. A book which is holy of holies can be produced only by a man who is holy of holies - and that holiness, by definition, means abstinence from lust and obsession with pleasure. For Solomon to achieve such holiness while living the sort of life that he did, is the most eloquent testimony to the sort of human being he was - a holy of holies. His love was the antithesis of This World; it was a love that belonged purely to

A book which is holy of holies can be produced only by a man who is holy of holies.

God and it was a paramount instance of the rule that light emerges from darkness. Only a heart that has tasted the extreme of earthly darkness and from there seeks Godliness in its revulsion to the pseudopleasures of animal lust can taste the utmost spiritual delight of the knowledge that, in the truest sense, the delusions of material necessity, reward, and joy are but a mirage, that they have no meaningful existence at all (Resisei Layla).

For this reason, God placed Israel in every type of The situation - including abject oppression. It is instinc-National tive for human beings to flee danger, but God placed Goal Israel in situations of extreme danger from which there was no escape. There was no escape from Egypt and there was no real escape from most of the dangers with which Jewish history has been filled up to this day. The lesson is that God wants us to find our way to Him - and hence to salvation - from the danger, the darkness, itself.

ולא אַמרוּ אַיָה ה׳ הַמַּעלה אֹתַנוּ מאַרץ מצרים המוליך אתנו בַּמְדַבַּר בָּאָרְץ עַרְבַה וְשׁוֹחָה בארץ ציה וצלמות.

And they did not say, Where is HASHEM Who brought us out of the land of Egypt. Who led us through the wilderness through a land of deserts and of pits, through a land of drought and of the shadow of death (Jeremiah 2:6).

In castigating his people for forgetting God. Ieremiah beseeched them to remember days when their enemies were no less powerful and dangerous than Nevuchadnezzar. 'Look back to Egypt and the forty years in the wilderness.' Israel learned then that it could call to God in any situation, that a hopeless pit could become a triumphant mountain. God promised Jacob that He would descend with him to Egypt (Gen. 46:4); through David he told all generations of Israel that He is with us in our every trouble (Psalms 91:15). All these verses and prophecies contain one central theme: every circumstance is a

in reality a heavenly catapult us into a spiritual ascent.

What we imagine to product of the divine wisdom, not of chance. What be an evil decree ... is we imagine to be an evil decree casting us down springboard ready to lower and lower into depths of hopelessness is in reality a Heavenly springboard ready to catapult us into a spiritual ascent from misery and exile just as the Egyptian exile ended in the revelation at Sinai. Every station in Israel's long trek through the desert was listed in the Torah because each wandering footstep in Jewish history can be transformed into a giant step toward the ultimate fulfillment of Israel and of the world.

> Therefore, Solomon lists twenty-eight different times in Koheles (3:2-8). They represent the possible stages in life, and Israel can and must utilize all of them to reach its spiritual goal. Sometimes, Jews find the way through happiness, sometimes through tears, but every lew must recognize that his mission is to find his own way in his own circumstances and that he can do it. Solomon found holiness in pleasure. David found it in battle. Moses found it in the fields of Midian, the Patriarchs found it in Canaan and Aram. Israel found it under the lash on the pyramids of Egypt (Sfas Emes).

V. Themes of Koheles

uring Succos of the year 5027, Nachmanides, the Ramban, was preparing to leave Spain to settle in Eretz Yisrael. He parted from his students and followers with a classic sermon calling upon them to strengthen their faith in God despite the persecutions that led to the royal decree that he must go into exile in his seventies, and that eventually culminated in the Spanish Inquisition. A major topic of his sermon was Koheles. In the course of explaining many of its passages, Ramban said that there are three main themes in the book. With this writer's explanations and elaboration, they are as follows:

Pointless Striving

Because we are physical and mortal, we are born to the sensation of physical pleasures and the knowledge that there is but one short life in which to crowd them all. Our Sages taught that the יצר הרע. the Evil Inclination, is with man from birth, but the יצר טוב, the Good Inclination, comes to man at the age of bar mitzvah. This is no metaphysical formula. it is plain reality. An infant has no capacity to feel for others. Its only desire is to satisfy its own needs; it is a totally selfish being. Even the very young child is essentially selfish. Can the three-year old be convinced that it is wrong to wake his mother at night or to interrupt her when she is busy? In a child, this is normal. But it is precisely this inborn selfishness and disinterest in others which is the stock in trade of the Evil Inclination all through life. These animal instincts must be conquered by human understanding. The age of The age of responsibility is the time when a person is capable of choosing responsibility over pleasure, common good over personal benefit, long range profit over instant gratification. These choices involve the employment of a developed mind and a conditioned heart. They indeed represent the Good Inclination who is a relative late-comer to his human host.

responsibility is the time when a person is capable of choosing responsibility over pleasure, common good over personal benefit, long range profit over instant gratification.

> The teachers of Mussar perceive this concept in a verse in Job (11:12). ועיר פרא אדם יוּלד A man is born as a wild mule (this translation follows Radak and Metzudas David). When a human being is born, he is like a wild mule. The task of life is to turn that wild animal - slowly, painfully - into a human being.

> The first lesson of Koheles is that man avoid the striving after the pleasures of this world, because for all their allures — they are fleeting and valueless. Solomon points to himself as the one who should know this better than anyone. In Chapter 2 he speaks of his own material attainments. Homes, wealth, entertainment - whatever he desired was his, along with the respect and obeisance of the rulers and wise men of the world. Despite it all, Solomon concludes that all earthly striving is futility - הַבֶּל. Ramban defines 'hevel' as a noticeable mist, like

The pleasures of this world are like a mamoth fireworks display. The colors and designs are dazzling, breathtaking But in a matter of moments, they are gone.

breath turned to vapor on a cold day, or the polluted, stagnant air trapped at the bottom of a pit. One can see the vapor, feel the heavy air, but both have no substance and swiftly disappear. To use a more modern analogy, the pleasures of this world are like a mammoth fireworks display. The colors and design are dazzling, breathtaking. But in a matter of moments, they are gone.

Permanence of Fssentials

Lest the reader conclude that if all is indeed futile. that nothing in human existence matters, then the world was created to no purpose and there is no reward or punishment, Solomon cautions (3:14) that the essentials of existence are eternal and the Creator made man to have a vital role in His master plan. What is transient and trivial is the handiwork of man. His buildings and baubles, his ambition and glory are nothing more than 'hevel': futility, substanceless vapor. But that is not the sum total of creation.

Man's soul was not fashioned from dust as was his body, nor was it made from the other elements, or by any heavenly or earthly body that was itself part of creation. ויפח באפיו נשמת חיים, and He [God] breathed into his nostrils the breath of life. Man's soul came directly from God, מאן דנפח מתוכיה נפח, whoever breathes, breathes from within himself Because God is (Zohar). Because God is eternal, the human soul is eternal; and because it is everlasting it will be called because it is upon to answer for the deeds done while it was clothed in its earthly body. And because the only answer for the deeds meaningful, everlasting part of man's existence is his soul and the spiritual good it brings about through man, Koheles urges that indulgence in non-essential pleasures should be disdained. Of course, physical needs must be satisfied and, each according to his own spiritual capacity, people will indulge in thisworldly pleasures. But the test must be necessity and the goal should be avoidance.

eternal, the human soul is eternal: and everlasting it will be called upon to done while it was clothed in its earthly body.

> This goal is a characteristic of יראת אלקים, fear of God. Indeed, the Book of Koheles uses the Name

אלקים Elokim throughout. That is the Godly Name that represents judgment in contrast to the Four-Letter Name of God that represents love, mercy, and miracles. Koheles emphasizes the responsibilities of man on this world in a cause-and-effect setting. Elokim does not suggest miracles; on the contrary. although man is judged for his deeds - rewarded for the good ones and punished for the bad ones — the Hand of God is nowhere obvious. If man follows the commandments of the Torah, the rains will fall, his crops will be blessed, and he will enjoy good health and prosperity. If he sins, there will be drought, famine, illness, and poverty. This was the sort of relationship to God that was enjoyed by Abraham. Isaac, and Jacob. They never employed the Four-Letter Name of God. Obvious miracles were not their lot - the Plagues, Splitting of the Sea, and manna began with the coming of Moses and the formation of a Jewish nation. The Patriarchs experienced prosperity - but so did others. They fought and won victories - but so did others, they lived the life described by Koheles, disclaiming frivolity, cultivating the soul, and being rewarded and punished in their everyday lives.

This too is miraculous. For what relattionship have Sabbath observance and tetillin to abundant crops and healthy herds?

In the deeper, truer sense, this too is miraculous. For what relationship have Sabbath observance and tefillin to abundant crops and healthy herds? The hidden miracles of reward and punishment on earth are the raw material from which fear of God is fashioned. In urging that man's mission — his quintessential task — is fear of God, and that ultimately, both the righteous and the wicked receive their just reward and punishment, Solomon tells us that the lot of the Patriarch's under the sun is our lot, too. The main difference is that they saw and understood the Hand of God in every event of their lives, while we are blinded by the sun.

Suffering One of the most serious dilemmas confronting religious thinkers throughout the ages has been how Righteous to reconcile the inconsistency of צדיק ורע לו, רשע

Fortunate

and וטוב לו, a righteous man who lives a life of suffering while a wicked sinner lives a life of good fortune. Down the centuries this question has troubled Wicked believers. Sprinkled throughout Koheles are references to it.

Solomon has two approaches to the problem. The first is that the true test of God's justice can be made only when it is complete. The righteous person's short term suffering as well as the wicked person's short term good fortune are surely both deserved the first for his few sins and the second for his few When all is done, virtues. When all is done, however, whether the final reckoning comes on this world or in the next, God's comes in this world justice will be umblemished.

however, whether the final reckoning or in the next, God's justice will be

In this regard, one recalls the reply of the Chazon unblemished. Ish when he was questioned concerning the butchery of the holocaust and how a just and merciful God could have permitted it. He replied:

> To condemn what happened is like someone dismissing the complex commentary of Tosefos as illogical when he does not even know the simple meaning of the Gemarah. It is only after thoroughly understanding the text of the Talmud and the basic commentaries that one can attempt to understand the intricacies of a Tosefos. History is no different. We are too close to the event to understand it, its background, and its ramifications, and we don't vet know how the event blends with the other phenomena of history. At the end of days, God's plan will become plain for all to see. Then we can be confident, we will understand all of its parts.

Koheles gives a second answer, too, the same one given in Job (28:20-28). The Divine Intelligence is beyond us and God's ways are inscrutable. We simply cannot understand them all no matter how high the level of our wisdom.

> וָהָנָה ה׳ לְמֶלֶךְ עַל כָּל הַאַרץ בּיוֹם ההוא יהיה ה׳ אַחַר ושמו אַחַר.

And HASHEM shall be King over all the

earth: on that day HASHEM shall be one and His Name one (Zechariah 14:9).

Isn't God one now as well? Ray Acha bar Chaninah answered that This World is inferior to the World to Come. Now, we are incapable of realizing how every act of God, even the apparently tragic ones, is for the good. Now, for God's goodness we bless Him for bestowing good; for bad news we bless Him as the Judge of Truth. Because we are incapable of grasping the Oneness of all God's acts we make different blessings for different manifestations of His will. In the World to Come. whether occurrences are good or tragic, we will recognize His Oneness and perceive the goodness of His every act and bless Him as 'The Good Who Does Good' (Pesachim 50a).

Rav Acha tells us the third theme of Koheles. God is merciful and all good. It will take the coming of Messiah and the elevation of the world to its future state of spiritual grandeur for people to accept the fact that recognize His mercy in every event. Meanwhile, we deficiencies prevent have no alternative but to accept the fact that our us from mortal deficiencies prevent us from comprehending ways. His ways.

Meanwhile, we have no alternative but to our mortal understanding His

VI. Koheles – Almost hidden

אמר רבי יהודה בריה דרב שמואל בר שילת משמיה דרב בקשו חכמים לגנוז ספר קהלת מפני שדבריו סותרים זה את זה ומפני מה לא גנזוהו מפני שתחלתו דכרי תורה וסופו דברי תורה.

The Sages sought to hide the Book of Koheles because its statements [apparently | contradict one another. Why

didn't they conceal it? Because it commences with words of Torah and it concludes with words of Torah (Shabbos 30b)

Grounds for In spite of the fact that Koheles was part of the Sacred Writings, it was feared that it could be a Concern dangerous book because, to the unlearned, it seemed to contain contradictions. The Midrash goes even further than does the Talmud - according to the Midrash, it was feared that unlearned people might even make heretical interpretations of parts of Koheles. Therefore, there was an opinion among the Sages that perhaps Koheles, sacred though it was, should be concealed lest it cause mistaken or heretical ideas.

> It was not only Koheles that was subject to such scrutiny. There was a feeling among the Sages that even the Book of Yechezkel, though it contained only prophecies, should be concealed because some of it appeared to contradict the Torah (Shabbos 13b: Chagigah 13b). The Sages felt that, despite the sanctity of a book, if it presented serious dangers to the unlearned it might be wiser to conceal it. The objections to Yechezkel were answered to the satisfaction of the Sages. The conclusion concerning Koheles was that it should not be concealed because its opening and its conclusion show beyond a doubt that it is a book filled with fear of God and the spirit of Torah. Indeed there may be those who will refuse to understand it, those who will read false meanings into it. That did not frighten the Sages. The question had been asked concerning verses in the Torah itself that they lend themselves to misinterpretation. The answer of the Sages was כל הרוצה לטעות יבא ויטעה, Whoever wishes to err, let him come and err. They chose their words carefully. They did not say 'whoever may err, let him err,' they said 'whoever wishes to err.' It was clear to the Sages that honest, sincere people would understand or, if they didn't, that they would seek the guidance of those who did. If there should still be people who persisted in

misunderstanding the meaning of the Torah — such as those who still insist on their prattle about a literal interpretation of 'an eye for an eye' despite the Talmud's explanation that it refers to monetary compensation — then it can only be because they wish to err. There is no justification for criticizing the language of the Torah because it provides grist for the mills of those who wish to misunderstand. No matter how a verse could be rephrased, they would find some pretext to deny its validity if that is their wish (Michtav MeEliyahu).

It was clearly a statement of Torah, an exposition of fear of God. No one who read its introductory and closing statements could doubt the purity of the entire book.

The fear of those who preferred to conceal Koheles was that the text presented such difficulties that it was inevitable that people would find contraditions or worse. To this objection, the Sages cited the opening and conclusion of the book. It was clearly a statement of Torah, an exposition of fear of God. No one who read its introductory and closing statements could doubt the purity of the entire book. Contradictions? They could be only on the surface: answers could be found. Even if not, even if the most brilliant commentators of the centuries could not penetrate the entire meaning of the sacred wisdom imparted to Israel through Solomon's lips, there was no danger in allowing Koheles to become part of Israel's public legacy of fear of God. True, there will be those who choose to see in it the depression-laden testament of a decadent king - let them! 'Whoever wishes to err, let him come and err.'

The Proof

What are these beginning and closing verses that shed such a favorable light on a book that would otherwise have been feared? The conclusion is obvious:

סוף דָבָר הַכּל נִשְּמָע אֶת הָאֱלֹקִים יְרָא וְאֶת מִצְוֹתָיו שְׁמוֹר כִּי זֶה כָּל הָאָרָם.

The sum of the matter, when all has been considered: Fear God and keep His commandments, for that is man's whole duty (Koheles 12:13).

The Talmudic Sages explain further that with this

statement. Solomon tells us that the entire universe was created only for the benefit of the God-fearing person, that in importance he outweighs all the universe, that everything was created only for his convenience and companionship (Shabbos 30b).

But what of the opening verse that was evidence to dismiss the fears of those who would have concealed Koheles. As we look through the opening verses of the book, we fail to see it. The Talmud tells us which it is:

מה יתרון לַאַרָם בּכָל עַמְלוּ שֶׁיַעַמֹל הַחַת השמש. ואמרי דבי ר׳ ינאי תחת השמש הוא דאין לו. קורם שמש יש לו.

What profit does man have for all his labor which he toils beneath the sun? (Koheles 1:3)

And the academy of Rabbi Yannai said, Only [in striving for benefit in what is under the sun lis therel no gain. [but in striving for Torah which] preceded the sun, there is [benefit] (Shabbos 30b).

This verse holds the key to Koheles. By examining its two key words ארם, man, and שמש, sun, we will go far toward understanding the message of Koheles. And understand it we must, because it is meant for us. Only forty-eight prophets and seven prophetesses appear in the Scriptures while Israel produced twice as many prophets as the number of those who left Egypt. Why was only such a tiny number chosen for inclusion in the Scriptures? נבואה שהוצרכה לדורות נכתבה, ושלא הוצרכה לא נכתבה, Prophecy that was needed by future generations was written in the Scriptures, what was not needed was not written (Megillah 14a).

Surely then, Solomon, who wrung light from darkness by remaining untarnished despite the attractions of his more to say to us than he had to our grandparents. parents.

Solomon's utterances were transmitted to us because we need them. No era in history has had more access to excess, more means to satisfy its lust and craving than this one. Surely then, Solomon who wrung light from darkness by remaining untarworld, has perhaps nished despite the attractions of his world, has perhaps more to say to us than he had to our grand-

VII. Message to Man

Without question, the most powerful force in nature is the sun. It is the source of light and energy. Without it, life would cease. There are few elements in human life more important than time: it defines our tasks and plans, our waking and retiring, our body conditioning. Time, too, is a product of the unchanging rotation of the earth around the sun. It is thus quite plain why Solomon should find man's entire existence as a creature of nature symbolized by the expression, שְּשֶׁהַ חַחָה, under the sun. He speaks not of a spatial concept, but of a philosophical one.

We are under the We are under the sun if we consider ourselves always sun if we consider subject to nature and beholden by its laws.

We are under the sun if we consider ourselves always subject to nature and beholden by its laws.

In the infancy of Jewish history, Abram — before his name had been changed to Abraham — saw himself as forced to live his life 'under the sun.' He pleaded with God that no Heavenly gifts mattered to him because he realized that there was no natural way for him to have a son who would be fit to be his heir. Before God could assure him that he would indeed have an heir and that the multitudes of his descendants would be like the stars in heaven, there had to be a prerequisite.

ניוצא אתו הַחוצָה

And God brought him outside (Genesis 15:5).

אמר ר' יהודה אמר רב אמר אברהם לפני הקב"ה נסתבלתי באצטגנינות שלי ואיני ראוי להוליד בן. אמר ליה צא מאצטגנינות שלך אין מזל לישראל.

Rabbi Judah said in the name of Rav, Abraham said before the Holy One blessed be He, I have studied closely with my astrology [my knowledge of natural law] and I am incapable of giving birth to a son. [God] said to him, leave your astrology. Israel has no [dependence on] constellations (Shabbos 156a).

Abraham was right. By all the laws of nature he and Sarah could not have a son. God did not tell him that he had misread his capabilities under the sun: God told him that he was not bound by the laws of nature. As another Midrash interpretation puts it (see Rashi) God lifted him above the stars, symbolically showing him that the stars and their decrees held no dominion over him

'Adam'

Koheles refers to man as ארם, adam. This is only one of several synonyms for man, and it was not - Man chosen at random. The term adam has a special meaning, one that is especially appropriate to the theme of Koheles.

> The Maharal explains: There is a basic difference between man and all other parts of Creation, whether living earthly creatures, or the heavenly bodies and angels. All of them are created complete, perfect. Those of the heavens have a higher intelligence and holiness, those below are without human intelligence and lack holiness — but all have in common that they lack nothing upon their creation. They come into being with all the attributes they need and will ever have. Except for the natural process of animal growth they have neither the need nor the possibility of choosing good over evil and becoming better creatures. Only man is born with potential and the responsibility to realize it.

> In this, man is similar to adamah, the earth. It too has within it the potential to nourish and produce crops, and life is dependent on its ability to realize that potential. For that reason, man created from adamah is called adam. His name symbolizes his mission: he is created with potential and his usefulness depends on its realization.

Man created from adamah is called adam. His name symbolizes his mission: he is created with potential and his usefulness depends on its realization.

The teachers of Mussar find this concept illuminated in the curse that befell the earth when Cain murdered his brother Abel and the earth soaked up the innocent victim's blood. God cursed Cain with perpetual exile and hard labor in the production of his crops. Because the earth, so to speak, became

his accomplice by swallowing Abel's blood, it, too, was cursed

> ועַתַּה אַרור אַתַּה מון הַאַדַמָה אַשֶּׁר פָּצָתַה אֶת פיה לַקחת את דמי אַחיך מיֵדך. כִּי תַעבר אַת האדמה לא תסף תת כחה לה

And now you are accursed from the earth which has opened her mouth to receive your brother's blood from your hand. When you work the earth, it shall no longer yield its strength to you. (Gen. 4:11-12 see Rashi).

We can understand that the earth's refusal to vield its bounty would punish Cain by making his labor more difficult and less productive. But, as Rashi makes clear, the very earth was to be punished for its part in concealing history's first murder. How was it punished? What pain did it feel? The answer is that for any being - whether a human being or the earth - to be forbidden to realize its potential, to be foreclosed from productive activity, is a curse. Adamah was cursed by being forced to curtail its fruitful potential; adam, too, born of adamah, must grow, develop, improve, produce. That is his destiny. To the extent that he fails to do so fully, no matter how far the brilliance of his intellect or the abundance of his talent takes him, he has fallen short and failed.

Adam Under

Koheles asks what benefit there is to adam - man whose mission is to rise above the limitations of nature - in all of his effort if he allows himself to rethe Sun main under the sun, under the restraints of the very conditions over which he is commanded to rise. The sun itself functions within pre-determined limits, it rules over an earth every part of which save one has no ability to raise itself above the conditions of its creation. Adam-man is superior to all of them. He is the sole member of the universe who is capable of reaching new vistas of spirituality through Torah. He can rise above the sun by devoting his life to Torah which preceded the sun and all its dominions.

Futility of futilities - this is Solomon's contemptuous description of any human activity that stultifies its potential by wallowing beneath the sun.

The Sages read this opening passage of Koheles and proclaimed, "These are words of Torah." The person who reads them seriously and thoughtfully will not be trapped by the difficulties of the rest of Koheles. To conceal the Book of Koheles would be to deprive Israel of the words of one of the forty-eight prophets whose teaching is needed by Israel for all time.

Koheles was left available to Israel among the other sacred Scriptures. In a century that conquers the moon and goes to Mars and beyond, mankind has deified the sun and all it represents to as great an extent as its worshippers of three thousand years ago. Then, people sacrificed their livestock and even children to the sun; today people sacrifice their souls and their spiritual potential to a sun called nature and

Then, people sacrificed their livestock and even children to the sun; today people sacrifice their souls and their spiritual potential to a sun called nature and science. science.

Futility of futilities. Koheles cries to us. Adamman, how dare you limit your limitless potential to Soar above it! Your the strictures imposed by your stunted sun? Soar Torah, above it! Your life belongs to Torah.

את הַאַלקים ירא ואת מצוֹתֵיו שמור כּי זה כּל

Fear God and keep His commandments, for that is man's whole duty.

life belongs to

פגילת קהלת

קרלת א דּבְרֵי לְהֶלֶת בֶּן־דָּוִד מֶלֶךְ בִּירְוּשָׁלֵם: פרק א ב הַבַּל הַבָּלים אָמַר לְהֶלֶת הַבֵּל הַבָּלים ארג ג הַבָּל הַבָּל: מַה־יִּתְרָוֹן לֵאָדָם בְּלָּל־עַמְלוֹ

1. The Talmud notes that King Hezekiah and his colleagues 'wrote' [i.e. 'assembled' and 'committed to writing' — (Akeidas Yitzchak)] Isaiah's prophecies and the Books of Isaiah, Proverbs, Song of Songs, and Koheles (Bava Basra 15a).

Seder Olam Rabba [by the Tanna, Rabbi Yose ben Chalafta, student of Rabbi Akiva] mentions that: 'in Solomon's old age — shortly before his death — the Divine Spirit rested upon him and he "uttered" the three Books: Proverbs, Song of Songs, and Koheles.'1

There is a difference of opinion in the Midrash as to the sequence in which Solomon composed the books of Proverbs, Song of Songs, and Koheles. The dispute centers around Proverbs and Song of Songs; all agree that Koheles was last.

The Sages of the Mishnah (Shabbos 30b) considered wheth to conceal [לגנון Koheles in secre. archives because, although its sacred nature was unquestioned, it contained apparent contradictions [ibid.] and seemingly heretical statements (Midrash) [i.e. expressions which ignorant people might misinterpret (Akeidas Yitzchak).] They decided to leave it with the Scriptures because, since its beginning and conclusion indisputably demonstrated that the entire book is dedicated to the fear of God and is an expression of His Word, the danger of misinterpretation was mitigated. (See Overview).

קבְרי — The words of. 'Wherever Scripture uses the expression קדָרָי "the words of," — it introduces קבְרִי תוּכְחָה, words of reproof or admonition' (Rashi).

תְּהֶלֵח – Koheles. He was called by three names: Yedidiah [Il Samuel 12:25], Koheles and Solomon. Why was he called Koheles? [not his proper name, but a title (Ibn Ezra on 12:8)] — Because his words were uttered הַּקְּהֵל [b'hikahel], 'in public assembly' as is written אָד יַקְהַל 'then Solomon assembled ...' (I Kings 8:1). In addition, droves of peoples constantly gathered to hear his wisdom (Midrash).

Ramban and Ibn Ezra note that in addition to the indisputable Talmudic tradition that Koheles is Solomon — although 'Koheles' is rexplicitly identified as Solomon it could be no one else because Solomon was the only 'son of David' to ascend the throne.

He was called 'Koheles' because of the wisdom אַנְקְהַלָּה (which was assembled within him' (lbn Ezra), or because הָרְבּה, 'he assembled much wisdom' (Rashi).

Metzudas Zion explains that the vord Koheles is derived from assembly', 'gathering', and that King Solomon earned this title

[Please note: The source for every excerpt has been documented. Whenever the author has inserted a comment of his own it is inserted in square brackets.]

I The words of Koheles son of David, King in Jerusalem:

² Futility of futilities! — said Koheles — Futility of futilities! All is futile! ³ What profit does man have

because he 'gathered together' conflicting opinions and filtered out the right from the wrong.

An additional reason for the name Koheles is mentioned by Avudraham and others: During the Succos festival following שמיטה, the Sabbatical year, the king would gather together the people in Jerusalem [in accordance with Deut. 31:11] and publicly read the Book Deuteronomy. The of דברים, ceremony was called הקהל, Hakhel ('Gathering'). Presumably, in addition to reading Deuteronomy, Solomon used the opportunity provided by the public assembly to deliver this sermon against preoccupation with worldly striving and possession. This accounts for the name Koheles, derived from Hakhel, and also for the custom of reading the Book in synagogues during the Succos festival.

בֶּן דְוֹד — Son of David. He was a king, son of a king; a wise man, son of a wise man; a righteous man, son of a righteous man (Midrash).

The Midrash comments: 'Happy is he who can depend on זכות אבות,

paternal merit. Nevertheless, personal merit is better.'

מֶלֶךְ בּיְרוּשָׁלָם – King in Jerusalem. The city of wisdom (Rashi).

The city is mentioned because, as King of an illustrious city famed for its wise men, Koheles had ample opportunity to delve deeply into the knowledge of the world and to investigate his theories first hand (Sforno, Metzudas David). His conclusions, therefore, are worthy of great respect (Nachal Eshkol).

[This phrase 'king in Jerusalem' refers to Koheles, not 'David' (Ibn Jenach; Ramban; and Ibn Ezra in reference to 'Isaiah son of Amotz the prophet')].

'Jerusalem — the dwelling place of the Shechinah, of prophecy, and of Torah' (Midrash Lekach Tov).

[Jerusalem was the capital of the entire Eretz Yisrael throughout the reigns of David and Solomon.]

The Talmud (Sanhedrin 20b) — [based upon the varying descriptions of Solomon in his three books: 'King Solomon' (Song of Songs 3:11), 'King of Israel' (Proverbs 1:1), and here, 'King in Jerusalem']

¹ The Midrash relates that these books were composed as the result of the Divine Spirit which rested upon Solomon when he assumed the throne at the age of twelve.

God appeared to him in Gibeon and said 'Ask what I shall give you' (I Kings 3:5). Solomon did not request silver, gold and pearls. He responded, 'Give your servant an understanding heart' (ibid. v. 9).

The Holy One, Blessed be He, said to him: 'You asked for wisdom and did not ask for riches, honor or for victory over your enemies — therefore wisdom and knowledge will be granted you and I will thereby also give you riches and possessions.'

Forthwith, the Divine Spirit alighted upon him [and later in life — (Torah T'mimah)] he composed Proverbs, Song of Songs, and Koheles.

- comments that [after his 'sin'] his kingdom was diminished. At first he was king over all, then of Eretz Yisrael only, and then only of Jerusalem (Torah T'mimah).

2. הַבֶּל הַבְּלִים — Futility of futilities! [lit. 'breath of breaths'; vapor of vapors' i.e. something empty of substance, utterly futile].

Koheles cries out and says that everything created during the seven days of creation is futile. The seven references in this verse to הָבֶּל, futility [the word הָבֶּל appears three times; and the plural הְבָּלִים, each of which connotes at least two, appears twice, making a total of seven] correspond to the seven days of creation (Rashi; Midrash).1

All man's actions [see next verse] are inconsequential — futile and fruitless — unless they are motivated by lofty Torah ideals. Nothing will remain of man's earthly labors. Only his spiritual labor — his righteousness and Torah learning — will yield everlasting fruits (Rabbeinu Yonah).

The verse refers to riches. The man blessed with wealth must view himself as a custodian whose role it is to dispense his treasures to the needy. To amass wealth selfishly, bemoans Koheles, is 'futility of futilities' (Taalumos Chachmah).

Ramban and Metzudas David interpret הֶבֶל הַבֶּל חָבְ not as superlative nouns 'futility of futilities' but rather as an imperative: הַבֶּל הַ make futile, i.e. abhor, הַבֶּל all futilities. Whatever is utterly futile in this world should be abhorred — i.e. Do

not attempt to attach undue importance to this temporal world; all is 'futile.' [This is the interpretation adopted by most commentators for the same phrase in 12:8.]

אַמֵר קּהֶלֶּת – Said Koheles. If someone else had declared 'futility of futilities', I might have said that this man, who had never owned a penny in his life, makes light of the wealth of the world and declares 'futility of futilities.' Therefore, Koheles' name is interjected to accentuate that [not a pauper but the wise and wealthy] King Solomon – who 'made silver to be in Jerusalem like stones' (I Kings 10:27) – was the one who declared 'futility of futilities' (Midrash).

הבל הְבֵל — All is futile. To dispel the notion that the world consists of both futile and enduring values — Solomon emphasizes: הָבֵל, 'everything is futile' (lbn Ezra).

Many people experience frustration, but remain convinced that others do gain real satisfaction from this world. This phrase stresses that such an assumption is but an illusion — 'all is futile.'2

In the Targum's interpretation of these verses, Solomon, prophetically bemoans the ultimate division and destruction of the kingdom during his children's reign. These words of prophecy were uttered by Koheles, that is, Solomon, son of David who was king in Jerusalem. Thus envisioned Solomon, king of Israel: the kingdom of his son Rechavam would be divided with

^{1.} The Midrash adds that the seven 'futilities' correspond to the seven stages of man's life: At the age of a year he is like a king seated in a canopied litter — fondled and kissed by all; at two and three he is like a pig groping in the gutters; at ten he skips like a kid; at twenty he is like a neighing horse, preening himself and seeking a wife; after marriage he [works hard for livelihood] like a donkey; when he has children he grows brazen as a dog to supply their sustenance; in old age he [loses his senses and] is like an ape.

Jeraboam ben Nevat; Jerusalem and the Temple are destined for destruction; and his nation, the children of Israel, will be exiled. He, therefore, said: Futility of futilities is this world! Futility of futilities! Everything in which he and his father David had toiled — the kingdom, The Temple and the nation — would be shattered. All is futile!

3. מה־יַתְרוֹן לֵאֶדָם — What profit [lit. 'surplus'] does man have...? i.e. 'what reward or gains' (Rashi).

Since all is futile, of what use to man is all his toil? (Ibn Ezra).

Alshich explains: 'Open your eyes and perceive! What profit or perfection can man expect to achieve by toiling in mundane and material matters on earth beneath the heavens. Better to strive to serve his Creator and immerse himself in His Torah which is loftier than the heavens. Only through the Torah and its mitzvos can one acquire eternal spiritual perfection.'

It is to be noted that the verse does not read מָה יְתְרוֹן לְעוֹלְם, 'what profit does the world have?' Indeed the world itself does gain from man's physical toil; as Rambam notes: 'were it not for foolish people who hoard money and who build houses to last a hundred years, the earth would never be developed! Rather the verse questions what real gain does man himself have from such labor? (Kol Yaakov)

What benefit will man realize -

after he is dead — from all his toil on this world? (Targum)

אָרֶם, man. Mankind in general is referred to as אָרָם, after Adam, the first man, who came from the אָרָמָה earth, and returned to the earth (Midrash Lekach Tov).

בּכֶל־עָמִלוּ – for [lit. 'in'] all his labor. The Sages were apprehensive that the expression 'what profit does man have from all his labor' might be misconstrued to mean that even Torah-study is unprofitable. They observed, however, that the verse emphasizes 'his labor', i.e. labor for man's own secular pursuits. Thus it is clear to all that man should not labor for himself, for to do so is vain, but one should toil in the study of Torah.

שְׁמֶח הְחָהַ – Beneath the sun. This translation follows most commentators. The phrase – [unique with Koheles] – means 'this earth where the sun shines' (Metzudas David); or, according to Ibn Ezra, 'sun' refers to the natural cycle of time which is governed by the rising and setting of the sun. As Ibn Yachya explains, man gains nothing in toiling for possessions which are subject to the limitations of time. True gain is possible only when one pursues Torah which is timeless.

Midrash Lekach Tov explains that 'sun' is used to convey that just as the sun ceaselessly follows the same daily pattern of rising and setting, so does the world relentlessly follow its futile pattern.

2. The Dubna Maggid cites a parable:

A group of blind beggars was in the street. A man approached one of them and said: 'Take this money and share it with the others.' But he walked away and gave the beggar nothing. The others, having overheard the conversation, demanded their share of the money which they thought he had received. A melee broke out.

Such is mankind — everyone strives for a share of the worldly pleasures they assume their neighbors have achieved. But in reality they achieved nothing — there is no true pleasure in

ברק א ר שֶׁיְצְמֻל תַּחַת הַשְּׁמֶשׁ: דְּוֹר הֹלֵךְ וְדָוֹר בָּא דיו ה וְהָאָרֶץ לְעוֹלָם עֹמֶרֶת: וְזָרָח הַשָּׁמֶשׁ וּבָא הַשָּׁמֶשׁ וְאֶׁל־מְקוֹמוֹ שׁוֹאָף זוֹרָחַ הָּוֹא י שָׁם: הוֹלֵךְ אֶל־דָּרוֹם וְסוֹבֵב אֶל־צָפְוֹן

Rashi, however interprets nnn as 'instead', 'in place of.' He explains wnw, the light of the sun, as referring to Torah which is compared to light, and translates thus: Whatever labor is undertaken nnn, in place of, Torah-study is of no avail and yields no reward.

The Talmud translates nmm as 'after' and renders: For his labor 'after the sun' he has no profit'—but for his labor 'before the sun' [i.e. in Torah which pre-existed the sun] there is profit (Shabbos 30b).

In the words of the Midrash: A man's labor is 'under the sun,' but his treasury of reward is above the sun [i.e. in the heavenly after-life].

שְּתֵחת הַשְּמֵש — Beneath the sun. The sun is the controlling factor in determining time and seasons. Thus, the verse refers to man's unending striving, at all times and in all seasons (Ibn Ezra).

4. אבי - הלך דרר הלך דרר בא Generation goes and a generation comes. The Midrash and Ralbag attach this verse to the previous one and explain, 'man has no profit for all his toil under the sun' because life and fortune on this earth are transitory — 'a generation goes and a generation comes.' — the new generation acquires all that the former has left behind.

Rashi amplifies that whatever temporal gains are realized by the wicked from their labors of crime do not endure beyond their days, for a new generation rises up and their children lose everything as it is written (Job 20:10): 'His children shall seek to please the poor and his hands shall give back that which he has robbed.'

Ibn Ezra observes that verses 4-7 refer to the four primal elements of creation: earth, fire, wind (i.e. air), and water. Earth is cited first because it is the source, the "mother" so to speak, then fire (identified with the sun); wind and water. In verse 4, Koheles says that succeeding generations, products of the earth, will come and go, eventually all returning to their earthly source. The earth itself, however, endures forever.

The Midrash exhorts: The generation which comes should be as esteemed by you as the generation which has passed. You should not say 'if Rabbi Akiva were now living I would study Torah under him,' etc. But the generation and sage of your days should be as the preceding generation and sage ... For whoever is the recognized Torah leader of the community, though he be lesser in stature than those of former generations, is considered equal to the most celebrated of the former generations.

קהָאָרֶץ לְעוֹלֶם עְמֵרֶת — But [lit. 'and'] the earth endures [lit. 'stands'] forever, i.e. unlike man's temporary existence wherein one generation perishes and makes room for the next, the earth itself is enduring and

for all his labor which he toils beneath the sun? A
4-6 generation goes and a generation comes, but the earth
endures forever. And the sun rises and the sun sets
then to its place it rushes; there it rises again. It
goes toward the south and veers toward the north:

does not change its routine (Lekach Tov).

The Midrash foretells: In this world one man builds a house and another has the use of it; one man plants a tree and the other eats of it. In the Hereafter, [Israel] shall not build and another inhabit, nor plant and another eat.

5. שְּׁמֶשׁ וּבָא הַשְּׁמֶשׁ וּבָא הַשְּׁמֶשׁ וּבָא הַשְּׁמֶשׁ וּבָא הַשְּׁמֶשׁ וּבָא — And the sun rises [lit. 'shines'] and the sun sets [These verses, 5-7, elaborate on the phrase 'but the earth endures forever' by citing the daily motions of the natural world. The commentators discuss the monotonously regular course followed by the sun in order to ensure the orderly continuity of life on earth.]

[The connective 1, also, stresses the connection with the previous verse]: Just as the generations pass on with predictable regularity, so does the sun rise and set with the same strict discipline of regularity — rushing through the night to return to its place of rising just as each of the primal elements returns to its own source for rejuvenation. (Cf. Rashi; Ibn Ezra, Alshich; Taalumos Chachmah).1

אַף — It rushes [lit. pants]. The word should be understood as 'panting breathlessly', desiring to return to its place [i.e. to fulfill its role] (Ibn Ezra; Rashi).

Metzudas Zion explains it as 'looking forward with earnest desire' and relates the word to Job 7:2 בְּעֶבֶר יְשֵאַף-צֵל, 'as a servant earnestly desires the shade.'

שם There it rises again [lit. 'there it rises'].

6. Rashi explains this verse as referring to שֶּׁמֶשׁ, the 'sun's desire' to follow] its known direction.

Ibn Ezra (in consonance with comm. to verse 4) holds that the subject of the verse is the wind [and North and South complete the four directions.]

The Midrash, too, holds that the wind is the subject of the verse.

הולך אֶל־דֶּרום – It goes toward the south – by day (Bava Basra 25b; Targum; Rashi).

יסובב אָל־צָפון — And veers [lit. 'circles'] towards the north — at night (ibid).

Alshich notes that הולך going – (i.e. its true course) toward the

^{1.} The Midrash perceives a deeper meaning in this phrase: Rav Berachiah said in the name of Rav Abba bar Kahana: Do we not know that the sun rises and sets! Rather the verse [using the rising and setting sun to symbolize the life-death cycle] tells us that before the 'sun' of one righteous man sets, He causes the sun of another righteous man to rise. On the day Rabbi Akiva died, Rabbi Yehuda haNasi was born ... Before the sun of Sarah set, He caused the sun of Rebeccah to rise. Before the sun of Moses set, He caused the sun of Joshua to rise ... and so on, generation after generation.

סובַבּ | סבב הולַךְ הָרוּחַ וְעַל־סְבְיבֹתָיוּ מַרָּכָּ חּוּלֵךְ הָרוּחַ וְעַל־סְבְיבֹתָיוּ וּ יַשָׁב הָרְוּחַ: כָּל־הַנְּחָלִים הְלְכִים אֶל־הַיָּם וְתַבּ הְרָוּחַ: כָּל־הַנְּחָלִים הְלְבִים שָׁבִּים שָׁבִּים שָׁבִים לָלֶכָת: כָּל־ הְּלְבִים שָׁבִים לָלֶכָת: כָּל־ הְרָבֹּרְ לִא־הַבְּרִים יְגַעִים לְא־יוּכַל אָישׁ לְדַבּּרְ לְא־ הַבְּרָים יְגַעִים לְא־יוּכַל אָישׁ לְדַבּּרְ לְא־ תִשְׂבַּע עַיִּוֹ לִרְאוֹת וְלְא־תִפָּלֵא אֻזֶן הִרְאוֹת וְלְא־תִפָּלֵא אֻזֶן

south — is by day. סובב, veering, 'circling' — [i.e. the act of simply returning to its starting point] is at night.

יְעל־סְבִיבֹתְיוּ שֶׁב הְרְהִּתְ — On its rounds [i.e. its 'tracks'] the wind returns. Although it would like to change the monotony of its habitual course, nevertheless its desire to fulfill its natural role is constantly rejuvenated, so it continues to travel its primal course (Metzudas David).

Rashi understands this as referring allegorically to the wicked. Although their 'sun' shines and they seemingly prosper — their 'sun' will ultimately set and they will return to their stench. 'From filth they come and to filth they shall return.'

Midrash Leckach Tov also interprets this verse as referring to the course of the sun as manifested by the winter and summer seasons, but it adds that on a deeper level the verses refer to the Jews. Accordingly, 'south' refers to Eretz Yisrael, and 'north' to Israel's exile to the 'northern' countries. It has been dispersed throughout the four corners of the world — but it will

ultimately be redeemed and return to its source.

7. [Water, the fourth primal element of creation is recalled. See comm. to verse 4].

Ibn Ezra explains that moisture rises from the ocean and is absorbed by the clouds which, in turn, cause rain to descend in an endless cycle.

Thus, the futility of all endeavors is stressed. The primal elements themselves are constantly engaged in a constant, futile path, unable to break away from their monotonous course. Since there is no lasting value to toil in this world, why shall man aimlessly strive for material gain (Ibn Ezra; Sforno; Metzudas David: Taalumos Chachmah).

Rashbam observes that the

[The same thought has been applied to the birth of Rashi which coincided with the death of Rabbeinu Gershom Meor HaGolah.]

Harav Mordechai Gifter adds that this concept is fundamental in Jewish life, and was apparent in the last generation, during the Holocaust. 'Before the sun of Europe set, He caused the sun of America to rise,' for God had prepared for the continuity of Torah.

I the wind goes round and round, and on its rounds
7-8 the wind returns. 7 All the rivers flow into the sea, yet
the sea is not full; to the place where the rivers flow,
there they flow once more.

* All words are wearying, one becomes speechless; the eye is never sated with seeing, nor the ear filled

process of Creation is continuous — without deviation. Only man's course is interrupted [by death], and his activity ceases.

The Midrash suggests, homiletically, that the verse refers to Torah. Man studies it and it enters the heart, yet the heart is never full nor the appetite ever satisfied. Even when man imparts his learning to another 'there they flow back again' i.e. יַבָּלָה ... על לְּבֶּבֶּרְ These words ... shall be [i.e. will always remain — even when you teach them to others —] upon your heart [Deut. 6:6].

Similarly, the Midrash explains: All Israel assembled in Jerusalem as pilgrims during the festivals — yet the 'Sea' is not full, i.e. they stood closely packed yet had sufficient room to prostrate themselves. [Comp. Avos 5:5: No one said, 'This place is too uncomfortable for me that I should lodge in Jerusalem.' This was one of the miracles associated with the Temple service.]

Rav Saadiah Gaon explains in a parable: O foolish man, why do you strive after riches? You are compared in these matters to the rivers whose waters do not remain with them but constantly flow into the sea. Your riches, too, will not remain with you. Rather acquire good deeds which are the only enduring possession.

קבת לֶלְכֶת — There they [the rivers] flow once more, i.e. to the sea to resume the perpetual cycle (Rav Yosef Kara), or according to Midrash Lekach Tov, the river/sea, cloud/rain cycle is here referred to.

8. בְּל־הַדְּבֶרִים יְגַעִים — All words are wearying. [i.e. 'cause weariness'.] This translation follows Rashi who connects this verse to verse 3. If one gives up Torah study לְּבָּרִים בְּטֵלִים to engage in idle talk, הַרִיהִם יְגַעִים they are wearying, and he will be unable to attain anything. If he seeks to indulge himself visually, his eyes will not be sated; if he seeks aural gratification his ears will never be satisfied.

The Talmud explains: One who engages in idle talk transgresses a prohibition, for it is said, all words are wearying, man cannot [i.e. 'ought not', 'must not'] speak. (Yoma 19b).

Most commentators (Saadiah Gaon; Ibn Ezra, Metzudas David,) translate דְבֶרִים – 'things': 'all things [in this world, mentioned above] lead to weariness...

לא־יוּכֵל אִישׁ לְרֵכֵּר — One becomes speechless [lit. 'man cannot speak]

... לא־תְשְׁכֵּע עֵין לְרְאוֹת — The eye is never sated with seeing, nor the ear filled with hearing. [lit. 'the eye will not be satisfied with seeing, and the ear will not be full with hearing.] מְשְׁמְעַ: מַה־שֵׁהָיָהֹ הָוּא שֶׁיְהְיֶּה וּמַה־ ט־יב שֶׁנְעֲשָׁה הָוּא שֶׁיֵּעָשֶׁה וְאֵין כָּל־חָדָשׁ

י תַּחַת הַשָּׁמְשׁ: יֵשׁ דְּבֶר שִׁיֹּאמַר רְאַה־זֶהְ

חָדָשׁ הָוּא כְּבָר הָיָה לְעְלָמִים אֲשֶׁר הָיָה

יא מִלְּפָנֵנוּ: אֵיִן זִכְרַוֹן לָרְאשׁוּנִים וְנֵם

יא מִלְּפָנֵנוּ: אֵיִן זִכְרַוֹן לָרְאשׁוּנִים וְנַם

לָאַחֲרֹנִים שֶׁיְהְיוּ לְא־יְהְיֶהְ לָהֶם וְבָּרוֹן

יב עם שֶׁיְהְיוּ לָאַחֲרֹנֵה: אֲנֵי לְהֶּלֶת הָיִיִּתִי

The constant and unchanging processes of nature are beyond the powers of man to describe. His eye and ear cannot fully comprehend them (Ralbag); [and thus, 'all is futile. I

... The weariness, i.e. monotonous activity, in the world does not stimulate man with something fresh — to satisfy his weary eye, to fill his eager ear (Akeidas Yitzchak).

9. מְה־שָּהְהָה הוּא שַּיְהְיָה — Whatever has been, is what will be, etc. Rashi explains that whoever pursues secular matters will find nothing new — only what has already existed since the Six Days of Creation. Only in Torah-study does one experience 'new' interpretation [see comm. end of this verse s.v. חַחַהַּ

Rav Saadiah Gaon comments that God's creation is perfect and complete, and lacks nothing. Whatever we view as new has already been provided for in His infinite wisdom. As part of the Creation, God created the resources, conditions, and concepts for all discoveries and inventions of succeeding generations until the end of time. Therefore when any generation witnesses an unusual phenomenon and takes it as 'new', they are mistaken; it is new only to them.

קבר היה לְעְלְמִים, it has already existed in the ages before us. Earlier generations have been exposed to these phenomena.

וְאֵין כָּל-חָרָש – [And] there is nothing new. The word בָּל ['all'] here means 'any', 'anything' as in Exodus 20:10 לא תַעְשֶה כָּל מְלָאכָה 'You shall not do any work' – thus, literally here: 'and there is not anything new. . . '

תחת השמש – Beneath the sun. Rav Galico stresses that there is 'nothing new beneath the sun' - but preceding the sun [i.e. in Torahstudy (see verse 3)] - 'new' explanations of halachic concepts are possible. The Midrash notes that whatever an eminent scholar will some day expound has already existed and was communicated as a halachah to Moses at Sinai'. [i.e. All later teachings were elucidations of what had already been revealed at Sinai; and 'room' had been left for the individual to expound it (Lekach Tov).

10. יש דָּכֶּר – Sometimes there is something. Rashi explains verses 10-11:

Sometimes something will come to you beneath the sun, of which someone might say to you "Look, this is new!" But it is not new; it

I with hearing. 9 Whatever has been, is what will be;
9-11 and whatever has been done is what will be done.
There is nothing new beneath the sun! 10 Sometimes there is something of which one says: 'Look, this is new!' — It has already existed in the ages before us.

11 As there is no recollection of the former ones; so to the latter ones that are yet to be, there will be no recollection among those of a still later time.

already existed in the ages preceding us. Things only seem to be new because there is no recollection of what went before (also Sforno; Metzudas David).

[All who see it will think it is totally new not realizing that it is merely a product of a re-organization of elements already in existence since Creation.]

11. Metzudas David and Sforno explains this verse as explanatory of the preceding verse: i.e. There is nothing new; it already existed in the ages before us. It appears new because there is no recollection of these former things for man has forgotten them.

אין זכרון לרְאשנים — [As] there is no recollection of the former ones. Because they died and no longer exist. A similar fate will befall our children and their children after them because [verse 4] 'a generation goes and a generation comes' — and therefore all is futile (Rav Yosef Kara).

Although there are some exceptions, and some former things are remembered, Koheles speaks of the majority of cases (Ibn Yachya).

All of the kings and mighty empires of ancient times have perished from the face of the earth with nary a trace left of them and their 'toil.' Only spiritual empires retain their viability throughout eternity in the storehouse of the Hereafter (Rav Saadiah Gaon).

It is the wicked who perish from the earth. The righteous, however, are remembered eternally, for even though they die on this world, physically they leave behind a cherished legacy in the next (Tuv Ta'am). [Compare Proverbs 10:7]: זבר צַרִיק לבְרָבָה וְשֵׁם רְשָׁעִים יִרְבָּבּר 'The memory of the just is blessed, but the name of the wicked will rot.'

קראשנים ... לְאַחַרנִּים – Former ones ... latter ones. [This can refer either to people or objects.]

יְנִם לֶאַחֲרֹנִים שֶּיְהְיוּ — So to the latter ones that are yet to be. i.e. Those who live after us will not be remembered by the generations that succeed them (Rashi).

Rav Galico comments that Solomon teaches us that the former generations who strove after futility left no remembrance after them. He cautions us not to think that we can learn from their shortcomings somehow to ensure our own eterֹםרק א יג מֶלֶךּ עַל־יִשְׂרָאֵל בִּירְוּשָׁלֵם: וְנָתַתִּי אֶת־ יג־טו לִבִּי לִדְרָוֹשׁ וְלָתוּר בְּחָבְמָּה עֵּל כָּל־אֲשֶׁר יַר אֱלהָים לִבְנֵי הָאָדָם לַעֲנִוֹת בְּוֹ: רָאִּיתִיּ אֶת־כָּל־הַמְּעִשִּׁים שֶׁנְעֲשִׁוּ תַּחַת הַשָּׁמֶשׁ יוֹ וְהִנָּה הַכִּל הָבֶל וּרְעִוּת רִוּחַ: מְעָנָּת לְא־

nity. Not so. The later generations — who also toil for futility — will leave no more remembrance than previous ones.

Therefore, whatever 'profit' [verse 3] transient man thinks he has gained from his toil beneath the sun is only of temporal value and is in reality vanity and worthless (Akeidas Yitzchak).

12. על־יִשְׁרָאֵל — Over [lit. 'upon'] Israel — A clever and wise people. (Metzudas David).

פּירְוּשְלָם — In Jerusalem. The City of wisdom, [where there always dwelt prophets and sages (Ibn Ezra)] — and as such, I was always exposed to much wisdom (Metzudas David).

13. יְנְחַתִּי אֶחִילְבִּי — And I applied [lit. 'gave'] my mind [lit. 'my heart'] The translation 'mind' for לְבִּי [lit. 'heart'] follows Metzudas David. i.e. I did not content myself merely to observe these phenomena; I diligently applied and immersed myself in their study (Metzudas David).

[בְּלֵב] 'Heart' is often used in Scripture to describe the seat of emotion and intellect.]

קררוש וְלְתוּר בְּחָכְמְה — To seek and probe by wisdom. With whatever means I had at my disposal I sought out my desired goals as the sages

proclaimed [Megillah 6b]: יְנְעִתִּיי אֲל תַּאָמִין — If a man says to you 'I have labored and not found,' do not believe him . יְנֵעְתִי תַּאָמִין (I have labored and found,' you may believe him' (Sforno).

The Midrash explains לְחוּר, to probe: 'To probe for wisdom is to become תִּיִר, an explorer, of wisdom, as in Numbers 13:2: יְיִחָרוּג, 'send men that they may spy out the Land of Canaan.'

Rashi translates בַּחֶכְמָה, by wisdom, to refer to Torah [i.e. to seek out and probe, using הְמָה Torah, source of all wisdom, as my guide (Kol Yaakov)].

תְּשְׁמְיִם nְחַהְ — Beneath the sky. All the futilites and wickedness enumerated above (Rashi), [and all human activities on earth].

I 12 I, Koheles, was King over Israel in Jerusalem. 13 I 12-14 applied my mind to seek and probe by wisdom all that happens beneath the sky — It is a sorry task that God has given to the sons of man with which to be concerned. 14 I have seen all the deeds done beneath the sun, and behold all is futile and a vexation of the

which Moses spoke when he placed before Israel the choice between 'good and evil, life and death.' (Deut. 30:15). He urged Israel to choose 'good and life' by devoting itself to the service of God rather than to the vain pursuit of earthly gain.

The translation is also in consonance with the interpretation of *Ibn Ezra* who translates: 'I applied myself to probe with wisdom the inner workings of activities although it is a sorry task that man be occupied with useless quests.'

Taalumos Chachmah elaborates that in this verse Solomon is relating how he totally immersed himself in the study of philosophy and in material quests until he realized that the va. evil. mentioned in the Torah refers to this obsessive quest for mundanity and riches with which man is perpetually preoccupied. Although man must be involved with seeking a livelihood, it should not totally consume him to the point of exhaustion, as it is written (Proverbs 23:4). אַל תִיגַע להַעָשִיר – 'Do not wear yourself out in pursuit of wealth."

Other commentators, (e.g. Targum) translate niy', 'to be punished,' afflicted,' made subservient': 'I concluded that it is bad that God has allowed men the choice over their will so that He can

punish them for their actions. For had there not been Free Will there could be no punishment (Metzudas David).

... In order that mankind would be subservient to Him, God caused all man's actions to be vain (Eiger Chumash; Rav Yosef Kara).

[A possible translation could be: The power with which God has endowed man and which impels him to incessantly probe the actions of his fellows is a source of affliction to him.]

14. רָאִיחִי אָת־כָּל־הְמְעָשִים – l have seen all the deeds. [As King I had ample opportunity to immerse myself in this quest and make the necessary observations.]

קישֶת הַשְּמֶש — Beneath the sun [see comm. to identical phrase in verse 3.]

קבל הֶבֶל — And behold all is futile [lit. 'vanity']. And as a king I am qualified to make this statement (Eiger Chumash).

וְרְעִוּח רְנְיּח – And a vexation of the spirit. This translation follows Rashi and Targum who derive the word from איס, to break, and who understand רְוֹחְ as spirit, i.e. 'earthly quests leave one frustrated because his desires are unfulfilled' (Metzudas David).

Other commentators (e.g. Rav

ייוּכַל לְהָמֶן וְחֶסְרוֹן לְא־יוּכַל לְהִמְּנְוֹת: אֲנִי עִם־לִבִּי לֵאמֹר אֲנִי הִנֵּה הְנָּה הְנְּה הִנְּה הִנְּה הִנְּה הִנְּה הְנְבָּי לְבָעִת חְּכְמָה עֵל כָּל־אֲשֶׁר־ הְנְה הְרְבָּה הְרְבָּה הְרָבָּה הִרְבָּה הִרְבָּה יִי חָכְמָה תָלְכָּי לְבָעַת חְכְמָה הַרְבָּה יִי חָכְמָה תָרְעָת וְשְׁכְלֵוּת וְשִׂכְלֵוּת יְדַעְתִּי שֶׁנֵם־זֶהְ וְדַעַת חְבְעָת וְשִׂכְלֵוּת וְשִׂכְלֵוּת יְדַעְתִּי שֶׁנֵם־זֶהְ וְדַעַת חִבְּעָת הְלַלְוֹת וְשִׂכְלֵוּת יְדַּעְתִּי שֶׁנַם־זֶהְ

Saadiah Gaon; Ibn Ezra) compare the word to העה הות וה Hoshea 12:2 אַפְרִים רעה רות ורדף קרים רעה רות ורדף קרים רעה הות shepherds the wind and chases the east wind,' and translate our verse: 'and a grasping after wind,' i.e. man's effort is futile and as hopeless as catching the wind. Man's life is thus spent striving after nothingness.¹

15. מְעָנָת לְא־יוּכֵל לְתְקּן — A twisted [or 'crooked'] thing cannot be made straight. i.e. one who was 'crooked' in his life time [and did not repent] cannot expect to 'straighten himself' after he dies (Rav Almosnino).²

The Rabbis [Chagigah 9a] interpret this phrase as referring to the case of one who had illicit relations and begat a mamzer. The result of his sin lives on, unlike one who steals and returns the theft. Alternately, the verse refers to a sage who abandoned the Torah — from a good beginning he became 'crooked' [and the Hebrew nym denotes that which has been rendered crooked] (Rashi).

The Talmud gives an additional

application of this verse: If one [intentionally] did not recite the Shema or tefilah in its proper time, (Berachos 26a).

Ibn Ezra seems to interpret this verse not as a separate statement, but as a continuation of the previous two: 'All is futile... and a crookedness which cannot be made straight...' [i.e. of what avail are our actions? We cannot redress society's wrongs; we cannot fill its deficiencies.]

החסרון לא־יוכל להמנות – And what is not there [lit. 'lacking,' 'absent'] cannot be numbered [lit. 'counted'] This refers to the case of one whose comrades formed a group to perform a mitzvah and he absented himself; once the mitzvah is performed he can not count himself among them to share their reward (Rashi; Berachos 26a).

Midrash Lekach Tov adds that one who is void of mitzvos in this world cannot expect to be counted among the righteous in the Hereafter.

Taalumos Chachmah differentiates between מעוח, crooked, —

^{1.} The Midrash comments that 'all is futile' except repentance and good deeds, and compares Solomon to an old man who sat at the crossroads. Before him were two paths, one which was fine at the beginning but filled with thorns and reeds at the end, while the other began with thorns and reeds but ended evenly. He warned the passer-by about both roads ... Should not people be thankful to him for warning them so that they should not weary themselves? Similarly, ought not people to be thankful to Solomon who sits by the gates of wisdom and warns Israel that 'all is futile and a vexation of the spirit' — except repentance and good deeds?

I spirit. 15 A twisted thing cannot be made straight; 15-17 and what is not there cannot be numbered.

¹⁶ I said to myself: Here I have acquired great wisdom, more than any of my predecessors over Jerusalem, and my mind has had much experience with wisdom and knowledge. ¹⁷ I applied my mind to know wisdom and to know madness and folly. I

something which was once straight but is now bent; and הָּסְרוֹן, lacking — as something which had never existed.

Rav Yosef Kara translates 'there are so many deficiencies — in the futility of man's labors — that they cannot be numbered.'

16. דברתי אָנִי עם־לבי – l said to myself: [lit. 'I spoke with my heart'] i.e. I reflected introspectively (Metzudas David). The heart is the repository of the spirit and hence synonymous with wisdom, reasoning, and creative thought (lbn Ezra).

Just as physiologically, the heart pumps blood to all the organs of the body, spiritually, too, the heart influences and dispenses wisdom and compassion throughout the spiritual portions of the body (Alshich).

קבְּהַלְתִּי וְהוֹסְפְתִּי חְבְּמְה quired great wisdom [lit. 'I grew great and I added wisdom.'] i.e. I integrated the wisdom of the ancients and added to it (Ibn Ezra).

על כָּל־אֲשֶׁר־הָיָה לְפָנֵי עַל־יְרוּשָׁלָם — More than any of my predecessors over [lit. 'in'] Jerusalem. David was his only predecessor who ruled over Jerusalem. He must therefore be referring also to the heathen kings who had ruled there in times gone by (Metzudas David) — [such as Malkizedek King of Salem (Gen. 14:18).]

The Targum interprets this as referring [not to his royal predecessors], but 'to all the wise men who previously lived in Jerusalem.' [Jerusalem having always been renowned as a city of wise men (see comm. on verse 1).]

הרבה הרבה – And my mind has had much experience. [lit. 'and my heart saw much'] i.e. with a discerning heart I observed much wisdom and knowledge (Metzudas David).

17. וְאַתְנָה לְבִי [And] I applied my mind [lit. 'my heart']. After having grown great in wisdom I turned my attention to comprehending also the true nature of madness, although I knew it was a futile quest (Ibn Ezra).

הְלֵלוֹת וְשְׁבְלוֹת madness and folly. This translation follows Rashi

^{2.} As the Midrash notes: This world is like the eve of Sabbath, and the Hereafter is like Sabbath; if someone does not prepare before Sabbath what will he eat on the Sabbath! The Midrash stresses that repentance is impossible after death, and continues: This world is like dry land and the Hereafter is like the sea. If a man does not make preparation for himself while he is on dry land, what will he eat while at sea!

ברק א יווא רַענון רוח: כִּי בִּרֹב חַכִּמַה רַב־כַּעַס ויוסיף דעת יוסיף מַכּאוב: יח

[.comp. 2:12 שְּׁבְלוּת = שְּׁבְלוּת, comp. 2:12

Ibn Ezra, following the spelling. relates it to שֵׁכֵל, 'good sense,' the

opposite of madness.

as the הללות as the mad quest for riches - as Solomon had amassed gold, horses, and earthly pleasures; and שכלות as the folly of having many wives which he had also amassed for himself, somehow thinking he would nevertheless escape the temptations they placed before him. But now, in the twilight of his life, he reflected and perceived it was vanity and a vexation of the spirit.

ירעתי l perceived [lit. 'I knew']. I established without doubt that it was valueless (Sforno).

רוח בעיון רוח – שַנֵּם־זָה הוא רַעִיון רְוּח – That this, too, is a vexation of the spirit. i.e. that the accumulation of knowledge leads only to frustration (Metzudas David).

רוח), vexation of the spirit, is related to רעות רוח in verse 14. Similarly, here too, some commentators translate 'a striving after the wind'; 'a futile quest'.]

18. פי פּרב חַכמה רַב־כּעס — For with much wisdom comes much grief [lit. 'anger'.]

Rashi explains that Solomon had always relied heavily on his exceptional wisdom, and had always hoped that his amassing of horses would nevertheless not cause the people to return to Egypt and that his many wives would not lead him astray; [comp. Deut. 17:16, 17,] But in the final analysis it is recorded of him (I Kings 11:3) 'and his wives turned away his heart'. When one relies too much on his own wisdom and does not keep away from prohibitions - much grief comes to the Holy One blessed be He. [Rashi thus interprets God as the subject of grief in this verse.]

Thus in his old age, Solomon reflects on his past actions and concludes that it would have been better for him not to have relied so heavily on his own wisdom, but rather to have walked humbly with

God (Tuv Taam).

According to Ibn Ezra, the wise man - reflecting upon the true nature of the futility of man's labor will always be grief stricken at the transient nature of man in this world . . .

He perceives more than the ignorant man, and he is more affected

by it (Lekach Tov).

[The Talmud translates this verse: 'For much wisdom resulted from God's abundant anger' - and comments]: Had Israel not sinned. only the Five Books of the Torah and the Book of Joshua would have been given them, [the latter] because it records the disposition of Eretz Yisrael [among the tribes but the other books consisting mostly of the rebukes of the prophets would have been unnecessary]. From where is this known? 'For much wisdom resulted from abundant anger' [i.e. the anger of God caused Him to send many prophets and their wise teachings.] ווֹסִיף הַעת יוֹסִיף מְכָאוֹב — And he

who increases knowledge increases

I perceived that this, too, is a vexation of the spirit. 18
 18 For with much wisdom comes much grief, and he who increases knowledge increases pain.

pain. Similarly, the extra awareness caused by increased knowledge — of the futility of mankind — will only increase the wise man's pain at this realization (Metzudas David).

Rav Saadiah Gaon comments that the insatiable quest for knowledge will always be a cause of grief and pain. The man who wishes to amass wisdom will never be content with what he has learned; the true scholar will always want to know more.

In his Emunos v'De'os, Rav Saadiah Gaon adds: 'With the increase in knowledge there are revealed to him the flaws concerning which he was fully at ease before they became evident to him.'

It is impossible for man to fathom

God's method in ruling the world. The deeper man seeks to delve into esoteric problems, the more he perceives that the solution eludes him — hence be becomes frustrated and pained (Shaar Bas Rabim). It is therefore best to accept tradition and not delve into these restricted matters (Kehilas Yaakov).

[It must be noted that Solomon is not advocating that 'ignorance is bliss'. He is decrying the accumulation of רוב הָבָּהָה much wisdom, as the main source of human happiness (see verse 16). As the Kotzker Rabbi said, 'For with much wisdom comes much grief—און היי בן באף על היי בן but nevertheless! It is still man's obligation to acquire wisdom.'

א־ג אָמֶרְתִּי אֲנִי בְּלְבִּי לְכָה־נָּא אֲנַסְּכָה א־ג בְשִּׁמְחָה וּרְאֵה בְטֻוֹב וְהִנֵּה גַם־הָוּא ב הָבֶל: לִשְׁחָוֹק אָמֵרְתִּי מְהוֹלֻל וּלְשִׁמְחָה ג מַה־זָּה עשָׁה: תַּרְתִּי בְלִבִּי לִמְשִׁוֹךְ בַּיַּיִן

II

Prefatory Remarks

[The division of the Bible into chapters is of non-Jewish origin, introduced in the Middle Ages by Christian Bible printers. Most Jewish Bibles follow these divisions for identification purposes. In Masoretic manuscripts the text is divided according to several traditional systems — some of which unfortunately have never found their way into printed editions. Most notable among them is the traditional system of חווחות [open line divisions], and חומות [closed spaces] as found in ancient Hebrew manuscripts and הַבְּרֵי חוֹרָה , Torah Scrolls.

According to the Masorah, therefore, this verse does not begin a new chapter and a new trend of thought. Rather it is a continuation of the previous verses. Hence, the commentators view this verse in the context of the verses that preceded it and so interpret it.

Having established the futility of the pursuit of wisdom [1:13] and the futility of madness and folly [1:17]. Solomon now turns to the pursuit of joy, and to the combination of several life styles while deciding which of them is worthwhile. But after experiencing every luxury life has to offer, he concludes [verse 11] that 'it was all futile and a vexation of the spirit.']

1. אָמֶרְהִי אָנִי בְּלְבִי [therefore] said to myself. [lit. 'I said in my heart'] [Having achieved little satisfaction with his previous pursuits, he seeks new areas of experimentation.]

קְהַהּ בְּשְׁמְחָה - Come, I לְּהָהּינָא אַנְּסְכָּה - לְּהַהּוּ will experiment with joy. [He is addressing his heart; i.e. meditating and 'talking', as it were, to himself]

לְּכָה come [lit. 'go']. A form of urging, a call to action [comp. Gen. 37:20] (Metzudas Zion).

The word AIR, [from au, 'test'] here translated 'will experiment' follows Targum, the second interpretation in Ibn Ezra; Metzudas Zion.

Rashi and the first interpretation of Ibn Ezra, however, relate the word to מסך [="מסר] mix [wine], dilute, pour out. i.e. Solomon said: If 'wisdom yields grief' [1:18] then I will abandon it and turn to the

pleasures of joy, and indulgence in wine [see verse 3]

Alshich, also translating pd, dilute, renders: 'having experienced the grief brought on by wisdom, I decided to dilute the grief with joy, — and at the same time I told my heart to be concerned, not with the intrinsic essence of joy, but pd, with the goodness [i.e. the feeling of contentment and pleasure]. But alas, this too was vanity.'

Sforno relates the word to נְּטִיבוּת, government, and translates: 'I will conduct my kingdom and government according to the joys of pleasure.'

נונה — And enjoy pleasure [lit. and see what is good'] This translation follows most commentators. Targum is more literal and translates: 'And I will see the good of this world.'

הְבֶּל – וְהִנֵּה גַם־הוּא הֶבֶל – That, too, turned

II said to myself: Come, I will experiment with joy and enjoy pleasure. That, too, turned out to be futile. ² I said of laughter, It is mad! And of joy, What does it accomplish!

3 I ventured to stimulate my body with wine -

out to be futile [lit. 'And behold, that, too, is futile'] Because I saw prophetically, that much evil is caused by lighthearted frivolity (Rashi); i.e. This obsession with wordly pleasure is itself futility (Sforno; Metzudas David).

2. לְשְׁחוֹץ אָמֶרְחִי מְהוֹלְל – I said of laughter, it is mad! [or: 'to laugh, I said, is mad'] i.e. I said of laughter born of joy that it is madness (Metzudas David); and it does not lead to worthwhile objectives (Sforno).

Most commentators relate מְהוֹלְלוֹח , 'madness', 'senselessness'. Metzudas Zion translates it as
'melancholy'; and Rashi
translates: 'mingled with cries and
sighs.'

The Talmud, on the other hand, relates the word to הַהוֹלָל (=הֹלֹל praiseworthy, and translates: I said of laughter it is praiseworthy — this refers to the mirth which the Holy One, blessed be He rejoices with the righteous in the world to come. (Shabbos 30b).

The Midrash derives it from מְהוּלּם, mixed [i.e. confounded or confused] and comments: How confounded is the laughter in which the heathens indulge in their circuses and theaters! And of joy, what does it accomplish? What cause can there be for a scholar [who has the Torah from which to derive joy] to enter such places?

וֹלְשַׁמְחָה מַה־זֹה עשָה – And of joy,

what does it accomplish? [lit. 'And to joy, what does it do?'] i.e. what good is it? (Rashi); of what value is it to man? (Targum). In concept it is worthless! (Sforno).

Taalumos Chachmah comments that Solomon says: 'I never understood what harm could come from joy — until I indulged in it and found myself succumbing to temptations induced by light-headedness.'

According to the Talmud this refers to שְּמְיָה שֶּל מְצְנָה שֶל מְצְנָה שָל, joy not connected with a mitzvah. This teaches that the Shechinah [Divine Presence] does not rest upon man through gloom, nor through sloth, nor through frivolity, nor through levity, nor through talk nor through vain pursuits, שְלָא מְתוֹךְ דְבַר שְׁמְהָה — only as a result of a matter of joy connected with a mitzvah (Shabbos 30b).

3. תְרְתִי בְלְבִי — l ventured. [lit. 'I probed my heart' (as in 1:13 יְלָתוּר בְּּתְּמֶה 'and to probe wisdom')] i.e. I resolved to attempt grasping them all: merry-making, wisdom, and folly, and to stimulate and pamper my flesh by imbibing in wine (Rashi).

Metzudas David explains that Solomon ventured to find a method of grasping all three divergent life styles simultaneously, thereby to ascertain which of the three was the most valid pursuit: He inbibed drink which causes physical

אֶת־בְּשָּׁרֵי וְלִבָּי נֹהַגַּ בְּּחָכְמָהֹ וְלֶאֲחָוֹ בְּסִכְלוּת עַד אֲשֶׁר־אָרְאֶה אֵי־זֶה טוֹב לְבְנֵי הָאָדָם אֲשֶׁר יִעֲשׁוֹּ תַּחַת הַשְּׁמִים ר מִסְפַּר יְמֵי חַזֵּיהֶם: הִגְּדֵּלְתִּי מְעֲשָׂי בָּנִיתִי ה לִי בָּתִּים נָטַעְתִּי לִי בְּרָמִים: עָשִׂיתִי לִי גַּנְּוֹת וּפַרְדֵּסִים וְנָטַעְתִּי בָהֶם עֵץ בָּל־פֶּרִי: עשִׂיתִי לִי בָּרְכִוֹת מָיִם לְהַשְׁקָוֹת מֵהֶם

[the commandment of God that the heathens ridiculed. He is referring to 7:18 see Rashi there] (Rashi).

פרק

pleasure, while his 'heart' was involved with the wisdom of the Torah — and he simultaneously indulged in external folly.

Having found pure wisdom a source of pain [1:18] and merriment futile [2:1], he resolves to explore a way of life that will combine wisdom and folly — that is, he will live a life of pleasure yet not remain neglectful of wisdom (Ibn Ezra).

ביין – To stimulate with wine – an expression meaning to pamper by drinking wine. Luxurious banquets are referred to in Scripture as 'wine' (Rashi).

The phrase therefore means: to indulge in wine-drinking which stimulates the body (Metzudas David).

אָת־בְּשֶׂרִי — My body [lit. 'my flesh.]

קלבי נהג בַּחָכְמָה — While [lit. 'and'] my heart is involved [lit. 'conducts'] with wisdom. — Even while my body is stimulated with wine, my heart will be with wisdom and Torah observance (Rashi).

Ibn Ezra explains that he will pursue folly and indulge in pleasure — not with abandon, but under the guidance and restraint of wisdom, with prudent self control.

וְלֵאֲחוֹ בְּסְבְלוּת – And to grasp folly i.e. things that seemed to be folly

Metzudas David explains 'folly' in this case as referring to the externalities that entice man: fine houses, musical instruments, etc. Since they do not enter the body [i.e. the body receives no direct nourishment from them] they are called 'folly'.

Ibn Ezra explains סכלות folly as the craving for any earthly pleasure.

ער אָשֶר־אָרְאָר – Until I can discern [lit. 'see']. This experiment with wine and pleasure will not be uncontrolled and indeterminate, but precise and controlled – only until such time as I will discern to what extent man should pursue each way of life (Sforno).

He will indulge in each of the life styles only long enough to establish its merit (Metzudas David).

קייְהֵם — The brief span of their lives [lit. 'the (limited) number of the days of their lives].

4. הְגַּדְלְתִּי מְעֶשִׁי – I acted in grand style [lit. I magnified my works] i.e. I did great things, (Ibn Ezra) In the while my heart is involved with wisdom — and to grasp folly, until I can discern which is best for mankind to do under the heavens during the brief span of their lives. I acted in grand style: I built myself houses, I planted vineyards; I made for myself gardens and orchards and planted in them every kind of fruit tree; I constructed pools from

following verses he enumerates what these 'works' were (Metzudas David).

In order to accomplish the experiment outlined in verses 1-3, Solomon implemented his plan. Not only did he 'live like a king' he even exceeded the normal self-indulgence of royalty as the following verses relate. [He bemoans the outcome in verse 11.]

'I magnified my works' — to a greater extent than any of my ancestors (Midrash Lekach Tov).

בְּנִיתִי לִי בְּתִים – l built myself houses – [Comp. 1 Kings 9:10.]

The Midrash notes, to Solomon's credit, that his first grand act was to build a Temple to HASHEM. [Only after the Temple was completed did he turn to this personal goal.]

יניים לי בּרְמִים – l planted [myself] vineyards. The לי, 'myself', is stressed by Nachal Eshkol: Solomon did not utilize the legal right of a king to expropriate his subjects' property for personal aggrandizement as the Jews were forewarned by Samuel when they asked him to appoint a king and he responded that the new king 'will take your fields and your vineyards . . .' (I Samuel 8:14). Whatever taxes he collected went for the House of God. The grandiose schemes

described in these verses were paid for with his own property, not with taxes expropriated from his subjects.

5. בנות — Gardens — where vegetables and flowers are planted.

תַּרְדְּסִים — And orchards — a grove where fruit trees are planted. (Metzudas David) [The word קרְדָּט occurs again in Nechemiah 2:8; Song of Songs 4:13.]

The Targum adds that the gardens and orchards were outside the walls of Jerusalem because, as the Talmud (Bava Kamma 82b) notes, it was prohibited to plant gardens and orchards within Jerusalem (Gishmei Brachah).

עץ בליפרי The Midrash comments that this includes even pepper [typical of the exotic plants which do not normally grow in Eretz Yisrael but which Solomon cultivated] for which Solomon imported Indian water so that the plants should be productive (see Rashi).

One thing led to the other. Once involved in such extravagant pursuit of luxury...he couldn't stop. One project led to the other... (Taalumos Chachmah).

6. בְּרֵכוֹת מָיִם — *Pools* i.e. reservoirs (*Midrash*).

ברק ג יַעַר צוֹמַחַ עצִים: קּנִיתִי עֲבָרְים וּשְׁפָּחׁוֹת הַיְה לִי נַם מִקְנֶה בָּקָּר וָצָאוּ הַיְה לִי נַם מִקְנֶה בָּקָר וָצָאוּ הַיְה לִי נַם מִקְנֶה בָּקָר וָצָאוּ הַיְה לִי מַכֶּל שֻׁהָיִוּ לְפָנֵי בְיִרוּשָׁלֵם: כָּנַסְתִּי לִי נַם־כָּסֶף וְזָהָב שְׁרִים וְשָׁרִים וְשָׁרִים וְשָׁרִים וְשָׁרִים שְׁרָּה לִי מַכְּל שֶׁהָיִה לִי וְבִּיְלְתִּי וְהוֹטַׁפְתִּי מִכֶּל שֶׁהָיָה לִי בִּירוּשָׁלָם אַף חָכְמָתִי עָמְּדָה לִי: יְנַבְלְתִּי וְהוּטַׂפְתִּי מִכֶּל שֶׁהָיָה לִי: לִא אַצַלְתִּי מְהָב יִי וְבֹל אָשֶׁר שֲאֵלוּ עִינִי לִא אַצַלְתִּי מָהֶם יִּבְל אֵשֶׁר שֵׁאֵלוּ עִינִי לִא אַצַלְתִּי מָהֶם יִּבְּל אֵשֶׁר שַׁאֵלוּ עִינִי לִא אַצַלְתִּי מָהֶם

Rashi, in explaining the word 'pools' notes that 'it is similar to a fishpond dug into the earth.'

7. וְבְנֵיבְיִח – Stewards. [lit. 'children of the household'] This translation follows Targum, Midrash, and Metzudas David.

According to *lbn Ezra* they were the slave children born in the house [as distinct from slaves which *he bought*].

[Eliezer, Abraham's servant was referred to as בָּן בֵּיחָי, 'child in my house' (Gen. 15:3)]

[There are Scriptural references to the lavishness of Solomon's court. See, for example *I Kings* chapters 9 and 10, especially 10:5 where the Queen of Sheba is awed by the opulence of Solomon's court and his numerous attending servants.]

The Midrash comments that Solomon's table lacked nothing, neither relish [horseradish] in summer nor cucumbers in winter. He enjoyed them all year.

מפל שֶהְיוּ לְפָנִי בִירוּשָׁלֵם — Than all my predecessors in Jerusalem. [See comm. to 1:16.]

8. בַּנְסְתֵּי לִי גַּם־בֶּסֶף וְזָהָב — l

amassed even silver and gold for myself. [Solomon's treasure was vast, as indicated in I Kings 10:14: 'Now the weight of gold that came to Solomon in one year was 666 talents of gold ...'; and ibid. verse 27: 'and the king made silver to be in Jerusalem like stones.']

The Midrash elaborates that these stones were huge in size — measuring eight or ten cubits. Even the weights used in the time of King Solomon were of gold.

קלְכִים - And the treasure of kings, the precious treasures of gold, silver, and gems which kings collect and keep guarded in their treasure houses (Rashi, et al).

ְּהְמְּדִינוֹת — And the provinces — i.e. the treasures of merchants (Rashi); the rare treasures unique to an individual country and for which particular provinces are noted (Ibn Ezra).

שְרִים וְשֶׁרוֹת – Various musical instruments [This translation follows Rashi; Sforno.]

Rav Yosef Kara, Alshich, Metzudas Zion and others translate 'singers'; Eiger Chumash translates 'musicians.'

which to irrigate a grove of young trees; 7 I bought slaves — male and female — and I acquired stewards; I also owned more possessions, both cattle and sheep, than all of my predecessors in Jerusalem; 8 I amassed even silver and gold for myself, and the treasure of kings and the provinces; I provided myself with various musical instruments, and with every human luxury — chests and chests of them. 9 Thus, I grew and surpassed any of my predecessors in Jerusalem; still, my wisdom stayed with me. 10 Whatever my eyes desired I did not deny them; I did not deprive

שְּהָה וְשְהְוֹת — Chests and chests of them. The translation follows Rashi and most commentators who relate it to the phrase קַּיְרָה וְמִנְּרָל (Mishnah, Kelim 18:3] Rashi, however, specifically renders: 'luxurious chariots.'

There are many other suggestions for the meaning of this obscure phrase. Ibn Ezra prefers to render [from the root [\sqrt{\psi}] 'women taken by violence' — [i.e. an accumulation of many women in the royal harem.]

9. יְנֶרְלְתִי וְהוֹסְמְתִּי —Thus, I grew and surpassed. [A phrase similar to 1:16 (lit. 'I grew great and increased').]

I was greater in my own right and I exceeded them in material splendor (Ibn Ezra), by performing wondrous deeds (Metzudos David) beyond what kings usually did, as for example, [I Kings 10:18-20] 'And the king made a great throne of ivory . . . there was none the like made in any kingdom' (Sforno).

ויגדל המלך :See also ibid verse 23. ייגדל המלך שלמה מכל מלכי הארץ לעשד לחכמה, and King Solomon exceeded all the kings of the earth in riches and wisdom.] The Targum thus translates יְהוֹסְפְתִי: 'and I acquired wealth'.

קמְתִי עֶמְרָהּ לִּי – Still, my wisdom stayed with me — in good stead [guiding my steps] (Rashi).

[Compare the similar use of the root עמד in the Hagaddah וְהִיא 'and this is what sustained our fathers', etc.]

Alternately, Rashi and Metzudas David render: 'despite these vast undertakings I did not neglect wisdom (Rashi; Sforno).

The Midrash, translating qx in its other meaning: 'anger,' 'exertion,' ['sweat of the brow'] comments homiletically: Solomon declared, of all the Torah which I learned, only the Torah I acquired through exertion [or: through the blows which my teacher gave me (Torah Temimah)] remained with me in my old age.

יא־יב שְׁמֵלֵּר אָת־לָבִּׁי מִבָּל־שִׁמְחָה בְּי־לִבְּי יא־יב שָׁמֵלִי: וּפָּגִיתִי אֲנִי לְעֲשֻׁוֹת וְהִנֵּה הַכָּּל הֶּבֶּל וּבְעָמָל שֶׁעָמַלְתִּי לֵעֲשֻׁוֹת וְהִנֵּה הַכָּּל הַבָּעָמָל שֶׁעָמַלְתִּי לֵעֲשֻׁוֹת וְהִנֵּה הַכָּּל יב הַשְּׁמָשׁ: וּפָּגִיתִי אֲנִי לִרְאָוֹת חְרָבִּׁה הַכָּּל יב הַשְּׁמָשׁ: וּפָּגִיתִי אֲנִי לִרְאָוֹת חְרָבְּׁה הַכָּּל רְקָב בר עשׁיהוּ: הַלְּוֹת וְסִכְלִוּת בִּין מָה הָאָדָם שֶׁיָבוֹאֹ אַתְרַכּבר עשׂיהוּ:

10. [Determined to ensure the success of the experiment, see verse 3 above, he catered to his every whim and desire, denying himself nothing.]

לא־מָנַעְתִּי אֶת־לְבִּי – I did not deprive myself [lit. 'my heart'.]

חְהֶבּל־שֶּמְחָה — of any kind of joy i.e. from any activity that produces joy (Metzudas David).

This refers to the joy that comes from wealth (Midrash).

בּי-לבִּי שָׁמֵחַ מְבֶּל־עֲמָלי – Indeed, my heart drew joy from all my activities [lit. 'toil'].

[i.e. I drew satisfaction from my opulent way of life.]

עינין, my eyes, and לבי, my heart, are used in this verse to describe the

seat of desire, as in (Num. 15:39) אַחָרִי לְבַּבְּכֶּם וְאַחֲרֵי עִינֵיכֶם 'after your heart and after your eyes.]

תוריהיה חלקי מכליעמלי — And this was my reward for all my endeavors. All these efforts yielded me nothing more than this (Rashi); i.e. this satisfaction, alone, was all that remained with me as reward for all my endeavors (Ibn Ezra).

[Solomon describes the fruits of self-indulgence as being nothing

more than fleeting sensual pleasure, but there was no lasting, meaningful gain.]

11. יְפָנִיתִי – Then I looked. i.e. when I reflected on my endeavors I perceived that it was futile (Ibn Ezra).

ובְעָמֵל שֶׁעְמֵלְחִי לַעְשוֹת — At all the things that I had done. [lit. 'At the toil I exerted myself to do'.]

Ibn Latif comments that Solomon prophetically foresaw that all that he wrought — The Temple and its appurtenances — were destined to be destroyed. This prompted him to declare: 'it is all futile' [see also comm. to 1:2.]

הָה הַכּל הְבֵּל וּרְעוּת רוּחַ - It was all futile and a vexation of the spirit. Because they do not yield the eternal benefit I had expected of them.

וְאֵין יִתְרוֹן תַחַת הַשֶּׁמֶש – And there is no real profit under the sun.

Nothing of the endeavors that I pursued was capable of yielding benefit in this world beneath the sun (Metzudas David). [The Mishnah in Pe'ah lists good deeds for which there is some reward in this world, but in all cases the

II myself of any kind of joy. Indeed, my heart drew joy 11-12 from all my activities, and this was my reward for all my endeavors.

11 Then I looked at all the things that I had done and the energy I had expended in doing them; it was clear that it was all futile and a vexation of the spirit — and there is no real profit under the sun.

¹² Then I turned my attention to appraising wisdom with madness and folly — for what can man who comes after the king do? It has already been

primary reward is bestowed in the World to Come.]

12. [Having concluded the futility of all the above, Solomon sets out to establish whether there is an advantage to wisdom over folly and madness, and, as Rashi interprets, to contemplate in the Torah and perceive madness and folly, i.e. the punishment of sins.]

בי מֶה הָאָרֶם שְיָבוֹא אַחֲרֵי הַמְּלֶּךְ – כִּי מֶה הָאָרֶם שְיָבוֹא אַחֲרֵי הַמְּלֶךְ – what can man who comes after the king do? [lit. 'For what is the mun who will come after the king'.]

The phrase is ambiguous and the commentators offer several explanations:

What good will it do man to approach the king to appeal a prejudicial decree that is already in effect; he would have been better advised to consider his actions in time so that he would not be put into such a position (Rashi).

The matter may be likened to a human king who built a palace which all passers-by criticized saying: 'If its pillars were taller it would be more beautiful,' 'If its walls were higher it would be more beautiful'. But would someone come and say: 'If I had three hands or three eyes I would be more beautiful'? (Midrash).

... Metzudas David explains: Since God has created folly, how can man presume to detest it seeing that it already exists. Would, then, God have created it for naught?

Ibn Ezra, and others, translate: Coming after the king, what more could anyone hope to accomplish than the king has already done? [i.e. The king is best equipped to institute the comparison between wisdom and folly on the basis of personal experience, there is no need for anyone to follow him and repeat the experiment.]

אשוהוּ — Has already been done [lit. 'they have done it'.] [The translation follows Ibn Ezra who regards משוהו as impersonal, and adds הָעושִים, 'the doers' as the missing subject.]

13. [Following the theme he set forth in the previous verse], Metzudas David continues: 'although I realized intellectually that it is proper to detest קבלה, folly, nevertheless folly was not created needlessly. One can perceive the advantage of wisdom only by comparison with folly, just as one can appreciate light only by comparison with darkness.

[Following Metzudas David, then, the translation of this verse would be thus: 'But I perceived that the advantage of wisdom is discernable only from (i.e. when compared with) folly, just as the advantage of light is discernable only from darkness'.]

בְּיְתְרוֹן הָאוֹר מְןְיהַחֹשֶׁךְ — As light excels [lit. 'as the advantage, (profit) of light'] over [lit. 'from'] darkness.'

Just as one can distinguish figures in the light and place perceived objects in their proper perspective, such is the intellect of the wise man (*lbn Ezra*).

14. Solomon now elaborates on the factors that distinguish the sage from the fool (Metzudas David).

קהָבֶּם עֵינֶיו בְּרֹאשוּ – The wise man has his eyes in his head. i.e. high up on his body so he can see far, and he utilizes his vision by studying his route well in advance (Metzudas David).

He contemplates the end result of his deeds before he begins them [translating אר, beginning, (Sifsei Chachomim)] (Rashi).

תהְבְּסִיל בַּחִשֶּׁךְ הוּלֵךְ — whereas a fool walks in darkness. Ibn Ezra comments: The wise man clearly sees the way ahead of him and takes the most direct route to his destination, unlike the fool who goes about uncertainly as if groping in the darkness; not even knowing over what he stumbles.

[Because the wise man perceives through intellect, his vision is broad — the fool's vision is limited and narrow.]

קירְעְתִי נֵם־אָנִי אַח־בּלֶם — But I also realized [lit. 'but I knew, even I.'] ... them all. Even though I praise the superiority of the wise man over the fool, nevertheless, I cannot overlook the fact that death is the fate that will overtake אָלָם, them all, i.e. the wise man and fool equally (Rashi; Alshich).

15. וְאָמֵרְתִּי אֲנִי בְּלְבִּי – So I said to myself i.e. seeing that death is the

II done. 13 And I perceived that wisdom excels folly as 13-16 light excels darkness. 14 The wise man has his eyes in his head, whereas a fool walks in darkness. But I also realized that the same fate awaits them all. 15 So I said to myself: The fate of the fool will befall me also; to what advantage, then, have I become wise? But I concluded that this, too, was futility, 16 for there is no comparison between the remembrance of the wise

common fate of all, I was in danger of questioning the advantage of remaining righteous (Rashi), [but see comm. below, and next verse where he became convinced that there is no comparison between the fate of the wise man and the fate of the fool.]

נְם־אֲנִי יִקְרֵנִי — Will befall me also. [Although I have attained wisdom, I will die the same death as the fool.]

ודברתי בלבי שנם זה הְבֶּל — But I concluded that this, too, was futility. i.e. But I assured myself that this type of thinking about the advantages of wisdom and about the common fate of the wise man and the fool, was futile. There is no comparison [next verse] between the way a wise man is remembered and the way a fool is forgotten (Rashi; Metzudas David; Alshich;

Taalumos Chachmah).

man and of the fool at all, for as the succeeding days

Ibn Ezra and some others, interpret 'wisdom' as the subject of this phrase; i.e. notwithstanding its advantages, the ambition to become wise is futility [because the wise man and the fool are equally forgotten after death. (But see comm. next verse).]

בי אין זְבְרוּן לְחָבֶם עְם־הַבְּסִיל – For there is no comparison between the remembrance of the wise man and of the fool at all. [lit. 'for there is no remembrance to the wise man with the fool forever'.] – The wise man's memory is praised and the fool's is disgraced (Metzudas David), [as Solomon himself declared in Prov. 10:7: The memory of the righteous is for a blessing, but the name of the wicked shall rot.']

[There is a difference of opinion as to how this verse — within the context and continuity of the verses before and after it — should be translated. The above translation follows Rashi, Midrash, and most commentators, (see comm. previous verse).]

Ibn Ezra however, in consonance with his commentary (end of

לְעוֹלֶם בָּשֶׁבָּבֶּר הַיָּמֵים הַבָּאִים הַכּּל ּנִשְבָּח וְאֵיךְ יָמוֹת הַחַבָּם עַם־הַכּּסֵיל: וְשָׁגֵאתִי אֶת־הַחַיִּים כֵּי רַע עַלַי הַמַּעשׁה תַחַת הַשָּמֵשׁ כֵּי־הַכַּל הַבל ורעות רוח: ושנאתי אַני אַת־כַּל־עמלי שאַנִי עמל תַחַת הַשַּמש שאַנִיחַנוּ לאַדם שיהיה אחרי: ומי יודע החכם יהיה או

> / Nimrod; Moses / Balaam; David / Nebuchadnezzar.] They all died. but when adversity befalls Israel, we CIV 'Remember Abraham, Isaac and Israel, Your servants' [Ex. 32:13]; but do the heathen nations [in their distress] cry 'Remember the deeds of Nimrod'? (Thus the righteous are remembered: not the

fools.]

previous verse), translates that the striving after wisdom is futile: 'For the wise man is no more remembered than the fool, for as the succeeding days roll by, both are forgotten.'

בּשַבָּבַר הַיַמִים הַבָּאִים הַכּּל נשכּח — As the succeeding days roll by, is all forgotten? [The phrase is understood as an incredulous question by Metzudas David and most commentators. The wise man's deeds still linger on, while the deeds of the fool are forgotten (Alshich).

The word בשבבר occurs only here. It is best taken as an abbreviation of בַּאַשֶּר בָּבָר 'inasmuch as' (Metzudas David), or, according to lbn Ezra, בְּשֶבְּבַר, 'as previously.' (i.e. just as in the previous days, in succeeding days, as well.]

יאֵירְ יַמוּת הַחַבָּם עָם־הַכָּסִיל – How can the wise man's death be like [lit. with] the fool's? I.e. How can one even suggest that the deaths of the wise man and fool are similar! [The former's fame lives; the latter leaves nothing worth remembering.] (Metzudas David; Alshich).

The Midrash gives several examples of wise man and fool [Abraham

According to Rav Yosef Kara [whose interpretation agrees with Ibn Ezra] איך, how, is a sigh of lament [cf. איכה: Lamentations 1:1. ArtScroll edition, page 52.] The translation would then be: 'Alas! How alike in death are the wise man and the fool!'

17. ושנאתי את־החיים – So I hated life. [Solomon said this out of a sense of weariness, a sense of futility resulting from the frustrations he experienced from whatever he observed 'beneath the sun. 7

I utterly despise the life I live always having to observe the futility and frustrations of man's actions and aspirations in this world' (Sforno).1

Ibn Ezra observes that חַיִים can

1. The Midrash cites a case in which hate of life is clearly mirrored:

A man wrote a letter to the emperor Hadrian, saying: 'If you hate the circumcised, there are also the Ishmaelites [Arabs, who practice circumcision but are not persecuted]; if you hate

II roll by, is all forgotten? How can the wise man's 17-19 death be like the fools?

¹⁷ So I hated life, for I was depressed by all that goes on under the sun, because everything is futile and a vexation of the spirit.

18 Thus I hated all my achievements laboring under the sun, for I must leave it to the man who succeeds me. 19 — and who knows whether he will be wise or

either be a noun ['life'as translated in our verse], or an adjective: ['the living.']

Rashi comments that this comment was prompted by Solomon's prophetic vision of the evil generation of רְחַבְּעָם, Rechaboam, his son and disappointing successor under whom the Ten Tribes of Israel defected to Jeraboam.

בי רע עלי הַמְּעָשָה — For I was depressed. [lit. 'for the act is evil to me'] i.e. distasteful, improper (Metzudas David).

18-23. [Koheles is further dis-

tressed by the fact that even the fruits of man's strenuous physical efforts to accumulate wealth, will ultimately go on to heirs whose prudence and wisdom are questionable].

עָמְלִי – My achievements [this translation (lit. 'my toil') follows Ibn Ezra.]

19. ימי יודע — And who knows.² If my heir turns out to be a wise Torah scholar who will at least utilize the inheritance to study Torah without worldly cares, I would not complain [knowing that I have a share in his

Sabbath observers, the Samaritans also observe Sabbath. You hate only this people [Israel] — and their God will exact punishment from you.'

nd their God will exact punishment from you."

Hadrian offered a reward to whomever disclosed himself as the writer of that letter.

A certain person went and identified himself as the writer of the letter, and the king ordered that he be beheaded. When the king asked the man why he wrote the letter, he answered: 'Because you free me from three evil things.' 'What are they?' he inquired.

'My appetite desires to eat morning and evening,' the man responded, 'but I have nothing to satisfy it with; and the same applies to my wife and children.'

Hadrian said: 'Since you lead such a miserable life, abandon it [and die.]' And the man applied the text to himself 'So I hated life.'

2. The Midrash comments that Rabbi Meir was a skillful scribe and used to earn three selahs a week. He spent one selah on food and drink, another on clothing, and the third on support of scholars.

[Noticing that he never saved any money], his disciples asked him: 'What are you doing to provide for your children?'

He answered: 'If they are righteous, then it will be as David said: (Psalms 37:25) 'Yet I have not seen the righteous forsaken, nor his seed begging for bread' [i.e. God will provide for them]. If they are not righteous, why should I leave my possessions to the enemies of the Omnipresent!'

ברק סָבָּל וְיִשְׁלֵטֹ בְּכָל־עְמָלִּי שֶׁעָמַלְתִּי בְּיבָל־עֲמָלִי שֵׁעָמַלְתִּי בִּיבְעָ בְּיבְלּיעָמֶשׁ גַּם־זֶה הֶבֶּלּ:

בּ וְסַבּּוֹתִי אֲנֵי לְיַאֵשׁ אֶת־לִּבְּי עַל בָּל־עָמָלֹּ שְׁעָמָלְתִּי תַּחַת הַשְּמֶשׁ: בִּי־יֵשׁ אָדָם שְׁלָּלְ שְׁעָמַלְתִּי תַּחַת הַשְּמֶשׁ: בִּי־יֵשׁ אָדָם שְׁלַא עֲמַל־בּוֹ יִתְנְנֵוּ חֶלְלְוֹ גַּם־זֶהְ וֹבְעָת וְּבְּבָשְׁרְוֹן לְבֵּוֹ שְׁהָוֹא עָמֵל בּּוֹ יִתְנְנֵוּ חֶלְלְוֹ גַּם־זֶהְ בְּבָּר וְעָתְּוֹן לְבֵּוֹ שְׁהָוֹא עָמֵל בְּּ הְבָּיעִת וֹבְבִּעְתוֹ מַבְאֹבִים וָבַעַסֹּ בְּבָל־עְמָלוֹ וּבְרַעְיוֹן לְבֵּוֹ שְׁהָוֹא עָמֵל בְּ הָבְיִינִיוֹ מַבְאֹבִים וָבַעַסֹּ עִינְיוֹ מַבְאֹבִים וְבַּעַסֹּ עִנְינִוֹ גַּם־זֶהְ לְאָדָם עִנְינִוֹ גַּבְרּעִינִוֹ מַבְאֹבִים וָבַעַסֹּ עִנְינִוֹ גַּבְילִיוֹ מַבְאֹבִים וְבַּעַסֹּ עִנְינִוֹ בּבְלּתְנִיוֹ מַבְאֹבִים וְבַעַסִּ עִנְינִוֹ בּבְלּתְנִיוֹ בְּאַבְּבֹּ לִבְּיִלְה לְא־שָׁבָב לִבְּוֹ וְשָׁתָה בּי הָבִּל הְוֹא: אֵין־טָוֹב בְּאָדָם שִׁיֹּאלָבוֹ וְשָׁתָה בּי בְּבִל הְוֹא: אֵין־טָוֹב בְּאָדָם שִׁיּאַבְל וְשָׁלָה בְּבִל הְוֹא: אֵין־טְוֹב בְּאָדָם שִׁיִּאב לְנִינִי מִבְּל וְשָׁתָה בּיִבְּל וְשְׁתָב וְשְׁתָב וְשְׁתָּה בִּיבְיוֹים בְּבָּלְיבְים שְׁנִיבְל הְוֹא: אֵיִין־טְוֹב בְּאָדָם שְׁבִּעִם עִבְּל הְוֹא: אֵיִין־טְוֹב בְּאָדָם שְׁבִילִם עְשִׁבּל וְשְׁתָּה בּי בְּבִּל הְוֹא: אֵיִן־יְטִוֹב בְּאָבְרָם שִׁיּאִבְם לְנִים בְּבִל הְוֹא: אֵיִן־יְטִוֹב בְּאָבְרם שְׁיִּאב בּיוֹ וְשְׁתָּה בּיִבּי בְּבִּל הְוֹא: אֵיִין־טְוֹב בְּאָבְים שְׁבִּיל וְשְׁתָּה בּיוֹ בְּיִים בְּיִבּים בְּבַל הְוּא:

learning (Sforno).] But who can be sure that he will be a wise man? (Alshich).

בּמְינֶה הָבֶּל — This, too, is futility. That wise men should toil and fools inherit is one of the futile aspects of life (Rashi).

20. יְסְבּוֹחִי – So I turned. [In utter resignation] like one who, having given up hope of attaining his goal, turns away toward a different road (Ibn Ezra).

לְיֵאש – To despair – from toil and labor (Rashi) [and give up all hope.]

The Midrash adds 'But I reconsidered and said 'just as others toil for me, so I must toil for others'

21. בַּח־זֶה הֶכֶל וְרְעָה רְבָּה - This, too, is futility and a great evil. Akeidas Yitzchok comments that life can be all the more futile when, as often occurs, one denies himself the satisfaction of his own needs in order to amass a greater legacy for others. Such a person has literally nothing from all his labor.

The Midrash explains 'man' in this verse as an allegorical reference to God [for man was created in the 'image of God,'(Gen. 1:27)]. The verse refers to the generation of the Flood and what it made of the world which God created with wisdom, knowledge and skill. He bequeathed it to human beings who had not toiled in it and who ultimately

'You, are a hundred years old,' the king said, 'and you stand cutting shrubs to set plants! Do you think you will eat of their fruit?'

'If I am worthy, I shall eat,' the old man replied; 'if not, just as my forefathers toiled for me, so I toil for my children.'

'By your life,' Hadrian replied, 'if you are fortunate enough to eat of their fruit, let me know.'

^{1.} The Midrash relates that the accursed Hadrian was once walking along the roads of Tiberias when he saw an old man standing and cutting down shrubs to set plants. Hadrian asked him how old he was, to which he replied: 'I am a hundred.'

II foolish? — and he will control of all my possessions
20-24 for which I toiled and have shown myself wise beneath the sun. This, too, is futility. 20 So I turned my heart to despair of all that I had achieved by laboring under the sun, 21 for there is a man who labored with wisdom, knowledge and skill, yet he must hand on his portion to one who has not toiled for it. This, too, is futility and a great evil. 22 For what has a man of all his toil and his stress in which he labors beneath the sun? 23 For all his days are painful,

has no rest. This, too, is futility!

24 Is it not good for man that he eats and drinks

and his business is a vexation; even at night his mind

became a futile generation: 'and the wickedness of man was great in the earth' [Gen. 6:5] (Rashi).

22. בּי מָה־הֹוֶה לָאָרָם For what has a man i.e: what advantage, then, does man gain from all the toil and frustration he endures, finally leaving his possessions to others (Rashi).

דעיון – Stress [or: 'vexation', as in 1:17.]

23. בי כְּל־יָמִיו — For all his days i.e. all the waking hours during which his business endeavors are replete with pain and frustration at seeing his plans go unfulfilled (lbn Ezra).

בּחבּלִילְה לֹא־שָׁכֵּב לְבוּ — Even at night his mind has no rest. His worries, even at night, allow him no sleep (Metzudas David).

24. ... אין־טוב בָּאָרָם שִּיאכֵל וְשְׁחָבּר וּ sit not good for man that he eats and drinks. [This translation follows Rashi who understands the phrase interrogatively, and adds:] 'and guides his soul to perform righteousness and charity with this food and drink,' as was said to Yehoyakim [Jeremiah 22:15:] 'Did not your father eat and drink and do righteousness and charity, and then it was well with him?'

Is it not proper that man be

In due course, they produced figs. The old man filled a basket with figs and went to the palace gate. 'Go and tell the king," An old Jew whom you once met wishes to greet you," 'he told the guards.

They let him in, and he reminded the king who he was, and said: 'Behold, I have been worthy to eat of them, and these figs are the fruits they produced.'

Hadrian ordered that his basket be emptied of the figs and filled with coins.

His attendants asked him: 'You displayed all this honor to an old Jew?' He answered: 'His Creator has honored him, shall I not do so?'

·

מַרק בּ וְהֶרְאָהַ אֶת־נַפְשָׁוֹ טָוֹב בְּעֲמָלֵוֹ גַּם־זֹהֹ כה־כו כה רָאִיתִי אָנִי כִּי מִיַּר הָאֱלֹהִים הְיא: כִּי מִיִּי שֶׁפְּוֹב לְּפָנִיוֹ נָתַן חָכְמָה וְרַעַת וְשִׂמְחָה וְלַחוֹטֶא נָתַוֹ עִנְיָן לֶאֱסְף וְלִכְנוֹס לָתֵת לְטוֹב לִפְנֵי הָאֱלֹהִים גַּם־זֶהְ הֶבֶל וּרְעִוּת רוּח:

generous with his property and not hoard it to bequeath it to others? (Metzudas David).

הראָה אַת־נְפְשׁוֹ טוֹב בַּעָמְלוֹ — And shows his soul satisfaction? [lit. 'good'] in his labor, i.e. and utilizes his possessions to perform righteous and charitable deeds, thereby to attain posterity for his soul (Metzudas David).

היא בי מיַר הָאֱלֹהִים הִיא — That it is from the Hand of God.

Although wealth is in man's hands, I perceived that he who accumulates wealth must view himself only as its guardian who does not have permission to dispense it without Divine sanction. fi.e. Man must act only according to the ideals of charity and justice because his wealth is not simply the result of his labor; it is a gift of God (Kol Yaakov). For God desires that man enjoy the blessings of this earth (Ibn Yachya), satisfying both his physical being and his soul without being obsessed with the inevitability that his fortune will some day be bequeathed to others.]

Contrary to the previous feeling that man and beast are similar in terms of their physical, animal existence and that all striving is futile, this statement, [and verse 26] is Solomon's affirmation of Divine Providence — that all is calculated and done according to His plan (Taalumos Chachmah).

25. פי מי יאכל ומי יחוש חוץ מְמְנִי – For who should eat and who should make haste except me. Why should I deprive myself of my own portion of food and drink. Who is more entitled to eat the fruits of my labor than I? Only the wicked have the urge to hoard all their possessions for others [next verse] (Rashi).

[This verse apparently modifies the previous one: If all my property will eventually pass on to strangers, is it not right that I should view my possessions as a Divine gift and perform lofty spiritual deeds with them while I am still alive? Why should only others benefit from my wealth (next verse)? This is all part of God's Master Plan.]

יחוש — Make haste — to satisfy his desires (Ibn Ezra) by consuming my property (Rashi).

26. This verse modifies 24 — 'it is from the Hand of God' (Rashi).

שטוב לְפָנְיו – Who pleases Him [lit. 'who is good before Him'].

II and shows his soul satisfaction in his labor? And 25-26 even that, I perceived, is from the hand of God. — 25 For who should eat and who should make haste except me? — 26 To the man who pleases Him He has given wisdom, knowledge and joy; but to the sinner He has given the urge to gather and amass — that he may hand it on to one who is pleasing to God. That,

too, is futility and a vexation of the spirit.

הַתְּדְעָת וְשְׁמְהָה וּדְעָת וְשְׁמְהָה misdom, knowledge and joy. i.e. Has given intellect and the disposition to engage in Torah study and to rejoice in his lot by eating, drinking and donning clean garments (Rashi; Kara) . . . and the desire to perform good deeds (Metzudas David).

שלחוטא — But to the sinner. The Divine plan, on the other hand, awards the sinner with the urge to accumulate wealth which he is not permitted to enjoy and which he must ultimately bequeath to others as above in verse 21 (Alshich).

The Midrash cites an example of this doctrine in Esther 8:2 where the wicked Haman's lavish house was given to Mordechai.

בּרֵיזֶה הֶּבֶּל — That, too, is futility. i.e. Since God will, in any event, transfer the wealth to whomever pleases Him, it is futile to strive madly for its accumulation (lbn Ezra).

According to Metzudas David it is futile even if the wealth were eventually to go to a righteous person — because it would have been more beneficial for the wicked man to have put it to worthwhile use of his own volition.

וְרְעוֹת רוּח – And a vexation of the spirit — because his wealth is thus taken from him against his will (Metzudas David).

מרק ג א לַבָּל זְמֶן וְעֵת לְכָל־חֵפֶץ תַּחַת הַשָּמְיִם: א־ד בּ עֵת לָלֶדֶת וְעֵת לְעֶקוֹר נָטְוּעֵ: עת לָטַעַת וְעֵת לִעְקוֹר נָטְוּעֵ: י עַת לִהְרוֹג וְעֵת לִרְפּוֹא עת לִבְנוֹת: י עַת לִבְנוֹת: עת לִבְנוֹת: עת לְבְנוֹת:

1-15. [Solomon elaborates on a theme that recurs throughout the Book: 'What profit does man have for all his labor which he toils beneath the sun' - the sun symbolizes time which is governed by the rising and setting sun (see Comm. to 1:3). Man has no control over the laws which control the world. Moreover, he cannot fathom God's scheme for the world, nor can he change the fixed order of natural phenomena. He can only live in awe of time, witnessing the endless procession of events following one another in an unbroken circle.l

1. לכל וְמָן — Everything has its season [lit. 'to everything is a time'] [i.e. Things follow a fixed order and are properly regulated.]

Rashi comments that one who has frivolously accumulated many possessions should not rejoice. For although he possesses them now, everything has its time and they will ultimately pass on to the righteous [as in 2:26.]

יְעֵת לְכֶל־חֵפֶץ – And there is a time for everything.

Rav Almosnino differentiates between pp and ny: ny is the 'point in time' during which every event is destined to take place; and pp refers to the duration, from beginning to end, of that particular event.

γοπ, in Mishnaic Hebrew means 'thing' (Rashi).

2-8. [Solomon illustrates his statement in verse 1, by citing juxtaposing examples from the repeated round of human experience. The examples can be interpreted literally, but the Sages have perceived deeper meanings in many of them.]

2. עת לֶלֶדֶת — A time to be born — After nine months (Rashi).

Solomon begins by enumerating man's beginning and end — birth and death — the exact timing of which are beyond human control (*lbn Yachya*). [It is the *natural cycle* that is being considered here; not man's capability to artificially extinguish or prolong life. (See comm. of *Rav Almosnino* to verse 3).]

The Targum and Midrash translate: 'a time to give birth' [see next comm.]

תות למהית — And a time to die. The Midrash notes: Is then all the wisdom which Solomon uttered simply that there is 'A time to be born and a time to die?' Rather, the meaning is: Happy is the man whose hour of death is like the hour of his birth; just as he was pure in

III 1-4

Everything has its season, and there is a time for everything under the heaven:

² A time to be born and a time to die; a time to plant and a time to uproot the planted.

³ A time to kill and a time to heal; a time to wreck and a time to build.

⁴ A time to weep and a time to laugh; a time to wail and a time to dance.

the hour of his birth, so should he be pure in the hour of his death.

[As the Talmud remarks on the verse (Deut. 28:6): 'Blessed shall you be when you arrive, and blessed shall you be when you depart': Your exit from the world should be like your entry into it; just as you entered the world without sin, so may you depart from it (Bava Metziah 107a).]

עת לְטָעָת – A time to plant – in time of peace (Midrash).

Plant life, too, gives 'birth' and is regulated by seasons (lbn Yatif).

וְעֵח לַעְקוֹר נְטוּע — And a time to uproot the planted in the time of war (Midrash).

3. עת להרוג — A time to kill. The reference is to killing in war time (Midrash), or according to some, to the legal execution of criminals (Michlol Yofi).

קרפוא – And a time to heal. During peace time (Midrash); or referring to the requirement to search for any possible way to acquit a suspect (Michlol Yofi).

Rav Almosnino comments: הֵרִינָה, 'killing', is an artifically imposed, unnatural death caused by

humans; conversely הְבּוּאָה, 'healing', refers to the artificial prolonging of life. Thus the two concepts
are juxtaposed in this verse
although they are not true opposites. [מחק, 'to wound' would be
the true antonym of אפח, 'heal', as
noted by Ibn Ezra.]

עת לְפְרוץ — A time to wreck — during war (Midrash).

The inevitability of the timedetermined life/death, kill/heal process extends to inanimate objects as well (lbn Ezra).

וְעָת לִּבְנוֹת – And a time to build in time of peace (Midrash).

4. אַת לְּבְּכּוּת — A time to weep. — Tishah b'Av (Rashi) as it says [Lam. 1:2] She [Zion] weeps bitterly in the night (Midrash; Lekach Tov).

קינת לְשְׁחוּץ – And a time to laugh – in time to come, [when Israel is redeemed] as it is written (Psalms 126:2) אַז יְמֶלָא שְחוּף פִּינוּ – 'Then our mouth will be filled with laughter' (Midrash; Lekach Tov).

חחים חע -A time to wail - i.e. to eulogize the dead as a means of assuaging grief (Metzudas David).

וְעֵת רְקוֹר – And a time to dance, to

וְעֶת כְּנְוֹס אֲבָנְים	עַת לְהַשְׁלֵיךְ אֲבָנִינ	п	פרק ג
ועָת לרְחַק מְחַבְּק:	עַת לַחֲבוֹק		ה־ח
וְעֻת לְאַבֵּר	ַעֻת לְבַקּשׂ	1	
ּוְעַת לְהַשְׁלְיך:	עָת לִשְׁמִוֹר		
וְעֵת לִתְפּור	עָת לִּקְרֹוֹעַ	t	
ּוְעֻת לְרַבְּר:	עַת לַחֲשׁוֹת		
וְעַת לִשְׂנֹא	ֹעַת לֱאֱהֹב	П	
ּוְעֵת שָׁלְום:	עַת מִלְּחָמָה		

heighten the joy of an occasion, as at a wedding celebration (Metzudas David).

The Midrash interprets 'crying' and 'wailing' as referring to the state of mourning, and 'laughing' and 'dancing' to the period following mourning. Torah Temimah explains that Solomon thus implies the Talmudic dictum [Moed Katan 27b] that one should not display excessive grief over the dead. Rather the time for crying and wailing is limited to the period of mourning prescribed by Halachah. Once that period ends, public grief should not continue; it is a time to resume 'laughing' and 'dancing.'

5. עת לְהַשְּלִיךְ אֲבָנִים — A time to scatter stones.

Midrash Lekach Tov explains this as prophetic reference to the Destruction of the Temple, and to the ultimate Rebuilding.

... As it is written [Lam. 4:1] 'holy stones are scattered at every street corner' (Midrash).

ועח בנוס אָבְנים — And a time to gather stones. [During the Future Redemption] as it is written [Isaiah 28:16] 'Behold I lay in Zion a stone as a foundation' (Midrash).

Rashi also refers this to the ingathering of the exiles and cites the verse [Zechariah 9:16]: 'And HASHEM their God shall save them on that day as the flock of His people; for they shall be like the stones of a crown glowing over his land.'

Ibn Ezra interprets the verse literally. Even so incidental a matter as the disposal of unused stones and the collection of them for some useful purpose is conditioned by time.

עת לְחַבּוּק וְעָת לְרְחֹק מַחַבּּק עת לְחַבּוּק מַחַבּק — A time to embrace, and a time to shun embraces. i.e. during periods of ritual uncleanliness (Targum).

The Midrash comments: If you see a band of righteous men standing anywhere, embrace and show them affection; but if you see a band of wicked men, maintain your distance from them and those like them.

6. עת לְבַקּשׁ וְעֵת לְאַבֵּר — A time to seek and a time to lose.

The Midrash explains this, also, as referring respectively to peace-time and war-time.

Rashi interprets: a time to seek out the scattered of Israel; and a

III 5-8 ⁵ A time to scatter stones and a time to gather stones; a time to embrace and a time to shun embraces.

A time to seek
a time to keep
A time to rend
a time to be silent
A time to love
a time for war

and a time to lose; and a time to discard. and a time to mend; and a time to speak. and a time to hate; and a time for peace.

time when the Jews perish [אבר] in exile.

עת לשמור — A time to keep [lit. 'guard'] — when Israel does the Will of HASHEM, as it is written: [Num. 6:24]: יָבֶרֶבְ הֹי וְיִשְׁמְרֶךְ — HASHEM bless you and keep you. — (Rashi).

ינת להשליך — And a time to discard. [when Israel acts in an evil manner, God will] 'cast them into another land' [Deut. 29:27] (Rashi).

7. עת לקרוע — A time to rend garments over the dead (Rav Saadiah Gaon).

וְעֵת לְחְפוֹר – And a time to mend [lit. 'sew'] – new clothes for a wedding (Rav Saadiah Gaon).

עת לְחֲשוֹת וְעֵת לְרְבֶּר — A time to be silent and a time to speak. There are times when silence bears its reward, and other situations where speech [i.e. praise of God] is called for (lbn Yachva).

The merit of attending a house of mourning lies in the silence [which must be observed until the mourner begins to speak], and the merit in attending a wedding lies in the words [of congratulations and cheer addressed to the bride and groom.]' (Berachos 6b).

[Compare Job 2:13: 'And they sat down with him on the ground for seven days and seven nights, and none spoke a word to him'; and conversely after the Redemption (Psalms 126:2): הַלְּשׁונְנֵג רְבָּה 'and our tongues (will be filled) with singing.']

8. עת לאהב ועת לשנא — A time to love and a time to hate.

Even love/hate is regulated by time (Ibn Ezra); one may love something today and detest that same object tomorrow (Metzudas David).

עת מְלְחָמָה וְעֶת שֻׁלּוֹם ע – A time for war and a time for peace. There are times when a nation seeks war with its neighbor, and other times when these same two neighbors seek to dwell together in peace (Metzudos David).

Midrash Lekach Tov points out the word ny, time, is repeated twenty-nine times in these verses, corresponding to the days of the lunar month. The moon is 'renewed and refilled' each month as testimony of God's rule. This testifies to the existence of God and that all is under His dominion. בּרְכֶּ גֵ טּ מַה־יִּתְרוֹן הָעוֹשֶּׁה בְּאֲשֶׁר הָוּא עָמֵל:

ט־יא יְּ רָאִיתִי אֶת־הֶעִנְיָן אֲשֶּׁר נָתַן אֱלֹהֵים לִבְנִי

יֵּא הֵאָרֶם לַעֲנִוֹת בְּוֹ: אֶת־הַכִּל עָשָׂה יָפָּה
בְעִתְּוֹ גַּםְ אֶת־הֵעֹלָם נָתַן בְּלִבָּם מִבְּלִי אֲשֶׁרְ לְא־יִמְצָא הָאָרָם אֶת־הַמְּעָשֶׂה אֲשֶׁר־עָשָׂה הָאֵלהִים מִרְאשׁ וִעַד־סִוֹף:

9. מְה־יְתְרוֹן — What gain, then has the worker. Since all is governed by seasons and time — over which man has no control — what hope is there for him to retain mastery over his efforts? (Ibn Ezra) The 'time,' of the evil doer will come and all his ill-gotten gains will be forfeited (Rashi).

[Solomon is not simply speaking rhetorically. Having himself amassed fortunes (2:4-11) and having concluded it was all 'futility', he includes his personal experiences in

this query.]

Since man is fickle, of what permanent value is his wealth today? Tomorrow he might have different values, and his toil will thus have been in vain (Metzudas David).

According to Taalumos Chachmah: All is predestined by God and regardless of man's actions, it will come to pass in its precise, appointed time as foreseen by the prophets. Therefore it would seem proper to question what man hopes to achieve by his seemingly wasted toil in Torah study and mitzvos when it seems not to yield results [but the following verses negate the seeming validity of this argument.]

בְאֲשֶׁר הוּא עָמֵל – By his toil [lit. 'in that he toils'.]

The 'he' is stressed; i.e. of what avail is man's toil if his reward is not ordained from above?(Targum).

Since there are times for all things, what advantage has the laborer in his work and the honest man in his uprightness? (Midrash).

10. רְאִיתִי אֲת־הָעֵנְיָן — *I have observed the task* i.e. I have reflected repeatedly on the toil imposed on man by God.

[See comm. on similar verse:

1:13.]

Upon observation, I concluded that the task — i.e. the study of Torah and performance of the commandments which God commanded us to do — posed no problem at all [as explained in the succeeding verses] (Taalumos Chachmah).1

קענות בו – To be concerned with. [See comm. end of 1:13.]

Sforno translates: 'to be afflicted with': I perceived that God caused man to toil in order to keep him subservient lest he rebel against Him as did Adam.

11. יְפָה בְעֵחוּ – Beautiful in its time. I recognize that God created

According to the Midrash, this homiletically refers to the apparent frustration of Torah study — that a man learns Torah and forgets it. The Midrash concludes that it is good that man forgets, for if he studied Torah and never forgot it, he would occupy himself with learning for two or three years, resume his ordinary work and pay no further attention to Torah. But since he forgets, he never abandons its study.

9-11

9 What gain, then, has the worker by his toil?

10 I have observed the task which God has given the sons of man to be concerned with: 11 He made everything beautiful in its time; He has also put an enigma into their minds so that man cannot comprehend what God has done from beginning to end.

everything in its beautiful (in the sense of 'proper'] time: e.g. death in old age; and everything else in the time predestined for it by infinite wisdom (Ibn Ezra).

The Torah, too, was given at the proper time. The Midrash comments that the Torah should have been given through Adam 'the creation of My hands' so that he might occupy himself therewith.' But God said: If he was unable to fulfill the few commandments which were given to him, how much less will he be able to abide by the 613 commandments of the Torah! I will give them to his descendants.

Everything God created is beautiful, but must be utilized only in its designated time, (Metzudas David).

Taalumos Chachmah continues his comment [see verses 9-10] that there is no difficulty: God created everything beautiful in its time, nevertheless He did not abolish man's Free Will. In a manner that is beyond the capacity of human intellect to fathom, God allowed man to retain his freedom of choice. No decision is imposed upon him whether to perform mitzuos or to transgress them is entirely in his own hands.

נם אַת־הַעלִם נְתַן בְּלְבָּם — He also put an enigma into their minds. [lit. 'also the world He put into their heart. This translation follows Rashi: Although he instilled worldly wisdom into the hearts of man, He did not instill all wisdom into all men. Rather He dispensed small amounts to each person so no one would grasp fully the workings of God, or foresee the future. This is to ensure that, not knowing when they will die or what would befall them. people will indulge in repentance.

Therefore, continues is spelled without a vav, meaning העלם - hidden - for if man knew that his day of death was near he would neither build a house nor plant a vineyard. Solomon thus exclaims that 'it is a good thing that ... God has kept things hidden from man.

[see Overview to Esther, Artscroll edition page 34.]

Ibn Ezra explains the verse along the same trend, but explains הַעלַם as eternity: 'God has given a sense of eternity to men and they act as if they will live forever.'

Thus, being so involved in their daily pursuits, they 'cannot comprehend what God has done from beginning to end.'

The Midrash translates: 'He set in their heart a love of the world' [i.e. to develop its resources.]

שראש ועד־סוף – From beginning to end (i.e.the full plan and purpose of God.1

While man is involved in the birth process he does not think of

מרק ג יב יָדַּעְתִּי כָּי אַין טָוֹב בָּם כִּי אִם־לִשְּמֹּוֹחַ יב־יד יג וְלַצְשִׁוֹת טִוֹב בְּחַזֶּיוֹ: וְגַפַ בָּל־הָאָדָם שִׁיֹאכָל וְשָׁתָׁה וְרָאָה טָוֹב בְּכָל־עֲמָלְוֹ יד מַתַּת אֱלֹהָים הְיא: יָדַעְתִּי בִּי בָּל־אֲשֶׁר יִצְשֶׂה הָאֱלֹהִים הָוֹא יִהְיֶה לְעוֹלָם עָלָיוֹ אָין לְהוֹסִיף וּמִמֶּנוּ אֵין לִגְּרוֹעַ וְהָאֱלֹהְיִם אָין לְהוֹסִיף וּמִמֶּנוּ אֵין לִגְּרוֹעַ וְהָאֱלֹהְים

ultimate death; while he plants he does not think of eventual uprooting; while rejoicing, he does not consider sadness. [Man lives for the fleeting moment.] (Midrash Lekach Tov).

This is to discourage man from contemplating excessively as to what is above and below, before and after (Chovos Halevavos).

12. יְרַעְתִּי בִּי אֵין טוֹב בָּם [Thus] I perceived [lit. 'I knew'] that there is nothing better for them, i.e. for mankind. [This translation follows Rashi who interprets 'mankind' as the subject of בַּם, them]: 'Since [as mentioned in the previous verse] mankind's 'time of remembrance' [i.e. day of death] is hidden, there is nothing better for them than to rejoice in their lot and do what is right in God's eyes while they are yet alive.'

Sforno and Ibn Ezra interpret the toil and treasures man labors to accumulate — only to have them pass on to strangers — as the subject of

this verse. [They translate: 'that there is no good in them.'] Now that Solomon spoke of the transient nature of property and that possession is pre-determined and governed by time, he repeats that these treasures are good only to be enjoyed and used as tools for performing good deeds, as previously stated in 2:24.

Taalumos Chachmah translates 'there is no better in them' i.e. the days of man's life than the joy which man feels when having performed good deeds of his own free will, and perceiving the reward that awaits him.

In this connection, Harav David Feinstein points out that Jeremiah [9:22-23] prophesies that man should not praise himself for wisdom, strength, or wealth; but only for understanding and knowing God. This means that man's intelligence, strength, or wealth—because they are God-given—are not praiseworthy. It is only for harnessing his ability and resources

In response to a heretic, Rashi cites the following Midrash:

^{1.} Harav David Cohen points out a parallel in Rashi, Genesis 6:6: נַיְחָעֶצֶב עֶל לְבוּ , 'and He [God] grieved at His heart [for having made Man on the earth.]

A gentile asked Rav Yehoshua ben Karcha: 'Do you not admit that the Holy One, blessed be He, forsees the future?'

^{&#}x27;Yes,' he answered.

But it is written "He grieved at His heart"? the heretic asked. [And if God knows the future, why was He grieved?]

^{&#}x27;Was there ever a son born to you?' Rav Yehoshua asked. 'Yes,' came the reply.

III 12 Thus I perceived that there is nothing better for 12-14 each of them than to rejoice and do good in his life. 13 Indeed every man who eats and drinks and finds satisfaction in all his labor — it is a gift of God.

¹⁴ I realized that whatever God does will endure forever: Nothing can be added to it and nothing can be subtracted from it, and God has acted so that

and turning them toward knowledge of God — achievements that he has earned — that man is rightly praised.

13. יְנֵם כֵּל־הָאָרֶם — Indeed, every man; even those whose good deeds and charitable use of their hard-earned treasures were not the result of rational intellect, but were inspired by natural instincts towards propriety and generosity (Metzudas David).

i.e. One should consider it a divine gift if his natural instincts so righteously motivate him (Ibid).

Ibn Ezra comments: Since rejoicing is regulated by time [verse 4], man must realize that he would not be able to rejoice in his lot were it not so ordained by God at the time of his birth.

14. [Unlike man's actions — which are transient and vain — God's crea-

tion is eternal, except for those changes He purposely made to instill His fear in man.

הוא יְהְיֶה לְעוֹלֶם — Will endure forever. God's creation is perfect and eternal. Any changes we see are the result of God's anger at man, and His desire to instill reverent awe of Him in man's heart (Ibn Latif).

As the Midrash notes: [According to this verse that God's creations last forever] man should have lived forever. Why was the penalty of death imposed upon him? — 'God has so acted that man should stand in awe of Him.'

עליו אין להוסיף וממנו אין לגרוע – Nothing can be added to it and nothing can be subtracted from it. [Compare the similar phrase in Deut. 4:2. לא חספו... ולא חספו... ולא חספו... ולא חספו... ולא חספו... ולא חספו... און אינון של החספו... ולא חספו... און אינון אינון

^{&#}x27;And what did you do?,' Rav Yehoshua asked. 'I made everyone joyous.'
'And did you not know,' Rav Yehoshua asked, 'that he would ultimately die?'
'At the time of joy, let there be joy,' said the heretic, 'and in the time of mourning, mourning.'

^{&#}x27;So are the works of the Holy One, blessed be He,' said Rav Yehoshua. 'Even though it is revealed before Him that they would ultimately sin and be destroyed, He did not refrain from creating them for the sake of the righteous who are destined to arise from them.'

[Similarly, although one knows that the birth of an infant is imminent, one nevertheless rejoices at the moment of birth; and although one knows that death of a beloved one is imminent, one nevertheless grieves as the moment of departure.]

פרק ג יו עָשָּׁה שֶׁיְרְאוּ מִלְּפָנֵיו: מַה־שֶּׁהָיָהּ בְּבֶּר טו־יז הוּא וַאֲשֶׁר לְהְיֻוֹת בְּבֶר הָיָה וְהָאֱלֹהִים יי יְבַקָּשׁ אֶת־נִרְדֶּף: וְעָוֹד רָאֻיִתִי תַּחַת הַשָּׁמֶשׁ מְקַוֹם הַמִּשְׁפָּטֹ שָׁמָּה הָרֶשַׁע: אָמַרְתִּי אֲנִי יי וּמְקָוֹם הַצֶּרֶק שָׁמָה הָרֶשַׁע: אָמַרְתִּי אֲנִי

employs the phrase here to refer to the similar perfection in God's creation.]¹

יָהָאֵלְהִים עָשָה שִירְאוּ מְלְפָּנְיוּ — God has acted so that [man] should stand in awe of Him. [i.e. God's eternal creation, and man's transient nature form part of God's plan to foster in man a sense of dependence, a spirit of reverence.]

Rashi enumerates many miracles by which God changed the course of nature, thereby instilling, His fear in man. Thus, concludes Rashi, man should involve himself in nothing but performance of mitzvos and fear of God.

Verily, as the Talmud notes, God's whole purpose in creating the world was so that man should stand in awe of Him! (Shabbos 31b).

15. מְה־שֶּהְיָה בְּכֶר הוּא — What has been already exists. [This translation follows Rashi and Rav Yosef Kara who seem to break up the phrase מְה־שֶּהְיָה — whatever has been בְּבֶר הוּא, has already taken place previously. i.e. history repeats itself.] Targum adds: 'will be.'

[The phrase follows the argument in 1:9. See comm. there.]

(Rashi explains the continuity: In the previous verse we are told of the Eternity of the world, here we are told of the Eternity of God's actions. I Jacob is the eternally oppressed, Esau the oppressor; God perpetually loves Jacob [(Malachi 1:2-3): 'I loved Jacob and I hated Esau']. Egyptians pursued Israelites: the Egyptians drowned in the sea and the Israelites were victorious. ואשר להיות and what is still to be — is just as it was before; God never changes His ways: God will always seek [i.e. be on the side of] the pursued, and exact retribution from the pursuer. Therefore, of what benefit are the evil ways in which one toils? He will be ultimately held to account for his deeds.

קהָשְלְהִים יְבְקֵּשׁ אֶת־נְרְרָף – And God always seeks [lit. 'will seek'] the pursued. [Thus, Rashi.]

Ibn Ezra and Taalumos Chachmah however, understand נרקף as 'the time that has passed' i.e. In an endless cycle (pursuit) of events, God repeats that which was before, because God transcends

1. Rambam explains in Moreh Nevuchim: 'This is the reason for the perpetuity, as if he meant to say that [in the human order] things are changed to supply what is wanting, or to take away what is superfluous. The works of God being most perfect, admitting no addition or deduction, must remain the same forever. It is impossible that anything should exist that could cause a change in them except what He Himself changed [by performing miracles or changing the course of nature] 'that man should stand in awe of Him.'

[man] should stand in awe of Him. 15 What has been, 15-17 already exists, and what is still to be, has already been, and God always seeks the pursued.

> ¹⁶ Furthermore, I have observed beneath the sun: In the place of justice there is wickedness, and in the place of righteousness there is wickedness. ¹⁷ I

time. To Him, what is, was, and will be, are the same. One thing follows the other in an endless circular motion so that every occurrence is a recurrence.

The verse is beautifully translated, in somewhat homiletic fashion, by Amtachas Binyamin:

Whatever happens during the year has been pre-ordained since Rosh Hashanah. Nevertheless, man should not say, 'Now I can act wickedly to my friend because his suffering has already been ordained. Not so— God always seeks the oppressed and will seek retribution from perpetrators of evil.¹

16-22. [Koheles now enters into a discussion on corrupt practices in the administration of justice; eventual divine retribution against the wicked; and the seeming similarity between man and beast.]

16. שְּשֶׁשְ חַחַה — Beneath the sun, i.e. in this world beneath the shining sun (Metzudas David) [see comm. to 1:3.]

קום הַמְשְׁפָּט שָׁמָה הָרֶשַׁע – In the place of [the] justice there is [the]

wickedness. i.e. [In the courts of law] one expects to find justice; instead he finds injustice and perversion (Alshich; Ibn Yachya).

Rashi comments that רְאִיתוּ, I observed, refers to prophetic vision — that Solomon foresaw the Chamber of the Hewn Stone in Jerusalem, the meeting place of the Sanhedrin, of which it was said [Isaiah 1:21]: 'Righteousness lodged in her, but now murders'; and he saw their retribution.

The Midrash amplifies: Murders were committed there: They slew Zechariah and Uriah [II Chron. 24:21; Jer. 26:23ff. see comm. to Megillas Eichah, 2:20; ArtScroll ed. page 89.]

נְּצְרֶק שְׁמָה הָּרְשַׁע — And in the place of righteousness there is wickedness. Sforno translates: Instead of righteousness, i.e. righteous verdicts, there are corrupt, venal judges.

קרְשֶׁע — The wickedness. The word is a noun, like קשָע earlier in the verse. Here it is vocalized as קשָע, because, grammatically, the segol under the resh: [٦] becomes a

^{1.} In human experience, it is seldom obvious who is the true pursuer and who is pursued. The pursuer may be seeking to avenge a grievous wrong done him by the pursued. Usually, a complex chain of circumstances obscures responsibility. God in His infinite wisdom knows which is the responsible party. Still, the verse uses the expression: 'God always seeks' to indicate to us that we must always investigate carefully to find the true victim, rather than go by superficial first impressions (Harav David Feinstein).

ברק ג בְּלִבִּי אֶת־הַצַּדִּילְ וְאֶת־הָרֶשְׁע יִשְׁפִּטּ יח־יט הֵאֱלֹהִים כִּי־עֵת לְכָל־הֵפֶּץ וְעַל כָּל־ הַמְּעֲשֶׂה שֵׁם: אָמַרְתִּי אֲנִי בְּלִבְּי עַל־ דִּבְרַת בְּנֵי הֵאָדָם לְבָּרָם הְאֶלֹהִים יש וְלִרְאוֹת שְׁהֶם־בְּהֵמָה הֵמָה לָהֶם: כִּי מִקְרָה בְנִי־הָאָדָם וּמִקְרָה הַבְּהֵמָה וּמִקְרָה אֶחָד לָהֶם בְּמִוֹת זֶהֹ כֵּן מְוֹת זֶה

kamatz [7] when the word appears at the end of the sentence (Rashi).

Ibn Ezra notes that the phrase is repeated in the verse for emphasis.

Targum however, renders it as an adjective: 'Instead of the righteous man, we find the wicked man.'

17. אָמֶרְתִּי אֲנִי בְּלְבֵּי – l mused [lit. I said in my heart.] i.e. I therefore concluded (Rashi).

אָת־הַצַּדִּיק וְאָת־הָּרֶשֶע יִשְׁפּט הְאֵלְהִים — God will judge the righteous and the wicked. The meaning, as explained by Rashi, is that eventually God judges all, and although judgment appears delayed, everyone's time comes eventually בִּיעח לְכָל pry הַּ, For there is a time for everything—even for retribution—and judgment will assuredly come.

קעל כְּל־הַמְעַשְׁה — And for every deed — that man does on this world. שָׁם, there, will he be judged (lbn Yachya).

Akeidas Yitzchok, in a lengthy philosophic dissertation, discusses the question of why, if certain evil acts are pre-ordained, man is punished for committing them. [i.e. when, in effect, the perpetrator is merely carrying out God's will.] He cites the example of the Egyptians who were punished for enslaving

the Jews although it was the Jews' lot to be enslaved. He concludes that God, reckons retribution for every deed because human beings possess free will. Neither the Egyptians nor other evil-doers were motivated by the knowledge that they were carrying out God's will. The divine plan had no effect on their actions; they committed evil because they wanted to.

[See, in this context, commentary to ArtScroll edition of Megillas Eichah 1:9 בֵּי הַגְּרֵיל אוִיַב, 'for the enemy has acted prodigiously', which suggests that although the enemy was merely an instrument of God's will in executing Jerusalem's destruction, he is held accountable for he acted overzealously, and with relish, in carrying out his mission.]

Dw — There. An obvious reference to reward and punishment in the hereafter, after death (Ibn Ezra, Rav Saadiah Gaon, et al).

'A man can do what he pleases in this world, but in the hereafter there will be judgment and reckoning' (Midrash).

Rashi understands my, there, as 'the unspecified time which is reserved for reckoning.'

18. לברם Has chosen them out

III mused: God will judge the righteous and the wicked, 18-19 for there is a time for everything and for every deed, there.

18 Then I said to myself concerning men: 'God has chosen them out, but only to see that they themselves are as beasts.' 19 For the fate of men and the fate of beast — they have one and the same fate: as one dies,

[From ברר, to sift, select, per Rashi.] The Midrash interprets the phrase לברר להם - to make manifest to them and translates: 'God allows the wicked to revile and blaspheme, and yet prosper. For what purpose? לברם, to make it manifest to them what the Attribute of Judgment has in store for the wicked. That they may see that they themselves are like beasts: that they should recognize and demonstrate to the world that the wicked are like beasts. Just as the beast dies and does not enter the World to Come, so will the wicked die like a beast and not enter the World to Come.

הלראות — But only to see [lit. 'and to see'.] Ibn Janach translates this as a past perfect beginning a separate clause: 'And I saw' (i.e. 'I additionally perceived).

שְהֵם־בְּחָמָה הַמְּה לְּהֵם themselves are as beasts. [This is the general sense of this ambiguous phrase (lit. 'they are a beast, they are to them'). The translation, rendering הַבְּהַמָּה as beasts, follows Targum and most commentators. The sense of the verse is clear. Although men are vain about their supposed superiority, God has selected the most eminent among them to demonstrate that they are

not superior at all, that even kings and officers are as selfish and shortsighted as any other animal or beast.]

According to Rav Saadiah Gaon, the verse, in continuation of verse 16-17, means: Then I said to myself concerning man: 'What will happen to them in the hereafter when God sifts the righteous from the wicked and makes it obvious that the difference between them is as drastic as the difference between man and beast? [The following verses are interpreted to mean that only in the futilities of life and in the actual process of death are man and beast similar. Man's purpose on this world is infinitely great; so is his reward.1

19. בֵּי מְקְרֶה בְּנִי־הָאָרָם וּמְקְרֶה For the fate of men [lit. 'of the children of man'] and the fate of [the] beast. This verse is directed to those who lack wisdom and intellect, and who, upon seeing that man seemingly shares the fate of the beast, surmise that both also share the same spirit (Ibn Ezra).

Therefore, since man becomes ill and dies as does the beast, man must elevate his purpose in this world and indulge in righteous acts in order to give dignity and meaning to his life (Rav Saadiah Gaon).

כ־כב בּ הַבְּהֵמָה אָיִן כִּי הַכָּל וּמוֹתַּר הָאָדָם מִן־ אָל־מָקּוֹם אָחָר הַכּּל הָבֶל: הַכָּל הוֹלֶף כא וְהַכָּל שָׁב אֶל־הֶעָפֶר: מִי יוֹדֵעַ רְנּחַ בְּנֵי הַאָּדָם הָעֹלָה הָיא לְמָעְלָה וְרֹנּחַ הַבְּהֵמָה בּ הַיֹּרֶדֶת הָיא לְמַשָּה לָאֶרֶץ: וְרָאִיתִי כֵּי אַין טוֹב מְאַשֶּׁר יִשְׂמַח הָאָדָם בְּמֵעֲשָׁיוּ בּי־הָנּא חֶלְקוֹ כִּי מַי יְבִיאָנּוֹ לִרְאוֹת בְּמֶה שִׁיָּהְנָה אַחַרִיוּ:

קדר לְבּל — And they all [i.e. man and beast] have the same [lit. 'one'] spirit. — For without the soul both remain lifeless cadavers (Ibn Yachya).

What Koheles mentioned in verse 19: 'both have the same spirit' refers only to the similarity they share in dying; beyond that, as pointed out in verse 21, there is a very great difference between the two (Rav Saadiah Gaon).

המותר הָאָרֶם מְּוְ־הַבְּהַמָּה אָיִן — Man has no superiority [lit. 'gain'] over [the] beast. i.e. Man's superiority is not recognizable after death, because everything reverts to nothingness by returning to dust (Rashi).

This applies only when man has spent his life in the futile accumulation of wealth; not if he indulged in Torah and good deeds (Metzudas David).

Homiletically the phrase may be translated: 'And man's superiority over beasts is: אָאָי, [which forms an acrostic of] אָמִירָה וְדִיעָה נְּשָׁמָה speech, intellect and soul [by which man does distinguish himself over the beast.] (Chidah).

בי הַבּל הָבֶּל — For all is futile, [i.e. that mankind perceives no difference between man and beast; or that the sin of Man has caused him to share the same physical death with the beast.]

20. הַפּל הוּלֵך — All go. The continuity of the verses suggest that all is futile and both man and beast share the same physical fate: they both return to dust (Rav Yosef Kara).

[Comp. 12:7.]

21. מי יודע — Who perceives [lit. 'who knows'.] i.e. who is of sufficient intellect to grasp . . . (Akeidas Yitzchak).

Rav Saadiah Gaon [also Rashi] construes the sentence as an affirmation, like the statement מָלִי יוֹדְע, 'he who knows will return and repent.' [Joel 2:14] That is, 'whoever knows that he is sinning, will repent.' Likewise, here, He who knows, understands that one soul rises upward, while the other goes down. Rav Saadiah continues, that should anyone insist that this verse implies doubt on the part of the Sage, as the concluding verses

20-22 Man has no superiority over beast, for all is futile.

20 All go to the same place; all originate from dust and all return to dust. 21 Who perceives that the spirit of man is the one that ascends on high while the spirit of the beast is the one that descends down into the earth? 22 I therefore observed that there is nothing better for man than to be happy in what he is doing, for that is his lot. For who can enable him to see what will be after him?

of the chapter would seem to indicate, the Sage's final certainty is made clear by his statement 'And the spirit returns to God' [12:7] and by his pronouncement: 'But know that for all these things God will bring you into judgment' [11:9.]

רוח בני האָרֶם — The spirit of man. Rav Yosef Kara explains 'who can know what happens to the spirit of man that ascends on high, seeing that it never returns to the living; and similarly what happens to the soul of the beast that descends down into the earth. The stress on the verse is on 'the spirit' because the fate of 'the body' is known: it returns to the dust (v. 20).

קילה היא למעלה – is the one that ascends on high – and, unlike the beast, must stand trial for his actions (Rashi).

22. יְרָאִיחִי — And I [therefore] observed. i.e. I concluded, based upon all of the above (Rashi).

ישְׁמֶח הָאָרָם בְּמָעָשָיו — For man than to be happy in what he is

doing [lit. 'in his deeds'] i.e. in the performance of Torah and mitzvos, for it, Torah observance, is within man's province alone. He should concentrate on spiritual matters only, therefore, and ignore mundane matters (Alshich).

Rashi interprets that man is entitled to rejoice in and enjoy the products of his labor — but that he must excercise temperance and not accumulate what is not rightfully his (Rashi).

בי מי יְבִיאֶנּוּ לְרְאוֹת – For who can enable him to see, what will happen to his children after he dies, and whether they will be successful with the inheritance he bequeathed them (Rashi).

Alshich translates literally: רְרְאוֹה לְרְאוֹה , who will bring him-from the hereafter back to his home — to see what develops with his household. Only 'his righteousness endures forever' [Psalms 111:3] and will accompany him in the Eternal World.

מרק ד א וְשַׁבְּתִּי אֵנִי וֵאָרְאָהֹ אֶת־כָּל־הָעֲשָׁלִּים א־ג אָשֶׁר נְצֵשִׁים תַּחַת הַשָּׁמֶשׁ וְהִנֵּה | דִּמְעַת הַצְשָׁקִים וְאֵין לָהֶם מְנַחֵם וּמִיַּדְ בּ עְשְׁבֵּיהֶם כִּחַ וְאֵין לָהֶם מְנַחָם: וְשַׁבַּחֲ אָנְי אֶת־הַמֵּתִים שֶׁבְּבָר מֵתוּ מִן־הַחַיִּים ג אֲשֶׁר הַמָּה חַיִּיִם עֲדְנָה: וְטוֹבֹ מְשְׁנֵיהֶם אָת אֲשֶׁר־עֲדֶן לָא הָיָה אֲשֶׁר לְא־רָאָהֹ

1. וְאַרְאָה ... וְאַרְאָה — And I returned and contemplated [lit. 'and I returned and I saw'] With this verse, Solomon reverts to the thought stated in 3:16, 'in the place of justice is wickedness.' He therefore uses the word 'returned' i.e. 'I returned to that trend of thought;' 'I reconsidered' (Ibn Yachya).

Rav Almosnino and Ibn Ezra, however, suggest that the continuity is with the preceding verse: I held that 'there is nothing better for man than to be happy in what he is doing' [3:22] but then יְשָׁבְּתִי, I returned and contemplated: Happiness is good, but how can man be happy 'seeing all the acts of oppression that are committed beneath the sun'!

אָת־בְּל-הָּעָשְׁקִים — All the acts of oppression — i.e. the crime and violence freely committed in the world (Rav Yosef Kara). Or, according to Ibn Ezra the corruption and graft — public officials always ready to take one's possessions by force.

יְהְנֵּה דְּמְעֶת הְעָשְקִים — Behold the tears of the oppressed — i.e. I saw their tears flow — they have no one to comfort them (Metzudas David).

תַּיַר עשְקְיהָם כֹּח — And their oppressors have the power [lit. 'and from the hand of their oppressors is power'] i.e. the oppressors abused their power and exercised it ruthlessly [taking advantage of the fact that onlookers were apathetic.] The victims are powerless to respond with anything but their own tears (Ibn Ezra).

קְנְחֶם מְנָחֶם — With none to comfort them. This phrase is repeated to stress that even under the most inhuman oppression, no one comes forth to comfort them (lbn Ezra).

According to Alshich: Although the general public has sufficient power to save the downtrodden from the hands of their persecutors, no one does. And this is the cause of my pessimism.

Sforno translates the phrase differently: 'with none to exhort them to repent and seek divine comfort.'

2.3. Koheles resolves, therefore, that the dead, who are no longer exposed to social injustices are more fortunate than the living. But most fortunate of all are the unborn who were never exposed to any form of human cruelty (Rav Yosef Kara).

יְשֶׁבָּחַ אֲנִי — So I consider more

And I returned and contemplated all the acts of oppression that are committed beneath the sun: Behold! Tears of the oppressed with none to comfort them, and their oppressors have the power — with none to comfort them. ² So I consider more fortunate the dead who have already died, than the living who are still alive; ³ but better than either of them is he who has not yet been, and has never witnessed the

fortunate [lit. 'And I praised'.]

אַת־הַמְּתִים שֶּׁבְּבֶּר מְתוּ — The dead who have already died because they have found rest (Ibn Ezra); and are no longer victimized (Rav Kara).

Ibn Ezra explains that people can accept calamity when it comes from the hand of God. However, they cannot bear to be victimized by a fellow human being. When that happens, they would rather be dead than alive.

Amtachas Binyamin homiletically explains the apparent redundancy ('dead who have already died') in conjunction with the Rabbinic dictum: "Whoever wants to live in the World to Come should 'mortify himself' [i.e. detach himself] from This World." Thus: I praised the 'dead', i.e. the righteous who, even שבברמתו מן־החיים who, even during their lifetime, disassociated themselves from This World by denying themselves its pleasures. Because they denied themselves the false allures of earthly life, המה חיים ערֵנָה – they are considered truly alive — because they live in the Eternal World.

The Sages offer various homiletical interpretations of the verse.

Here are a few:

The 'dead' refers to the Patriarchs and the 'living' to Moses. When God wished to destroy Israel, Moses made countless supplications on their behalf, but he was not successful. But when he invoked the merit of Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob, God immediately relented [thus the dead are more 'praised' in the sense of more effective, than the living] (Shabbos 30b).

According to the Midrash, the 'dead' refers to David, and the 'living' to Solomon. When Solomon inaugurated the Holy Temple, no Heavenly fire came down to the altar despite his pleas. But when he invoked the merit of his father David, fire descended immediately.

...Another interpretation offered in the *Midrash* is that both references are to the Jews. When Ezekiel stood in the valley and commanded that the scattered dry bones come together and live again (*Ezekiel 37:4*), they obeyed. He said to them: When you were alive you did not listen to the words of Jeremiah that you repent; now you listen!

וטוב משניהם — But better than either

בּרק ד אֶת־הַמְּצֶשֶׂה הָרֶע אֲשֶׁר נַצְשָׂה תַּחַת דיו רְ הַשְּׁמֶשׁ: וְרָאִיתִי אֲנִׁי אֶת־כָּל־עָמָל וְאֵתּ כָּל־כִּשְׁרָוֹן הַמַּצְשֶׁה כִּי הִיא קּנְאַת־אֻישׁ הַבְלְאנָה נָּם־זֶה הֶבֶל וּרְעִוּת רְוּח: הַכְּסִיל וּ חֹבֵק אֶת־יָדָיו וְאֹכֵל אֶת־בְּשָּׂרְוּ: טוֹב מְלָא כַף נָחַת מִמְּלָא חָפְנַיִם עָמָל וּרְעִוּת

of them [lit. than the two of them] — i.e. than the living or the dead (Almosnino).

אָשֶר־עָרֶן לא הָיָה — who has not yet been — the word אָדֶן is a shortened form of אָד, as yet (Ibn Ezra).

Most commentators interpret the phrase to mean: 'who have not been born.'

There is a similar statement in the Talmud: The Schools of Hillel and Shammai disputed for two and a half years whether it is better for man that he was created, or whether it would have been better for man had he not been created. Finally they agreed that it would have been better had he not been created, but now that he was created, he should examine his deeds and live a righteous life (Eruvin 13b).

[The relevancy of the Talmudic dispute to the passage before us is more apparent than real.

Here in verses 1-3, Koheles speaks of man's relation with man, and he concludes that it would have been better to be unborn and thus never exposed to man's inhumanity to man. Further, in 9:4-5, Koheles speaks of man's responsibility visavis God and the almost inevitable sin and punishment resulting from man's inability to carry out his heavy responsibilities toward Him. There, Koheles declares that as long

as there is life within him, man has the hope of serving God and repenting for transgressions.

But would it have been better not to have been born and thus be spared the agonizing responsibility?

Koheles does not discuss the question. It is that dilemma with which the Schools of Hillel and Shammai grappled in the Talmud.

Rav Yosef Kara suggests that it refers to people of earlier generations, who lived before corruption became so rampant. They were spared the pain of bearing witness to it.

4. Now Koheles turns to those whose toil is not motivated by criminal motives. He concludes that even though most people are basically sincere, they are impelled by competition, greed and jealously. These factors are themselves 'futility and a vexation of the spirit' (Ibn Latif).

בי היא קנאת־איש מרעהו — Spring from man's rivalry with his neighbor [lit. 'because it is a man's envy of his neighbor'] Everyone wants to outdo his neighbor in status, living quarters, clothing, children, food, wisdom, and reputation (Ibn Ezra).

IV 4-6 evil that is committed beneath the sun.

⁴ And I saw that all labor and all skillful enterprise spring from man's rivalry with his neighbor. This, too, is futility and a vexation of the spirit! ⁵ The fool folds his hands and eats his own flesh. ⁶ Better is one handful of pleasantness, than two fistfuls of labor and vexation of the spirit.

בוי ההל ורעות רוח — This, too, is futility and a vexation of the spirit: Because the drive is not a healthy one, and people will outdo themselves — often detrimentally — only in order to satisfy their competitive drive. Thus, they find themselves enslaved to their own passions (Shaar Bas Rabim).

5. הַבְּסִיל חבֶק אֶת־יָדְיוּ — The fool folds ['hugs'] his hands. The fool, the slothful indolent person, who abhors competition and performs no work for his own sustenance, [in contrast with the competitive workman of the previous verse] sits, 'with his arms folded' and eats what he finds readily available (Ibn Ezra).

קארן את־בְּשֶׁרוּ — And eats his own flesh, i.e. he destroys himself. He doesn't sustain himself and eventually dies of starvation (Ibn Ezra).

He allegorically 'lives off his fat' (Metzudas David).

Rashi translates הַבְּסִיל, the fool, in the sense of 'the wicked' who

does not work but supports himself with stolen goods. On the day of judgment he 'eats his own flesh' [i.e. becomes embittered as in the English colloquialism 'eats his heart out'.]¹

6. חַלָּא בַּף מְּדֹא — Better is one handful of pleasantness i.e. It is better for man to earn less, but with pleasantness and quiet, that to earn more — handfuls more — through difficult labor and aggravation (Metzudas David).

Ibn Ezra places these words in the mouth of the 'fool' mentioned in the previous verse. He rationalizes 'folding his hands together' — as if to say: 'I am satisfied with my one handful, why do I need more?'

מְמְלֹא חָפְנֵים עָמְלֹ fuls of labor [Compare, for style and theme, Solomon's dictum in Proverbs 17:1: בה ישלנה שוב פת חַרַבָּה וְשְלוָה - 'Better is a dry morsel, and quietness with it, than a house full of strife'.

1. The Midrash cites an example:

The matter may be likened to two men who were laboring in the Torah. One labored and improved while the other ultimately abandoned the study. The latter saw the former standing with the of righteous [in the Hereafter], whereas he stood with the band of the wicked. He folds his hands together and eats his flesh.

בּרק ד ז רְוּחַ: וְשַׁבְתִּי אֲנֵי וְאֶרְאָה הָבֶל תַּחַת ז־י ח הַשָּׁמֶשׁ: יֵשׁ אָחָר וְאֵין שׁנִּי גַּם בּּן וָאָח תִּשְׁבַּע עֲשֶׁר וּלְמִי | אֲנִי עָמֵל וּמְחַפֵּר אַת־נַפְשִׁי מִטוּבָה גַּם־זֶהָ הָבֶל וְעִנְיַן רָע י הָוּא: טוֹבִים הַשְׁנַיִם מִן־הֵאֶחֶר אֲשֶׁר יֵשׁ־ הָאָחָר יָקִים אָתַ-חֲבֵלְוּ וִאָּלָה הָאָתָר הַאָשֶּׁר יֵשׁ־ הָאָחָר יָקִים אֶתִּ-חֲבֵלְוּ וִאִילוֹ הָאָחָר

7. וְשְׁבְתִּי אֲנִי וְאֶרְאֶה — Then I returned and contemplated. The word יְשְבְּחִי, 'and I returned', introduces a new thought (Rav Saadiah Gaon).

Solomon now turns from contemplating one sort of fool towards a different kind of fool: [the miser] (lbn Ezra).

8. יש אֶחֶר (מֵין שֶנִי [There is] a lone and solitary [man] — [lit. 'there is one and not a second'] i.e. he has no heirs (Rav Almosnino).

Rashi interprets the verse [as a reference to people in varying situations who share the same flaw: they do not bring companionship into their lives]: He is utterly alone. If he is a scholar, he seeks no student to be like a son and no friend to be like a brother; if a bachelor, he seeks no wife; if an entrepreneur, no partner. He goes his way alone (Rashi).

קאין שני – There is no second – This may refer to a friend, servant or wife; probably the latter (Ibn Ezra).

Yet there is no

end to all his toil. He works incessantly (Kara) only to accumulate needless riches (Sforno).

(According to Rashi's alternate interpretation, this refers to a scholar who studies incessantly, but — because he has no students, and no wife to give him children — he has no one to whom to transmit his knowledge).

בחיעינו לא־תְשְׁבֵּע עשֶׁר – Nor is his eye ever sated with riches—i.e. he is never satisfied with his lot (Likutei Anshei Shem), ['eye' representing the organ of desire; compare 1:8, Numb. 15:39.]1

קחַסֶּר אָת־נְפְשִׁי מְטוּבָה — And [for whom] am I depriving myself [lit. 'my soul'] of goodness? i.e. He never stops to ask why he works so hard, depriving himself of quiet and relaxation, not even having an heir to inherit his wealth (Metzudas David).

בּבְיֹהְה הֶבֶּל — This, too, is futility — The indolent 'fool' in verse 5, who folds his hands, and the miser who

1. The Talmud relates a striking tale.

On one of his explorations, Alexander of Macedon received an eyeball as a gift. He weighed all his silver and gold against it, but the eyeball proved heavier. He said to the Rabbis: How is this?

IV 7-10 ⁷ Then I returned and contemplated [another] futility beneath the sun: ⁸ a lone and solitary man who has neither son nor brother, yet there is no end to his toil, nor is his eye ever sated with riches, [nor does he ask himself], 'For whom am I toiling and depriving myself of goodness.' This, too, is futility; indeed, it is a sorry task.

⁹ Two are better than one, for they get a greater return for their labor. ¹⁰ For should they fall, one can raise the other; but woe to him who is alone when he

strives aimlessly — both are equally foolish. The proper approach is the middle path between laziness and over-aggressiveness (Rav Galico; Ibn Ezra; Metzudas David).

9. In this verse, Koheles addresses the fool who toils utterly alone, and advises him of the advantages of companionship, someone with whom to toil and share (lbn Ezra).

Two are better. In

every way (Rashi). חר Than one. Therefore

קרְהָאֶחָר — Than one. Therefore man should find a comrade and marry a wife (Rashi).

The Sages give several instances illustrating the verse 'two are better than one. Among them are:

Two who study Torah are better than one who studies alone, for if one errs, his partner will correct him (Midrash; see also Makkos 10a);

... As the Talmud notes: 'Know-ledge of Torah can be acquired only

in association with others' (Berachos 63b);

Mordechai and Esther helping and complementing one another accomplished what neither could have done alone (Midrash);

When Moses and Aaron blessed Israel together at the inauguration of the Mishkan, the Divine Presence rested upon the nation. When Moses alone blessed them, however, the Divine Presence did not rest upon them.

אַשֶּר יַשּ־לֶּהֶם שְּׁכֶּר טוֹב בַּעֲמֶלֶם — For they get a greater [lit. 'good'] return [lit. 'reward'] for their labor. Two can accomplish more than one, because there are projects that an individual would never attempt alone (Rashi).

10. בי אַם־יפּלוּ – For should they fall – physically; or should one of them err in Torah learning or in judgment, his comrade will correct

^{&#}x27;It is the eyeball of a human being', they replied, 'which is never satisfied.'

They proved it by taking a little dust [symbolic of death] and covering the eyeball. Immediately it was outweighed; so it is written (Proverbs 27:20) 'the eyes of man are never satiated' (Tamid 32b).

מַרֶּכֶּר יא שָׁיַפָּל וְאֵין שֵׁנֵי לַהְקִימְוֹ: גַּם אִם־יִשְׁכְּבְוּ יא־יג יב שְׁנַיִם וְחַם לָהֶם וּלְאֶחָר אֵיךְ יֵחָם: וְאֶם־ יִתְקְפוֹ הָאֶחָר הַשְּנַיִם יְעַמְרַוּ נָגְדְּוֹ וְהַחוּטׂ יג הַמְשָׁלָשׁ לָא בִמְהַרָּה יִנָּתַק: טוֹב יֶלֶר מִסְכֵּן וְחָכָם מִמֶּלֶךְ זָקֵן וּכְסִׁיל אֲשֶׁר לְא־

him and set him on the proper path (Rav Saadiah Gaon).

קאָלו הָאֶקּדר But woe to him who is alone [lit. but woe to the one] אילו, in this case is a combination of two words: אילו אילו שי איילו איילו איילו איילו איילו איילו איילן אָרָץ (שי איילן אָרָץ, woe, is in this Book [10:16] איילן אֶרֶץ, woe is to you o earth' (lbn Ezra).

Targum, however, renders: ואילו but if.'

11. [Solomon cites another example of the benefits of association.]

קהם לְהֶם Also if two sleep together they keep warm — two companions huddling together in wintry weather (Rashbam).

Alshich explains the verse in a spiritual sense: if two people fall into spiritual slumber and neglect their religious observance, their hearts will stir within them with the 'fire of God' and each one will 'warm the heart' of his comrade to 'awaken' and serve God in the proper manner.

12. [And the final example of mutual security:]

לחוני הַמְשֵׁלְשׁ לֹא בְמְהַרָה יְנָהֵק — A three-ply cord is not easily [lit. 'soon'] severed! If the companionship of one friend yields such benefits, (above, verse 10-11) imagine the value of two companions! A three-ply cord is stronger than one or two plies! [i.e. as if to say: Two are better than one; but three are better than two.]

Rashi refers to the Talmudic dictum [Bava Metzia 85a]: If someone is a scholar, and his son and grandson are scholars as well, the Torah will nevermore cease from his seed as it is written [Isaiah 59:21]: 'My words ... shall not depart from your mouth, nor from the mouth of your seed . . . nor of your seed's seed [i.e. three generations].' These three generations of scholarship are alluded to by the strength of the three-ply cord in our verse. Henceforth, (Kesubos 62b) the Torah 'seeks its home' fi.e. the family which has been its host for three generations.]2

The Midrash sums up verses 9-

Later the Rav explained what he meant: When a great man is ill, prayers for his recovery must be offered by someone of equal stature. But when someone as great as the S'fas Emes is so gravely ill, 'there is no one to raise him' — no one of equal stature to pray on his behalf.

^{1.} When the Sfas Ernes was stricken with his final illness, the Avnei Nezer, the Rabbi of Sochatchov, came to visit. Seeing how serious the illness was, the Avnei Nezer went into a room to pray. He was overheard repeating over and over: 'Woe to him who is alone when he falls and there is no one to raise him!'

falls and there is no one to raise him! ¹¹ Also, if two 11-13 sleep together they keep warm, but how can one be warm alone? ¹² Where one can be overpowered, two can resist attack: A three-ply cord is not easily severed! ¹³ Better is a poor but wise youth, than an old and foolish king who no longer knows how to

12: Two are better [vs. 9] when they study Torah together, than one who labors alone. For should they fall [vs. 10] i.e. should one of them forget a halachah, one can raise the other: the other can restore it to him. And a three-ply cord is not readily severed [vs. 12]: this refers to a pair of scholars that has a third as a teacher who corrects their mistakes for them.

Pri Tzaddik notes that the Patriarchs: Abraham, Isaac and Jacob, are considered a three-ply cord which endures forever. They achieved this eminence with the birth of Jacob because he constituted the third generation of greatness. It is for this reason that Jacob is referred to in Midrash Breishis as מוֹם, the chosen one of the Patriarchs. He combined within himself the virtues of Abraham, the virtues of Isaac, and his own virtues—a three-ply cord.

13. [Solomon now proceeds to extol the reign of wisdom, but concludes that it, too, is impermanent and ultimately futile.]

שוב ילד מסכן וחבם – Better is a poor but wise youth [lit. 'good is a child, poor and wise. The verse compares the youth to a king and juxtaposes: youth / old, poor / king, wise / foolish. 'Youth' is here to be understood in the sense of an openminded man [even though he lacks experience of the world (Almosnino)] who is susceptible to wise counsel, in contrast to the foolish, willful old king [who has lifeexperience but, being foolish, lacks the intellect to relate his observations to everyday situations] (lbn Ezra).

The Midrash assigns a deeper meaning to the verse: The poor but wise child is the יַנֶּר הָטוֹר, Good Inclination, which is referred to as 'youth' — because it comes to a person only at the age of thirteen; [when a Jew reaches the age of responsibility for his deeds; see Overview] 'poor' — because all do not obey it; 'wise' — because it teaches the right way. The old and foolish king refers to the יַנֵּר הָעָר הָעָר הַעָּר הַעָּר הַעָּר הַעָּר הַעָּר הַעָּר הַעָּר בּעוֹר linclination. It is referred to as 'king' — because all obey it; 'old'

He replied: Is it written 'A threefold cord [representing three generations] is never severed'? It is written: 'Is not readily severed'! If a generation is harsh with Torah scholars, [i.e. it does not support them] it 'ceases to be rich.

^{2.} The Midrash comments: There are various kinds of families: A family of scribes produces scribes; a family of Torah scholars produces Torah scholars; a family of rich men produces rich men. But, the objection was raised: 'Behold there is a certain wealthy family which became reduced and ceased to be wealthy.'

מַרק ד יי יָדַע לְהִזָּהֵר עְוֹד: כְּי־מִבֵּיִת הֶסוּרָים יָצָא יד־טוֹ יי לִמְלֹךְ כִּי גַּם בְּמַלְכוּתְוֹ נוֹלַדְ רֲשׁ: רָאִיתִיּ אֶת־כָּל־הַחַיִּים הַמְהַלְּכִים תַּחָתַת הַשָּׁמֶשׁ עם הַיֶּלֶד הַשֵּׁנִי אֲשֶׁר יִעֲמֻד תַּחְתָּיו: אִין־ בֵּץ לְכָל־הָעָם לְלַל אֲשֶׁר־הָיָה לִפְנִיהֶם גַם הַאֲחַרוֹנִים לִא יִשְׂמְחוּ־בִּוֹ כִּי־גַם־זֵה גַם הַאֲחַרוֹנִים לִא יִשְׂמְחוּ־בִּוֹ כִּי־גַם־זֵה

because it clings to man from his earliest youth [thus becoming part of man many years before the Good Inclination]; 'foolish' — because it teaches the way of evil.

אַשֶּר לא־יָרַע לְהְזָּהָר עוֹר — Who no longer knows how to take care of himself. [lit. 'who no longer knows how to beware'] He is so set in his folly that he spurns wise counsel (lbn Yachya).

Some explain it as 'He did not know how to exercise proper precautions and guard his throne, thus enabling the youth to depose him (Ibn Latif).

The Midrash interprets: 'Who no longer knows how to receive admonition,' and comments: 'Because man does not realize how much trouble and suffering come upon him, therefore he does not learn from them' [i.e. the purpose of suffering is to teach the victim that his ways are in error, but few learn the lesson.]

14. בי־מבית הסורים יצא למלך — Because from the prison-house he emerged to reign. [This is the literal translation. The verse further describes Solomon's allegory and explains why he feels that the wise young child is superior to the old king]: Because such a wise youth

would manage to find his way to the throne even if he were imprisoned (lbn Ezra).

Rashi notes that the story is reminiscent of Joseph in Egypt who emerged from the dungeon to become viceroy.

(The word בֶּית הָסוֹרִים = בָּית הָסוֹרִים; prison-house. It lacks the κ, alef,)

בי גַם בְמֶלְכוּתוּ נוֹלֶר רְש — While even in his reign he was born [or, Rashi: 'has become'] poor. [The translation is literal and illustrates the ambiguity of the subject and verb. The commentators suggest possible renderings for this difficult phrase]:

Rashi [holds that 'the youth' is the subject]: He was proper and worthy to assume the throne, because even during his reign he acted humble as a pauper before the Sages.

Metzudas David: 'He was fit to be king because all acclaimed him: Behold, this pauper was born for royalty — eligible to be king as if he were born king.'

Ibn Ezra [interprets the 'old king' as the subject:] 'Even the old king was born poor';

Rav Almosnino: 'Even during his reign, the old king was turned into a pauper' [by being deposed.]

Rav Yosef Kara translates the

IV take care of himself; 14 because from the prison14-16 house he emerged to reign, while even in his reign he
was born poor. 15 I saw all the living that wander
beneath the sun throng to the succeeding youth who
steps into his place. 16 There is no end to the entire
nation, to all that was before them; similarly the ones
that come later will not rejoice in him. For this, too, is

futlity and a vexation of the spirit.

verse: A wise youth —even one who was imprisoned — will be successful in attaining a throne as was Joseph — but the foolish old king will be made poor; even in the midst of his reign. He will be deprived of his power because of his foolishness, as was מְחַבְּעָם, Rechaboam, most of whose provinces seceded (1 Kings 12:16).

Sh'nos Chaim translates הַּחַרִּים – 'prison-house' as referring to the mother's womb: i.e. 'the youth was fit to reign as soon as he went forth from his mother's womb; unlike the old foolish king, who even in royalty was born a pauper, i.e. a pauper in wisdom.'

15-16. [The structure of these two verses is difficult and ambiguous, but the theme comes through: Although droves of people flocked around this new young king, he himself ultimately fell into disfavor because popularity is short lived and is itself futility, and a vexation of the spirit.]

15. רְאִיתִי אֶת־כְּלֹ־הַחֵיִים – l saw all the living [A poetic exaggeration denoting the populace of that particular kingdom.]

עם הַיֶּלֶּךְ הַשְּנִי ceeding youth [lit. 'with the second youth'] — i.e. the 'second' in succession to the foolish king mentioned earlier (Rav Yosef Kara).

Or, according to Metzudas David this is the 'second youth' i.e. the supplanter of the first youth. After deposing the old king, the wise youth ultimately lost popularity and was himself dethroned [next verse.]

Ibn Ezra suggests an entirely different interpretation: 'i, the succeeding generation: 'I contemplated all these — the members of this generation — living and inhabiting the earth; along with the succeeding generation which will follow them....

16. אַין־קץ לְכְל־הָעָם — There is no end to the entire nation, to all that was before them. [The phrase, literally translated, is ambiguous.] As culled from the commentaries, the meaning is:

There was a great multitude of people who grew disenchanted with the old, foolish monarch and replaced him with the wise youth. But, alas, בו הַאַחַרונִים לא יִשְׁמְחוּרִם, similarly the ones that come later,

מרק די הֶבֶל וְרַעְיוֹן רְוּחַ: שְמִר רַגְלְיֹּךְ בְּאֲשֶׁר יז תֵּלֵךְ אֶל־בֵּית הֶאֱלֹהִים וְקָרָוֹב לִשְמֹע יִּיִּיּרִּיּ מִתַּת הַבְּסִילִים זְבַח בְּי־אֵינָם יְוֹדְעֵים לֵעשׁוֹת רַע:

i.e. the succeeding generation, will not rejoice in him i.e. will not continue the enthusiastic support. The rigors of just government are awesome and no policies can be universally popular. He will never be able to lead the people on the right path and, at the same time, satisfy everyone. Ultimately, they will grow disenchanted, for popularity is transient and wisdom is relative in the perspective of endless generations.

Solomon bemoans this series of events — commonplace even in our day, as futility and a vexation of the spirit!

17. [Having stated the main theme of his philosophy, Koheles now turns to a more optimistic series of thoughts. Having remonstrated against the futilities of life. Solomon now turns to the proper path a man should take in this world. In the following verses he exhorts man to serve God with dignity and respect - even in such commonplace acts as walking and talking. He begins with prayer, man's most intimate form of communication with God, and concludes that hearkening to God's Word is preferable to insincere sacrifice.]

שמר כּגְלְּךְ — Guard your foot, i.e. 'watch your step' (Ibn Ezra). Guard yourself from all forms of sin when you go to the House of God (Kara). Avoid the obligation to go to the Temple with a required offering to atone for a sin. It is far better not to sin than to come with a sin-offering (Metzudas David).

קרוב לשמע — Better to draw near and hearken [lit. 'and near to listen'] This is a parenthetical phrase which some [Rashi, Targum, Lekach Tov] interpret as an infinitive implying a command: 'draw near to listen' [to the Word of God; to the Teaching of the Prophets and the Sages.']

Our translation follows Ralbag, Ibn Yachya and Metzudas David who translate 'and closer to God, i.e. preferable in His eyes, is one who heeds . . . '

Ibn Ezra: For God is closer and therefore more ready to listen to those who call upon Him in a spirit of sincerity, than to those who pray insincerely like fools offering sacrifice.

[Compare 1 Sam. 15:22: Has HASHEM as great delight in burnt offerings and sacrifices as in obedience to the voice of HASHEM?]

תְּחֵת חַהְּכְּסִילִים וְחָה — Than to offer the sacrifices of fools [lit. than the fools' giving sacrifices] — who sin and then use their transgression as an excuse for offering sacrifices, thinking that God delights in their offerings (Ralbag).

קני יורְעִים לַעשות רֶע – For they [i.e. 'the fools'] do not consider that ¹⁷ Guard your foot when you go to the House of God; better to draw near and hearken than to offer the sacrifices of fools, for they do not consider that they do evil.

they do evil. The literal translation is: For they do not know to do evil, [so Rashi.] They do not even attempt to comprehend the implications of their evil. In their foolishness they think that God is pleased when they sin and offer a sacrifice in expiation (Metzudas David).

Ibn Ezra offers two interpretations: 'they know how to do only evil'; or: 'they haven't even the intelligence to do evil.'

[A similar thought is expressed of the Ninevites (Jonah 4:11): אֲשָׁר Who cannot discern between his right and left hand]

Perhaps the best understanding of this verse lies in the *Talmudic* interpretation:

What is the meaning of the verse 'Guard your foot when you go to the House of God'? Guard yourself from sinning, but in case you do sin bring an offering before Me ... 'Better to draw near and hearken'; Be ready to hearken to the words of the wise who, if they sin, bring an offering and repent. 'But do not offer

the sacrifices of fools': Do not be like fools who sin and bring an offering, but do not repent. 'For they do not know to do evil': How can that be? [If they have no knowledge of evil, then] they are righteous? It means this: Do not be like the fools who sin and bring an offering, [but do not repent and feel no remorse for what they have done. Because their offering is a meaningless ritual they do not know whether they bring it for a good action or a bad action. Says the Holy One, blessed be He: They do not distinguish between good and evil, yet they bring an offering before Me (Berachos 23a).

Alshich paraphrases the verse: Guard your foot when you go to the Synagogue to pray and repent your sins. Do not turn to leave quickly as one who divests himself of a load for God is near to respond to your prayers — nearer than He is to receive the sacrifices of fools, for they, lacking knowledge of Torah, do not comprehend that they have sinned.

מרק ה א אַל־תְּבַהֵּל עַל־פִּיךּ וְלִבְּךָּ אַל־יְמַהֵּר איד לְהוֹצִיא דָבָר לִפְנֵי הָאֶלהִים כִּי הָאֶלהִים בּשָׁמִּים וְאַתָּה עַל־הָאָרֶץ עַל־בֵּן יְהְיִּ גּ וְקוֹל בְּסֵיל בְּלָב דְּבָרִים: בְּאֲשֶׁר תִּהֹר גָּדֶר לֵאלהִים אַל־תְּאַחֵר לְשַׁלְּמוֹ בִּי אַיִן גָּדֶר לֵאלהִים אַל־תְאַחֵר לְשַׁלְמוֹ בִּי אַיִן אֲשֶׁר לְא־תִדֶּר מִשֶּׁתִּדְּוֹר וְלָא תְשַׁלְם: טִוֹב אֲשֶׁר לְא־תִדֶּר מִשֶּׁתִּדְּוֹר וְלָא תְשַׁלְם:

1. אַל־תְּבַהֵּל עֵל־פִּיף — Be not rash [lit. 'hasten'] with your mouth. i.e. do not be hasty to comment (Metzudas David) without thinking first (lbn Latif).

קר לְפְנֵי הָאֵלְהִים — To utter a word before God i.e. to speak critically of Him (Rashi).

When man suffers, let him not speak irreverently against his Creator, as is recorded of Job: 'In all this Job did not sin with his lips' [Job 2:10] (Midrash Lekach Tov).

כי הָאֶלהים בּשָׁמִים וְאַחָה עַל־הָאָרִץ — For God is in heaven and you are on earth. i.e. God rules the world, and lowly man cannot presume to fathom His method of ruling His creatures (Lekach Tov).

Rashi quotes the Midrash: 'If the weak one is above and the strong one below, the fear of the weak one is upon the strong one; how much more so when it is the strong One [God] Who is above and the weak one [mortal man] below [we should surely be in awe of Him and not speak rashly of Him.']

[As the Prophet said (Isaiah 55:9) 'For as the heavens are higher than the earth, so are My ways higher than your ways and My thoughts

than your thoughts'.]

על-בּן יְהְיוּ רְבָרֶיְבְּ מְעַטִּים — So let your words be few. Rashbam and Ibn Ezra interpret this as an exhortation against long prayer: When you pray, do not needlessly multiply words before God, for He is exalted and must be approached with reverence rather than with verbosity.

... As did Moses when he prayed for his sister with a prayer composed of only five words [Num. 12:13]: אַל נָא רָפָּא נָא לָּה, Please God, please heal her, and as did the High Priest on Yom Kippur when he recited a concise prayer [Yoma 52b] (Rav Galico).

2. בִּי בָּא הַחֲלוֹם בְּרֹב עְנְיִן — For a dream comes from much concern. [Solomon employs the simile of dreams to lend cogency to the earlier exhortation against excess verbiage.]: Dreams reflect an overabundance of [unrelated] fleeting thoughts, and overanxiety in practical affairs. Similarly, excessive use of words [often as incoherent and unrelated as in a dream (Akeidas Yitzchak)] betrays the fool. Therefore 'let your words be few' (Rashi).

V 1-4 Be not rash with your mouth, and let not your heart be hasty to utter a word before God; for God is in heaven and you are on earth, so let your words be few. ² For a dream comes from much concern, and foolish talk from many words. ³ When you make a vow to God, do not delay paying it, for He has no liking for fools; what you vow, pay. ⁴ Better that you not vow at all, than that you vow and not

בָּאֲשֶׁר חְדֹר נֶדֶר לֵאלֹהִים — When you make a vow to God, to perform a righteous deed (Metzudas David).
[Quoted almost verbatim from

Deut. 23:22.]

Just as you are exhorted to guard your tongue in the House of God, and speak little, so should you exercise caution in every utterance you make before Him [as when you make vows] and not be like the fools for whom He has no use (Ibn Ezra).

אליתאַחַר לְשְׁלְמוּ — Do not delay paying it. Alshich cautions that one who made a vow to give charity as a result of being subjected to great suffering, should fulfill the vow immediately without waiting for the suffering to end. Proper belief in God dictates that the vow be discharged immediately.

בי אין חַפְּץ בְּבְּסִילִים — For He has no liking for fools [lit. 'for there is no desire in fools'.] The translation follows Rashi, Targum and most commentators: 'There is no wish before the Holy One, blessed be He, for wicked men who vow but do not fulfill.'

Metzudas David translates: יְשָהָן as 'desire', 'will': there is no consistent will in fools. At one moment they will desire something, but in the next moment they want it no longer. Whoever vows is considered a fool [because a vow is made in a moment of exultation, often to be ignored later.] Therefore, 'do not delay in paying it', because the fervor may evaporate with time and with it the inclination to pay. Therefore: מון אַ שְּרְיתִּלְרָ עִּלְּיִלְּיִ מְּשִׁרְּיִתְּלִּיךְ עִּשְׁרִיתְּלִיךְ עִשְּׁרִי בְּיִלִּיךְ עִשְּׁרִי בְּיִלְיִר עִשְּׁרִ בְּיִלְיִר עִשְּׁר בִייִּרְ שִׁלְּיִי שִּׁרְ בִּיִּרְ עִשְּׁר בְּיִלְיִר עִשְּׁר בְּיִרְר עִשְּׁר בְּיִרְר עִשְּׁר בְּיִרְר עִשְּׁר בְּיִר בְיִר בְיִר בְיִר בְּיִר בְיִר בְּיִר בְיִר בְּיִר בְיִר בְיִר בְּיִר בְיִר בְּיִר בְּיִר בְּיִר בְיִר בְּיִר בְיִר בְיִר בְיִר בְּיִר בְיִר בְיִר בְיִר בְיִר בְיִר בְיִר בְיִר בְּיִר בְיִר בְּיִר בְּיִר בְיִר בְיִר בְּיִר בְּיר בְּיִר בְּיִר בְּיִר בְּיִר בְּיִר בְּיִר בְּיִר בְּיִר בְּיר בְּיִר בְּיִר בְּיִר בְּיִר בְּיִר בְּיִר בְּיִר בְּיִר בְּיר בְּיִר בְּיִר בְּיִי בְּיִי בְּיִי בְּיִי בְּיִר בְּיִי בְּי בְּיִי בְּי בְּיִי בְּיִי בְּיִי בְּיִי בְּיִי בְּיִי בְּיִי בְּי בְּיִי בְּי בְּיִי בְּיִי בְּיִי בְּיִי בְּיִי בְּיִי בְּיִי בְּיִי בְּי בְּיִי בְּי בְּיִי בְּיִי בְּיִי בְּי בְּיִי בְּי בְּיִי בְּיִי בְּי בְּיִי בְּי בְּיִי בְּי בְיִי בְּיִי בְּיִי בְּיִי בְּי בְיי בְּיִי בְּיִי בְּי בְּיִי בְ

4. טוב אשר לא־חדר — Better that you not vow at all. As in the verse of the Torah [Deut. 23:23]: 'then no sin will be upon you' (lbn Ezra).

Rav Meir said: Preferable to both [i.e. vowing and not paying, and even vowing and paying] is not to vow at all. Rather let the man bring his lamb to the Temple [without previously making a vow to bring it], dedicate it, and have it offered (Midrash).

If you don't have the money, why vow? Wait until the money is

^{1.} From the commentary of Rav S.R. Hirsch on Deut. 23:23:

Making vows is not only in itself nothing meritorious, but actually belongs to the sphere of the sinful. Everybody who vows, although he keeps his vow, is called a sinner, is a principle

בַּרֹק ה הַ אַל־תַּתַן אַת־פִּירָ לַחֲטִיא אַת־בִּשַׂרָּרְ ואַל־תאמַר לפני הַמַּלאָר כִּי שִׁנָנָה הַיא יָקצַף הָאֵלהִים עַל־קוֹלֶךְ וָחָבָּל

in your hand and give it then (Ibn

Yachya).

[However, in time of trouble, it is commendable to make vows for charity, or vows to do good deeds, as we find 'and Jacob vowed a vow' (Gen. 28:20; Tosafos, Chullin 2b). It is also commendable to make a vow to strengthen one's resolve to perform good deeds Nedarim 8a).].

משתדור ולא תשלם - Than that you vow and do not pay.

[Hence the praiseworthy custom of saying בְלוֹ נֵדֶר׳, 'without a vow.' Thereby, although the speaker still obligates himself to keep his word, he avoids the transgression of breaking a vow in the event he is

unable to do so.]

Also implied by this verse is that one should pay his personal debts before vowing to give to charity: Better that you not vow at all than vow and not pay others the debt you owe them. Charity is meaningful when it is given from one's own money, not from money he owes to others (Shevet Reuven).

5. אַל־תַתוֹ אַת־פִּיף — Let not your mouth. By uttering a vow (Rashi). בְּשַּׁכֵּף – [to] bring guilt on [or: 'to'] your flesh. The Midrash comments: 'let not one organ [your mouth] bring punishment upon all your organs.' i.e. Do not let vain promises made with your mouth, bring sin and punishment upon your whole person (lbn) Ezra; Alshich).

[As it is written [Deut. 23:22]: בי דרש יַדַרשָנוּ ... מֵעַמַר וָהַיַה בָּךְ חֲטא For HASHEM your God will surely demand payment of it from you, and there would be sin upon

you.]

The Talmud, however, [and many commentators], interprets 'flesh' as "the members of one's family": 'For all the transgressions in the Torah the sinner alone is punished, but here both he and his family may be punished, for it is said, "Do not let your mouth bring guilt on your flesh"; and "flesh" means "near relative," as in the verse [Isaiah 58:7] ומבשרך לא חתעלם, "And from your own flesh do not hide yourself." (Shevuos 39a).

In consonance with this, Rashi translates 'children'; [see excerpt from Talmud, tractate Shabbos 32b. quoted next page.]

ואַל־תּאמר לפני הַמּלאַך – And do not tell the messenger. The representative of the congregation who comes to collect the proceeds of the vow (Midrash; Rashi; Kara).

Alshich and Ibn Ezra understand

of our sages. It would have been more right if the good deed had been done without its having been vowed, for it says: 'But if you refrain to make vows then no sin will be upon you' hence if you have not refrained there is sin (Nedarim 77b). 'Making vows is similar to erecting a הַקָּה, bama' [altar] - at the time when such alters are forbidden [Deut. 12:13; see V 5-6 pay. 5 Let not your mouth bring guilt on your flesh, and do not tell the messenger that it was an error. Why should God be angered by your speech and destroy the work of your hands? 6 In spite of all

the term מלאף in its Biblical sense: Angel of God — 'whose role it is to keep a record of all man's utterances' (Ibn Ezra) and will one day act as God's messenger to exact punishment from man for his delinquency (Alshich).

Sforno, on the other hand, explains the word here as referring to the 'messenger' i.e. the scholar who will be called upon to annul the yow.

בי שְנֶנְה הִיא — That it was an error.

— i.e. that the vow was made in error with the intention of paying it (Kara), but the the vower does not have the funds (Metzudas David).

Or, as Midrash Lekach Tov translates: It is an error!: I am doubtful whether I ever did, in fact, utter the vow.

קמה יְקֵצֹף הָאֶלְהִים Why should God be angered? i.e. Why should you act this way and provoke God's anger (Metzudas David).

על־קולְךְּ — By your speech [lit. 'at your voice'] — at the voice with which you uttered the violated vow (Midrash).

יְחְבֵּל אָת־מְעֵשׁה יְדִיף — And destroy the work of your hands — God brings a curse on the few מָנִית pious acts, which are in the hands of that man, and causes them to be lost to him (Rashi; Midrash).

Man is blessed in all his endeavors through the merit of charity. Conversely, when he publicly vows and then withholds payment of charity, his endeavors are destroyed (Metzudas David).

In the Talmud 'works of the hands' refers to 'children': For the sin of [unfulfilled] vows one's children die young, as it is written: '... and destroy the work of your hands.' What is the work of a man's hands? — It is a man's sons and daughters (Shabbos 32b).

This illustrates the importance of 'letting your words be few.' Not only with reference to mundane talk, but even concerning a meritorious act, one should limit one's speech. What is the purpose of vowing in advance? When you have the money — give it immediately without first vowing.' (Taalumos Chachmah).

6. ... חלב חלמות – In spite of all dreams, futility, and idle chatter, rather: Fear God [lit. 'for in a multitude of dreams and futilities and much words (or: 'things') fear God]

The verse is difficult in syntax and the translation follows most commentators who counsel that one should ignore all contrary influences (dreams, vain prophets,

Zevachim 112b]. Both are sins of similar nature, both perpetrators think they can do something special to please God, something beyond what He has commanded us to do [Ran to Nedarim 22a.]

זרק ה וְהַבָּלִּים וּדְבָרָים הַרְבֵּה כִּי אֶת־הֵאֱלֹהָים זּרְבָּר הִיְרֵא: אִם־עִשֶׁק רָשׁ וְגֹּזֶל מִשְׁפָּט וְצָּדֶקׂ תִּרְאֶה בַמְּדִינָה אַל־תִּתְמַהּ עַל־הַחֵצֶּץ כִּי גַבֿהַ מַעַל גָבֹהַ שֹמֵר וּגָבֹהִים עֲלֵיהֶם: וְנִתְרָוֹן אֶרֶץ בַּכִּל הִיא מֶלֶךְ לְשָׁדֶה נֵעֱבָר:

and idle chatter) and, instead, Fear God.¹

The Midrash advises: 'If you see ill-omened dreams and ill-omened, confusing visions of which you are afraid, take hold of three things and you will be delivered from them:
... prayer, charity and repentance
... Likewise a change of name and a change of conduct' [or: a change of profession (Torah Temimah)] annul evil decrees. Some add: Also a change of place [residence.]

According to Sforno: Rash vows must often be inspired by bad dreams and other external, misleading factors. Hence, the admonition of this verse that preoccupation with these matters will give rise to many words (careless vows) — let the fear of God dispel such vain fears; vows are unnecessary.

קיא — Rather: Fear God! [The translation 'rather', (אֶלָא) for קיל') follows Rashi;] — and He will guard you from all evil (Metzudas David).

7. בְּמְדִינָה — In the State. i.e. open and brazen oppression, rather than stealthy and clandestine (Ibn Ezra).

אַל־הַחְמָה עַל־הַחָּמָה על־הַחָּמָה על־הַחָּמָה על־הַחָּמָה בּאַל — Do not be astonished at the Will'] i.e. Do not be astonished that God seems to approve of this, and is 'slow' in exacting retribution (Almosnino).

בי גַבהַ מֵעַל גַבה – For [have faith that]: there is One higher than high [lit. 'because Higher upon Higher] i.e. God. Do not despair at the impunity and freedom from retribution with which unscrupulous wielders of power oppress the helpless. Know that the most august of all beings, God, who is Higher than High, sees what they do and will avenge the victims when the proper time comes (Rav Saadiah Gaon; Sforno; Kara; Taalumos Chachmah; Ralbag). Or according to others (Midrash: Midrash Lekach Tov: [bn Ezra;]: Higher than high refers to the angels [who are 'higher than man'.]

תחת – Who watches, i.e. who waits patiently – As in Gen. 37:11 וְאָבִינ – But his father kept the matter in mind [i.e. קּאָת הַּדְּבָּר patiently for the result]. God waits, but He will punish them in the proper time (Rashi; Saadiah; Kara; et al.)

1. The Midrash relates that when Hezekiah fell ill, God said to Isaiah, 'Go and tell him, Set your house in order, for you shall die and not live' [Isaiah 38:1.]

Isaiah went and told him and Hezekiah answered: 'Isaiah, it is usual for a person visiting an invalid to say to him "May mercy be shown you from Heaven," and the physician prescribes a diet for him. Even when the visitor sees him near death, he does not say to him, 'Set your house in order,' that his mind not be upset. You, however, tell me, 'Set your house in order,

V 7-8 dreams, futility and idle chatter, rather: Fear God!

⁷ If you see oppression of the poor, and the suppression of justice and right in the State, do not be astonished at the fact, for there is One higher than the high Who watches and there are high ones above them.

⁸ The advantage of land is supreme; even a king is indebted to the soil.

[As it is written above 3:17: God will judge the righteous and the wicked for there is a time for every event and every deed.]

תנבהים עליהם - And [there are] high ones above them. According to the first view cited above [Rav Saadiah, et al and Rashi:] this refers to the angels whom God appointed to eventually punish the oppressors [and who are 'higher' than the oppressed. According to the latter view (Midrash, et al) this high One is the Holy One, blessed be He Himself. (The plural בנוהים - suggests the royal plural, as in Joshua. 24:19 בי אלהים קרשים הוא [Midrash Lekach Tov]).

8. יְתְרוֹן אֶרֶץ בָּכּל הִיא — The advantage of land [i.e. of agriculture] is supreme [lit. 'the advantage of land is in everything'] even a king is indebted [i.e. subject] to the soil. The phrase is obscure and the translation follows Ibn Ezra who comments: Having discoursed on the fear of God, Solomon reverts to

the theme of which occupation is best, and most sin-free. Agriculture yields the most reward. Even a king is sustained by the soil. . . .

And whoever tills the land, living a righteous life and providing honestly for his own sustenance, is assured a life of dignity likened to a king, who must himself be sustained by the produce of the earth. The miser in the next verse, however, loves money — rather than honest work — and steals to satisfy his lust for it. קסף שבע בעובר לא־ישבע בעובר לא־ישבע בעובר לא he will never be satisfied with money (Alshich).

The Midrash, too, ties this verse to the following and translates: 'Moreover the profit ['advantage'] of the earth is in all things,' and comments:

'Even things which you deem superfluous in the world are essential parts of its composition, e.g. palm-fibre for the making of ropes, and twigs for the formation of garden-hedges are essential parts of the world's composition . . .

for you will die and not live!' I ignore what you say, and I will not heed your advice. I accept only what my ancestor [David] said: Even if a sharp sword rests upon a man's neck he should not desist from prayer ... and what my ancestor [Solomon] said: "In spite of ... much words . . fear God!" '

Immediately [next verse] 'Hezekiah turned his face to the wall [and prayed to HASHEM] and said "Remember now, HASHEM, I beseech, You, how I have walked before You in truth and

פרק ה ט אֹבֵכ בֶּּלֶסֶף לְא־יִשְׂבַּע בֶּּסֶף וּמְי־אֹבֵּב ט־יב י בֶּהָמָוֹן לָא תְבוּאָה גַּם־זֶהְ הַבֶּל: בִּרְבוֹת הַטוֹבָה רַבִּוּ אְוֹכְלֶּיִהָ וּמַה־כִּשְׁרוֹן רֹאית יא לִבְעָלֶיהָ כִּי אִם־רְאַיֹּת עֵינֵיו: מְתוּקָה שְׁנַת הָעֹבֵר אִם־מְעַט וְאִם־הַרְבָּה יֹאכֵל יב וְהַשָּׁבָע לֶעָשִּׁיר אֵינָנָוּ מַנִּיחַ לְוֹ לִישְׁוֹן: יֵשְּ

Similarly, flies, fleas, and gnats are an essential part of the world's constitution.

A king makes himself servant to the field: Even if a king has dominion, from one end of the earth to the other, he is enslaved to the soil. If the field produces, he can achieve something, but if the soil is unproductive he can achieve nothing (Midrash; [see also comm. of Midrash on next verse]).

9. אָהַבּ כֶּסֶף לֹא־יִשְׁבֵּע כֶּסֶף - A lover of money [lit. 'silver'] will never be satisfied with money ['silver']. [For as the Midrash notes (above, on 1:13) 'He who has a hundred wants two hundred, and he who has two hundred wants four hundred.']

Akeidas Yitzchak notes: 'He will never be satisfied with money' — i.e. money will never still a rich man's hunger, for who can eat money?

The Talmud (Makkos 10a) interprets this verse as a homiletical reference to Moses: One who loves good deeds never has his fill of good deeds. Although Moses knew he would not live to designate the three cities of refuge in Eretz Yisrael

proper, he nevertheless did not let the opportunity slip by and he designated the first three cities on the eastern side of the River Jordan.

... מְּיַבְּיאַהַב — A lover of abundance has no wheat [lit. 'and who loves abundance, no wheat.'] i.e. he who surrounds himself with an abundance of non-productive servants in order to impress his friends will 'have no wheat' i.e. will not be able to feed and sustain them and, what is more, he will have nothing left for himself as explained in the next verse (Kara; Lekach Tov; Sforno; Metzudas David).

Rashi comments, he who loves to accumulate [inedible] money, rather than nourishing wheat, indulges in futility.

[The Midrash, continues its commentary to the preceding verse, extolling the importance of agriculture, as opposed to the fleeting nature of coin. Abundance of money without agricultural produce is futile — ephemeral and uncertain. The Midrash thus translates]: Nor he that loves an abundance [of money] without

with a perfect heart, and have done that which is good in Your sight." And Hezekiah wept grievously.' (ibid. verses 2-3).]

Forthwith, the word of HASHEM came to Isaiah saying: 'Go and say to Hezekiah . . . I have heard your prayer. . .'

'Lord of the Universe', said Isaiah, 'at first You tell me one prophecy and now another? How can I go and tell him this?' V 9 A lover of money will never be satisfied with 9-11 money; a lover of abundance has no wheat. This, too, is futility! 10 As goods increase, so do those who consume them; what advantage, then, has the owner except what his eyes see? 11 Sweet is the sleep of the laborer, whether he eats little or much; the satiety of

the rich does not let him sleep.

[earth] produce: this also is futility. If someone is greedy and covetous of money, but has no land, what benefit does he derive?

10. בְּרְבוֹת הָטוֹבְה רְבּוּ אוֹבְלִיהְ – As goods increase, so do those who consume them. A wealthier household acquires a larger stock of food and supplies and attracts more relatives, friends, and paupers. The owner sees before him a larger supply of provisions, speedily to be consumed. Thus, he is often in a worse position than he was before (lbn Yachya; Rav Yosef Kara; lbn Latif).

ומה־בְּשֶׁרוֹן לְבְעֶלֶיהְ — What advantage, then, has the owner [following Ibn Ezra; Metzudas David; or: What good is the owner's 'skill', as is translated in 2:21.]

The Midrash homiletically comments: when those destined to eat good things increase in number [i.e. when the population of the world increases] the good things are increased in quantity [God provides more sustenance to the world to meet the need. An appropriate response to those advocates of zero population growth!]

11. מְתּוּקָה שְׁנֵח הְעְבֶּר — Sweet is the sleep of the laborer. The man who is not indolent, and does not work only in order to hoard riches, but who tills the ground earnestly to support his family is extolled in this verse. Such a man has his concerns. He has no large estates or fortunes over which to worry constantly. Whether he eats little or much, he is able to sleep undisturbed by business worries (Rav Yosef Kara).

קְּשֶּׁבֶע לֶּעְשִׁיר אַינְנּוּ מְנִּיחַ לוּ לִישְׁוּן — The satiety of the rich does not let him sleep. [Koheles does not refer to physical satiety; that would affect the rich and poor man alike:] The reference is to the abundant possessions of the rich (Ibn Ezra): It fills him with worry and anxious cares which deprive him of his sleep (Rashi; Lekach Tov).

The Sages similarly expounded this concept in the Mishnah [Avos 2:7]: מְרבָה וְבָּסִים מִרבָה רִאָנָה, 'the more possessions the more worry' (Rav Yosef Kara).

'Hezekiah is a humble man,' replied HASHEM.'He will accept it from you. Furthermore, the report has not yet gone out' [to the ears of the people, who might find it difficult to understand the two different messages brought in the name of God.]

When Isaiah went to him with the second message, Hezekiah exclaimed: 'Did I not tell you, In spite of ... much words ... indeed, Fear God!' (Berachos 10a; Midrash)

יגיטז יג שָׁמְּוּר לִבְעָלָיו לְרָעִתְוֹ: וְאָבֵּר הָעָּשֶׁע עֲשֶׁר יגיטז יג שָׁמְוּר לִבְעָלָיו לְרָעִתְוֹ: וְאָבַּר הָעָשֶׁר יִנְאַ מְּנִין בְּיָדְוֹ הַּחְּוּלְיִד בֵּן וְאֵיִן בְּיָדְוֹ יִי מְאִוּמָה: בַּאֲשֶׁר יָצָאֹ מִבֶּטֶן אִמֹּוֹ עָרְוֹם יְשָׁוּב לָלֶכֶת בְּשֶׁבָּא וּמְאוּמָה לְא־יִשְּׂא יּ בְּעָבְיוֹ וְגַם־זֹה רָעָה חוֹלָה יוֹבַם־זֹה רָעָה חוֹלָה בָּל־עָמָיוֹ בָּקְרוֹן לוֹ בְּלִרְיִמָיִוֹ בַּקְרֹיִן וְלוֹ לוֹ שִׁיַּעֲמָלוֹ שֶׁבָּא בַּן יֵלֵךְ וּמַה־יִּתְרְוֹן לוֹ כִּי שִׁיַעֲמָל לָרְוּחַ: גַם בָּל־יָמָיִוֹ בַּחְשֶׁך יֹאכֵל יִמָיִוֹ בַּחְשֶׁך יִאכֵל יִמָיִוּ בַּן יִמָּיִן בַּחְשֶׁך יִאכֵל יִמָיִן בַּרְיִמָיִוּ בַּחְשֶׁר יִאבֵל יִמָיִן בְּיִבְּיִים יִּיִּיִיִיִּיִּיְ

12-13. רְעָה חוֹלֶה — A sickening [lit. 'sick'] evil [i.e. an unusually grievous injustice.] This verse continues extolling the benefits of owning real property rather than money (lbn Ezra).

עשר שמור לְבְעָלִיו לְרְעָחו — Riches hoarded [lit. 'guarded'] by their cwner to his misfortune. According to Ibn Ezra the translation is: riches hoarded not by the owner but, by a guardian for their owner. Thus, next verse, when the riches are lost it is a double catastrophe.

His wealth frequently jeopardizes his personal safety, as when robbers kidnap and even slay him (Sforno).

Rashi cites as an example, the wealth of Korach which led to his unrealistic ambitions and his eventual downfall [see Numbers 16].

[An example of such a 'sickening evil' is given in the following verse]:

רְעָּעָר הַהוּא בְּעְנְיֵן רְע — And he loses [lit. 'lost'] those riches in some [unlucky] bad venture. He loses his [or another's — (see Ibn Ezra above)] riches by some misfortune and has nothing left to bequeath to his heirs (Ibn Yachya).

- The result is that all his sleepless nights worrying about his treasures [verse 11] were totally in vain (Rav Yosef Kara).

יְחוֹלִיד בּן — If he begets a son [lit. 'and he begat a son']. The further irony is that when he possessed the treasure he had no heir; only now that he is penniless is a child born (Akeidas Yitzchak).

14. אַרום ישוּב לֶלְכֶת בְּשֶבָּא Naked will he return as he had come. [The translation follows the punctuation which has a minor pause (וְנַם חְפָּטָן אַמּוֹ fter אַבָּט הוֹט his mother's womb, and attaches בוֹי to the second clause. It is also in consonance with a parallel verse in Job 1:21: 'Naked I came out of my mother's womb and naked I shall return there. 'I

Metzudas David, connecting ערום, naked, to the first clause, translates: 'As he had come naked from his mother's womb, so will he return, as he had come.' The 'nakedness' of the return is a figure of speech meaning 'he can salvage nothing from his labor . . . '

This verse is to be understood, not as a separate thought, but as a description of the son mentioned in the preceding verse (Rav Saadiah Gaon).

ומאומה לא־ישא בַעַמְלוּ שִּילֵךְ בְּיָדוּ –

V 12-16 12 There is a sickening evil which I have seen under the sun: riches hoarded by their owner to his misfortune, 13 and he loses those riches in some bad venture. If he begets a son, he has nothing in hand. 14 As he had come from his mother's womb, naked will he return, as he had come; he can salvage nothing from his labor to take with him. 15 This, too, is a sickening evil: Exactly as he came he must depart, and what did he gain by toiling for the wind? 16 Indeed, all his life he eats in darkness; he is greatly grieved, and has

He can salvage nothing from his labor to take with him. When a person enters this world his hands are clenched as if to say: 'The whole world is mine, I shall inherit it'; but when he takes leave of the world, his hands are spread open as if to say: 'I have inherited nothing from the world.' (Midrash).1

15. בְּבְּרֹזה רְעָה חוּלָה — This, too, is a sickening evil i.e. that mentioned in verse 14: 'as he came — naked, so will he return' (lbn Latif).

בליעמת שבא בן ילך – Exactly as he came he must depart. i.e. 'naked' in elaboration of the preceding verse (lbn Ezra); or, according to Rashi: 'just as the money came, it will depart'.

The Midrash comments: 'As man comes into the world so weak that he must be fed cereal, so when he goes, in his feeble old age, he must be fed cereal.'

שְׁיֻמְּמֹל לְרוּחְ — By toiling for [lit. 'to'] the wind. [i.e. toiling hopelessly, seeking something without sub-

stance. See commentary to 1:14 s.v. רוח רוח.]

Although, in essence, man does not actually 'lose' in this world, because he arrived here naked and penniless, and can never leave with less, nonetheless, people should evaluate their lives as they would a business. In commerce, a concern that shows no profit, is considered a losing business. So, too, with a life. Unless someone can show significant achievement, he should feel dissatisfied (Imrei Shefer).

16. נַם בְּל־יָמֶיו בַּחשְׁךְ יֹאבֵל – Indeed, all his life he eats in darkness. Being obsessively overcome with accumulating riches, he sits down to eat only at night. He thus lives a life of rigorous self-denial, fear of robbery, and exposes himself to many trying experiences (Ibn Ezra; Akeidas Yitzchak; Metzudas David).

Ibn Janach translates: 'in miserliness' [sitting 'in darkness' being the epitome of poverty and the ultimate parsimony of the miser.]

1. The Midrash illustrates this with a parable:

He fasted another three days, became lean and emaciated, and went out. When he was out-

A fox once came upon a vineyard that was entirely fenced in except for one opening too small for it to enter through. So he fasted three days until he became lean enough to squeeze through the hole. He ate of the grapes and regained his former size so that when he wished to exit, he discovered to his dismay that he was too large to fit through.

יז־יט רְאָיתִי אָנִי טְוֹב אֲשֶׁר־יָפֶּה לֵאֶכּוֹל־ יִז־יט רְאָיתִי אָנִי טְוֹב אֲשֶׁר־יָפֶּה לֵאֶכּוֹל־ יִזּיִט רְאָנְקֹל הְלְרְאוֹת טוֹבָׁה בְּכָל־עֲמָלְוֹ | ייי אֲשֶׁר־נְתַן־לִוֹ הָאֱלֹהִים כִּי־הָוֹא חֶלְקְוֹ: גַּם הַ בָּל־הָאָרָם אֲשֶׁר נָתַן־לָוֹ הָאֱלֹהִים עִּשֶׁר וּנְכָסִים וְהִשְׁלִיטוֹ לֵאֶכַל מִמֶּנוּ וְלָשֵׂאת אָת־חֶלְלְוֹ וְלִשְׂמִחַ בַּעֲמָלְוֹ זֹה מַתַּת יי אֶלהִים הָיא: כַּיַ לָא הַרְבֵּה יִזְכָּר אֶת־יְמֵי חַיָּיִו כַּיַ הָאֵלֹהִים מַעֵנֵה בְּשִׁמְחַת לְבִּוֹ:

יְחְלִיוּ – And has illness [an abbreviation of two words: וְחוֹלִי לוּ sickness is his, as Genesis 22:24 ופלגשו = ופלגש לו = ופלגשו

Sforno interprets this as the 'sickness of wealthy people': miserliness, and the desire to die.

17.-18. These two verses are essentially a restatement of the conclusion Solomon reached in earlier discourses: (2:24; 3:12,22). Since man must depart exactly as he came, I concluded that financial pursuits are worthless. Let man rather involve himself in Torah pursuits (Rashi), and let him eat of God's bounty and be content (Ibn Yachya; Ibn Ezra).

קראות טובָה — And enjoy pleasure [lit. 'and see good'; comp. 2:1] i.e. indulge in Torah study and be satisfied with his lot (Rashi).

בְּכֶל־עֶמְלוּ — With all one's labor — i.e. with the fruit of one's labor that he accumulated in this world (Metzudas David).

יְמִי־חַיָּנ – During the brief span of his life [comp. 2:3].

קר For that is his lot. i.e. God bequeathed these few pleasures to man so it is only proper that man harness them and, by utilizing them for the proper spiritual goals, lift himself up to the greater service of God (Almosnino).

[See comm. to similar phrase on 3:22.]

קחילו הָאֵלהִים עשׁר וּנְבָּסִים — To whom God has given riches and possessions. [To approach the essence of this verse I quote from a passage in Horeb on Tzedakah by Rav S.R. Hirsch]: 'Why should God give you more than you need

side, he turned his face and gazing at the vineyard, said: 'Vineyard, Vineyard! You are beautiful and your fruit is sweet. But what benefit does one have from you? As one enters you so he leaves.' Such is this world. $oldsymbol{V}$ illness and anger.

17-19

17 So what I have seen to be good is that it is suitable to eat and drink and enjoy pleasure with all one's labor that he toils beneath the sun during the brief span of his life that God has given him, for that is his lot. 18 Furthermore, every man to whom God has given riches and possessions and has given him the power to enjoy them, possess his share and be happy in his work: this is the gift of God. 19 For he shall remember that the days of his life are not many, while God provides him with the joy of his heart.

unless He intended to make you the administrator of this blessing for others, the treasurer of His treasures? Every penny you can spare is not yours, but should become a tool for bringing blessing to others. Would you close your hand on something that is not yours?'

הְשְׁלִיטוּ לָאֲכֹל מִמְנוּ – And has given him the power to enjoy [lit. 'eat'] them i.e. gave him the merit [and desire (Metzudas David)] to derive joy from it (Sforno), during his own lifetime (Rashi), rather than hoard it (Alshich).

קליקו (And) possess [lit. 'carry'] his share after death (Rashi). i.e. to perform meritorious deeds with his treasure so that he can 'carry' his merit along with him to the Hereafter [Treasure does not accompany man; only the merit of the good deeds he performs with his resources.] (Almusnino).

קּהִים הִיא תְּחָהְת אֲלֹהִים הִיא —This is the gift of God. This applies only to a select group in whom God especially delights (Midrash) for only a small minority of those who are blessed

with wealth, earn the additional blessing of partaking of it themselves in the Hereafter by virtue of their good deeds (Sforno). שייו – כי לא הרבה יוכר את־ימי חייו – For he shall [himself] remember that the days of his life are not many. [lit. for not much will he remember the days of his life?. The words are out of order and the translation follows most commentators: Alshich: Ibn Ezra: Rashi; and Kara who adds: and therefore he will be sure to enjoy his possessions while still alive. בי הַאֵלהִים מַעַנָה בְּשָמְחַת לְבּוּ – While God provides him [i.e. 'responds'] with the joy of his heart. - while constantly remembering that it is God Who provided him with this means of rejoicing (Galico), and it is only fitting that he therefore utilize his happiness in the most idealistic manner (Tuv Taam).

Thus when man properly utilizes God's gift, God stands up, as it were, and nyn, bears witness for him that He is the source of his happiness and that He specifically bequeathed it to him to enable him to derive joy from His works (Rav Yosef Kara).

בּרק וּ א יֵשׁ רָעָה אֲשֶׁר רָאֻיתִי תַּחַת הַשְּׁמֶשׁ וְרַבְּה א־ג בּ הֻיא עַל־הָאָרֶם: אִישׁ אֲשֶׁר יִמֶּן־לְּוֹ הָאֱלֹהִים עֹשֶׁר וּנְכָסִים וְכָבוֹד וְאֵינֶנּוּ חָסֵר לְנַפְשָׁוֹ | מִכְּל אֲשֶׁר־יִתְאַנָּה וְלְא־ יַשְׁלִיטֶנּוּ הָאֱלֹהִים לֵאֱכָל מִמֶּנּוּ כָּי אִישׁ ג נָכְרָי יְאַכְלֶנּוּ זֶיְה הֶכֶּל וְחֲלִי רָע הְוּא: אִם־ יוֹלֵיד אִישׁ מִאָּה וְשָׁנִים רַבּּוֹת יְחְיָה וְרַב שִׁיְּהְיִוּ יְמֵי־שָׁנָיו וְנַפְשׁוֹ לְא־תִשְׂבַּע מִן־ הַטוֹבָה וְגַם־קְבוּרָה לֹא־הָיָתָה לְּוֹ

1. [Solomon now bemoans those who have wealth, but whom God has denied the opportunity of enjoying it. In contrast to the case in 5:12-14 of a man who begat children but lost his wealth — here the verse describes a man who has everything but is prevented by circumstances from enjoying it.]

רְעָה - There is an evil [in the sense of: 'there is an injustice'.]

וְרָבָּה הִיא עַל־הָאָרֶם — And it is prevalent among mankind. The translation follows Rashi. Ibn Ezra translates: 'It is great upon man'; while Metzudas David renders: 'it bears heavily upon man.'

2. יְכְבוּר — And honor, from his fellow man. This sort of recognition makes one rejoice and feel gratified (Metzudas David).

קלא־נְשְלִּיטְנוּ הָאֵלְהִים לְאָבֵל מְמְנּוּ Yet God did not give him the power, i.e. the capacity, to enjoy it. Instead, He instilled in him miserly tendencies (Metzudas David) [in contrast to 5:18.]

בי איש נְכְרִי יאְכְלְנוּ – Instead, a stranger will enjoy it. Alshich suggests the case of a man who dies without close heirs. Because his estate is uncontested, it remains with his widow and eventually goes to her second husband.

Or, the case of a childless bachelor whose estate passes on to a complete stranger (Ralbag).

יה הְבֶּל וְחֲלִי רֶע הוּא and an evil disease. i.e. this accumulation of wealth — with no benefit accruing to is owner — is wasted and futile, and causes great pain to the observer (Metzudas David).

3. [According to most commentators, this verse introduces a new case in distinction to the previous one of a childless person whom 'a stranger will inherit.' Here Solomon describes the futility of someone blessed with a large family, longevity, and every opportunity to enjoy goodness. But he lacks the capacity to derive joy from his blessings, and ultimately dies without even proper burial. A stillborn, declares Solomon, is better than he.]

VI 1-3 There is an evil I have observed beneath the sun, and it is prevalent among mankind: ² a man to whom God has given riches, wealth and honor, and he lacks nothing that the heart could desire, yet God did not give him the power to enjoy it; instead, a stranger will enjoy it. This is futility and an evil disease. ³ If a man begets a hundred children and lives many years — great being the days of his life — and his soul is not content with the good — and he even is deprived of burial; I say: the stillborn is better off

אם־יולִיד אִיש מֵאָה – If a man begets a hundred [children].

[The word 'children' is not in the Hebrew but is implied by the context and is supplied by Rashi in his commentary.]

'One hundred' like 'ten', 'thousand' or occasionally 'seven' are arbitrary numbers used in Scriptures (1bn Ezra).

[The exaggerated figure of a hundred children is intended to suggest the extent of the Heavenly blessing of a large family.]

ישנים רבות יחיה — And lives many years. To a ripe old age (Ibn Ezra). [Thus, he has numerous progeny and longevity, two of the greatest blessings of God: see Psalms 128:3-4,6: 'Your wife shall be as a fruitful vine ... your children like olive plants ... Thus shall the man be blessed who fears HASHEM ... and you shall see your children's children.]

קרב שיהיי ימי־שְנִיי — Great being the days of his life. [This is close to a literal translation, following Metzudas David who translates 'great' 'honorable,' are days of his life.]

Rashi translates: as meaning

'sufficient': i.e. he has ample opportunity to partake of goodness during his lifetime. According to Ibn Ezra, "implies 'greater than usual' — i.e. he lives a longer life than is usual.

קבשו לא־תְשְבַע מְוְ־הַטוּבָה — And his soul is not content with the good. [Although he is so blessed, he lacks the capacity to rejoice in the good with which he is blessed. To the contrary:] He was not satisfied with his lot and gains no pleasure from his possessions (Rashi).

וְגַם־קבוֹרָה לא־הְיְתָה לו — And he is even deprived of burial. [lit. 'and also burial he did not have.']

In addition to never enjoying life, he was denied the final honor given even to the most impoverished — burial (*Ibn Yachya*).

This refers to a case of one who was devoured by dogs (Rashi); or drowned at sea (Kara) — and was never buried.

According to Ibn Ezra קבורה refers to תָּבְרִיבִין, the garments of the dead, [i.e. he did not even have a proper funeral]. According to Sforno the phrase means 'he did not die yet' — and thus continues his מרק ו די אָמַּרְתִּי טָוֹב מִמֶּנוֹ הַנְּפֶּל: כְּי־בַהֶּבֶּל בָּא דיו וּבַחְשֶׁךְ יֵלֶךְ וּבַחְשֶׁךְ שְׁמִוֹ יְכָפֶּה: הּ גַּם־שֶׁמֶשׁ לְא־רָאָה וְלָא יָדֶע נַחֲת וּ לָזֶה מִזֶּה: וְאַלְּוֹ חָיָה אֶלֶף שָׁנִים פַּעְמַיִם וְטוֹבָה לָא רָאָה הֲלָא אֶל־מָקוֹם אֶחָר

joyless existence.1

אָמְרְתִּי טוֹב מְמֶנּוּ הַנְּפֶּל — l say: the stillborn is better off than he. As explained in the next verse (lbn Yachya).

At least the stillborn was spared the tribulations of life on this world (Almosnino).

4. פִּי־בֶּחֶבֶל בְּא — Though its [i.e. the stillborn] coming is futile [lit. 'because it came in futility'] — from a putrid drop (Alshich); with no purpose (Metzudas David).

ובחשף ילך if arkness — to the grave [comp. 11:8] (Alshich); unnoticed (Metzudas David); without public mourning (Almosnino).

יבְּקּיה שְׁהְ שְׁמוּ יְבְּקּיה – though its very name is enveloped in darkness. It departs unnoticed and never achieved status worthy of a name (Sforno). Because it was stillborn, it is not referred to by name; its parents cannot even say: 'we had a child by such and such a name' (Alshich).

[According to halachah an infant that died before being named 'is given a name as a remembrance so that he will evoke compassion from Heaven and will arise with the Resurrection of the Dead. He will

thereby be enabled to identify his father' (Tur, Beis Yosef 263) Thus, the verse implies, not that the child is unnamed, but that the name is unused and therefore remains in obscurity.]

The subject of this verse, according to most commentators (including Rashi, Sforno) is the stillborn.

Rabbeinu Yonah and Rav Yosef Kara suggest that the subject described in this verse is the man of the previous verses. Man, explains Rabbeinu Yonah is created for three purposes: to live in this world; gain merit for the World to Come, and earn a good name by virtue of the goodness he does for others. The man described previously lacks these requirements: his coming into this world - was futile; he departs - to the Hereafter - in darkness; and his very name is enveloped in darkness — for his deeds did not earn him a good reputation.

5. בּחַשֶּמֶש לא־רָאָה וְלֹא יָדָע — Though [lit. 'also'] it never saw the sun nor knew. i.e. the stillborn who never experienced the 'light of day' [idiomatic for the state of living] and knew nothing — never having reached the consciousness of being

^{1.} An interesting interpretation is offered by Alshich: Even if a man has a hundred children and lives many years, 'and moreover he has no burial' — i.e. not a single death occurred in his family to bring sorrow upon him — his life can be futile if he always creates worries and fails to rejoice in life.

VI than he. 4 Though its coming is futile and it departs in darkness, though its very name is enveloped in darkness, 5 though it never saw the sun nor knew; it has more satisfaction than he. 6 Even if he should live a thousand years twice over, but find no contentment—do not all go to the same place?

– has at least one comfort. It has never toiled in mundane affairs, unlike the rich man [in verse 3] who did experience life and is aware that he had no peace, but toiled without reward in both worlds (Ibn Ezra).

— It has more satisfaction than he. [The stillborn, from this perspective of quiet and freedom from care, has the advantage over the frustrated rich man. Compare 4:3 for a similar concept.]

The stillborn does not anguish over what he never had, unlike the wealthy man who 'begat a hundred children, etc.' but now grieves because everything was taken from him (Metzudas David).1

The Alshich removes the pause after יְלֹא יָדְע, nor knew, and translates: It never saw the sun nor did it know to distinguish whether there is more satisfaction to having been in this world or to never having seen it, for as the Sages concluded 'it would have been better for man never to have been created.' [see comm. to 4:2-3]

6. [The subject of this verse is

again the rich man described in verse 3.] Even if he lives to two thousand years [more than twice as old as anyone recorded in the Bible (cf. Mesushelach lived 969 years — Gen. 5:27)], of what benefit is this longevity to him since הַאָּה יוֹטוֹרָה לֹא רָאָה he found no contentment? Ultimately he will return to the dust just like all paupers! (Rashi).

אַלף שְנִים פַּעָמִים בּעָמָים A thousand years twice over i.e. two thousand years (Metzudas David), a hyperbolic figure [used to strengthen the illustration (Ibn Ezra on verse 3).]

In his comments to this verse, Îbn Ezra interprets the phrase אֶלֶף שְנִים to mean: 'a thousand years multiplied by itself, i.e. a million.'

Nachal Eshkol interprets: 'And if he lived a thousand years and then relived his life — starting over as a youth and living another thousand years, again finding no contentment and performing no righteous acts — then his double existence was a waste. To the place [i.e. death] where he was before he will return, for do not all go to the same place?'

^{1.} The matter may be likened to two men who were sailing on a ship. When it reached the harbor one of them went ashore and saw food, drink, peace and contentment. When he returned to the ship his comrade asked him, 'What did you see there?'

^{&#}x27;I saw much food, drink, peace and contentment,' he replied.

^{&#}x27;Did you enjoy any of it?' he inquired.

^{&#}x27;No,' was the answer.

^{&#}x27;Then it was better,' he retorted, 'that I did not go ashore and did not see it!' (Midrash). So, too, in our verse, the stillborn babe who never saw the allures of this world is more fortunate than those who went through life seeing its allures, but not savoring them (Torah Temimah).

בּרְ וּ זּ הַכִּּל הוֹלֵך: כָּל־עֲמַל הָאָדָם לְפִּיהוּ וְגַם־ זֹ־י חּ הַנֵּפֶשׁ לָא תִמָּלֵא: כִּי מַה־יּוֹתֵר לֵחָכָם מְן־הַכְּסִיל מַה־לֶּעָנִי יוֹבִעַ לַהְלָךְ נָגֶּר טּ הַחַיִּים: טָוֹב מַרְאֵה עֵינַיִם מְהַלָּךְ־נָבֶּשׁ י נַם־זֶה הֶבֶל וּרְעִוּת רְוּחַ: מַה־שֶׁהִיָּה כְּבָרֹ

אַל־מְקוֹם אָחָד הַכּל הוּלְרְ — All go to the same place. All — [i.e. the still-born as well as the long-lived] go to the same place — the grave (Ibn Ezra).

- 7-9. [Man labors incessantly to satisfy his cravings which, alas, remain unappeased. What, then, is the advantage of wisdom, especially when, despite intelligence and ability, one remains poor? It is of no advantage. Better is enjoyment of what we can enjoy than the futile quest of unsatisfied longing.]
- 7. לְפֵיהוּ for his mouth i.e. man toils to satisfy his hunger and wants, and to sustain himself in this world and the next. Our subject, in contrast, never had contentment in his life (Rashi).

ינים־הַנְּפָש לא תְּמְלֵא — Yet his wants [lit. 'soul'] are never satisfied [lit. 'full'] According to Rashi and Kara, for all his striving, he did not succeed in satisying even a fraction of his earthly lust. If so, continues verse 9, what advantage has the magnate over the pauper?

Man's toils are for 'his mouth' i.e. affording only momentary joy. Man's eternal soul cannot be satiated from such transient, fleeting pleasure as food and drink (Alshich).

In a different vain, Rav Galico explains that whatever evils descend upon man are a result of his mouth — i.e. eating forbidden foods and indulging in improper speech, creating, as it were, a separation between man and God. At the same time נְנְחֵיהַנְפָשׁ לֹא תִּמְיֵא, even the soul is deterred from reaching fulfillment.

The Midrash notes: Man's quest for good deeds is for his mouth and not for the mouth of his son or daughter. [A persons good deeds can earn rewards in the Hereafter only for himself, not for his children (Torah Temimah)]. Yet the soul is not satisfied because it knows that whatever it earns is for itself - and therefore never has enough of Torah and good deeds. This is likened to a villager who married a princess. Though he may bring her all his earthly possessions. they are not esteemed by her, because she is of royal lineage [and accustomed to luxury beyond the imagination of a mere villager.] So it is with the soul; though you bring it all the luxuries in the world, they are valueless to it. Why? Because it is of heavenly origin [and its values are spiritual; infininitely higher than earthly goods.]

8. מה־יותר לְחָבֶּם — What advantage then has the wise man from his wisdom, מְּקְ הַבְּסִיל, over what he would have if he were a fool? (Rashi).

Of what value is the wisdom to achieve wealth if it does not pro-

VI 7-9 7 All man's toil is for his mouth, yet his wants are never satisfied. 8 What advantage, then, has the wise man over the fool? What [less] has the pauper who knows how to conduct himself among the living? 8 Better is what the eyes see than what is imagined. That, too, is futility and a vexation of the spirit.

vide contentment. What advantage has the 'hungry' wise man over the fool who has not the capacity to accumulate wealth and as a result also starves [i.e. for whatever reason, both suffer deprivation.] (Rav Yosef Kara).

[A rhetorical question. There is no advantage to the wise. Both must toil for what they achieve. The difference lies in how the fruits of the labor are utilized and appreciated.]

בה־לְענִי יוֹרֵע לְהַלֹךְ נְגֵּךְ הַחִיים — What [less] has the pauper who knows how to conduct himself among the living? A difficult phrase, the translation of which follows Rashi, Kara, Ibn Ezra: i.e. how is a pauper who has the intelligence to get along in this world worse off than the wise man who has wealth but finds no contentment?

[For a similar phrase נְגָר הַחַיִּים, among (lit. 'against') the living, compare Gen. 33:12 יְאַלְכָה לְנָגְרָךְ which Rashi ad. loc. translates 'equally with you.]

The Midrash asks: What is a poor man to do regarding business transactions? Is he to sit idle? Let him learn a handicraft and the Holy One blessed be He, will support him with a livelihood.

9. טוב מֵרְאָה עֵינֵים מְהַלְרְיְנֶפֶּע — Better is what the eyes see than what is imagined. [lit. 'Better the

sight of the eyes than the path of the soul'.]

The intent of this verse is that man should utilize the little that is available to him ('that which he sees'), rather than yearn in vain for riches that may elude him (Rav Saadiah Gaon; Almosnino).

Rav Yosef Kara and Rashi, however, view this verse as the rationale of the miser: It is a great evil that many people would rather gaze upon a treasury full of gold and silver, enjoying what the eyes see and contenting themselves with that lustful vision, than to diminish their wealth by investing it to nourish their souls. Such a trait, is 'futility and a vexation of the spirit.'

Man may long for certain goals, but the quest is in vain: he will achieve only what was predestined for him at birth (Sforno). Whatever 'extra' man does manage to acquire will only pass on to others (Almosnino).

Metzudas David explains the verse differently: It would be preferable for man to perceive שבוקר, the path, and destiny of evil souls, and thus improve his conduct. This is futile, however, because he would then be serving the Creator only out of fear. Since his service of God would be based on selfish interests and not true love of God, this, too, 'is futility and a

יא־יים בּקרָא שְׁמֹּוֹ וְנוֹדֶע אֲשֶׁר־הָוּא אָדֶם וְלְא־ יא־יב יא יוּכַל לָרִין עָם שֶׁהֹתַּקִּיף מִמֶּנוּ: כֵּי יֵשׁ־ יב לֵאָדֶם: כִּי מִי־יוֹדַעַ מַה־טּוֹב לֵאָדָם יב לֵאָדֶם: כִּי מִי־יוֹדַעַ מַה־טּוֹב לֵאָדָם יב לָאָדָם: כִּי מִי־יוֹדַעַ מַה־טּוֹב לֵאָדָם.

vexation of the spirit' (Metzudas David)

Sforno comments that, rather than strive after unattainable philosophical goals, it is better to be content with tangible, material assets. But because such possessions are pre-ordained, excessive striving after them is futile.

10. [The verse cautions that man should perceive the limits of his essence as predetermined by God. He is אַרָּם, mortal man, and his limitations as a human being have been imposed on him from Creation. He cannot contend with his Creator who formed him thus (Ibn Latif). But he should be thankful for however God formed him (Midrash Lekach Tov). Nor can he hope to overpower the angel of death i.e. he should submit to his mortality.]

Rashi (as amplified by Metzudas David) explains the verse differently. Man's greatness was established and well known during his lifetime. His death, however, makes it manifestly clear that he is essentially DJK, mortal. Ultimately he dies, unable to resist the angel of death, who is more powerful than he.

Several additional interpretations

and amplifications follow:

מהישָהוּ בְּכֶר נְקְרָא שְמוּ — What has been was already named. Man's destiny has already been determined by God (Ibn Yachya) and it has been established whether he will be rich, poor, or otherwise (Sforno).

[As in Isaiah 40:26, 'He brings out their host by number, and calls them by name.]

נודע אַשְר־הוּא אָדֶם — And it is known that he is [but] a man — and not God [i.e. mortal and not Eternal] (Rashi; Midrash).1

['But' is not in the Hebrew. It is, however, implied according to most commentators.]

עם שְתַּקּיף מְמְנּוּ — With one who is mightier than he. i.e. the angel of death (Rashi), or according to Sforno and others [see above]: with God, i.e. man cannot change what God willed.

He should therefore leave a legacy of good deeds on this world (Rav Yosef Kara).

11. בִּי יֵשׁ־הְבָּרִים הַרְבָּה מֶּרְבִּים הְבֶּל —
There are many things that increase futility. There are many activities with which man gets involved during his lifetime [such as the ac-

^{1.} It may be likened to a king and a governor who were seated in a chariot. The populace wished to greet the king but did not know which of the two he was. What did the king do? He pushed the other out of the chariot and then everyone knew which was king. Similarly, when God created the first man, the ministering angels thought he was divine and wanted to proclaim before him, 'Holy!' What did God do? God cast a sleep upon him and all knew he was man, and He said to him: (Gen. 3:19) You are dust and to dust will return. (Midrash).

VI 10-12 ¹⁰ What has been was already named, and it is known that he is but a man. He cannot contend with one who is mightier than he. ¹¹ There are many things that increase futility; how does it benefit man? ¹² Who can possibly know what is good for man in life, during the short span of his futile existence

cumulation of wealth, power, or pleasures (Ibn Ezra)]. Later he realizes that they were futile (Kara; Rashi).

Akeidas Yitzchak, in his view of the continuity of the verses, translates דְבָרִים, words, and comments that man cannot contend with God. It is futile for him to express his views on what he thinks is best suited for man's temperament. Thus: 'Many words add to the futility'.

קה שמה לְאָרֶם — How does it benefit man? [lit. 'what is there more to man?'] i.e. How, then — by virtue of his intellect — is man superior to other beings? (Metzudas David).

Or according to Rashi: After his death [what endures of man's futility?]

12. בי מי – Who can possibly [lit. 'for who'] i.e. only a small minority have the intellect to comprehend which is the proper course for man to take during his short life (Metzudas David).

Even though a particular course might seem proper in one period of life, who can be certain whether it yields true goodness in the greater perspective of a full lifetime? (Sforno)

... And whether it will bring him goodness in the Hereafter (Rashi).

Rav Pinchas said: since the days of man's life are in vain, few in number and like a shadow, what benefit has he from his existence? Let him, then, occupy himself with words of Torah which are called 'Life' (Midrash).

בְּסְפָּר יְמֵייחַיֵּי הֶּבְלוּ — During the short span of his futile existence [lit. (the limited) 'number of the days of his futile life' (Rashi). compare 2:3; 5:17.]

רְיַעְשֵׁח כַּצְּל – Which [lit. 'and'] he should consider like a [mere] shadow. Rashi, Metzudas David, Sforno, refer this to the transitory significance of man's actions in this world.

Ibn Ezra, Alshich, Ibn Yachya, Ralbag interpret this to modify 'the short span of his futile existence.' [i.e. which is of no substance and vanishes like shadows.]¹

[Solomon employs the phrase without explaining what kind of shadow is meant. If life is like the shadow cast by a wall or by a datepalm there is some substance to it! (because it is not so fleeting and affords some protection from the sun as compared to the shadow cast by a bird which lasts only a moment

1. Some interpret this phrase homiletically:

One should live his life like a shadow; just as a shadow imitates man's actions, so does God imitate man's actions. If man acts with compassion, God treats him compassionately, as it is written [Psalms 121:5] " τ , God is your shade. Socially, too, as one acts towards his comrades, so they act toward him (Kedushas Levi).

פַרק ר אֲשֶׁרֹ מִי־יַגּיַד לֵאָדָׁם מַה־יַּהְיֶּיָה אֲחֲדָיו מַחַת הַשֵּׁמֵשׁ:

מרק ז א טוב שַם משָמֶן טוב וְיָוֹם הַמָּנֶת מִיַּוֹם מַרָּלְבָּר אַר בִּית־אֵבֵל מִלְּכֵת אַר־בֵּית־אֵבֵל מִלְּכַת

(Torah Temimah). David, in Psalms 144:4 already explained it: 'His days are like a shadow that passes away' in a fleeting moment; life is thus fleeting and of no substance.]

מַה־יִּהְיָה אָחֲרָיו חַחַת הַשְּׁמְשׁ — What will be after him beneath the sun. i.e. how to assure that the fortune which he accumulated unjustly will endure with his children on this world (Rashi).

[Koheles displays the same intel-

lectual remorse which he developed in 2:3-21, and with which he culminated 3:22 regarding the uncertainty of the future.]

The best course, therefore, is to store up spiritual fortunes which will definitely live on beyond him (Alshich) — as the Midrash concludes: I will tell you what is best of all [next verse] טוב שֵׁם מְשֶׁמֵן טוב a good name is better than good oil.

VII

1. As the Midrash notes at the end of the last chapter, these verses might be, in part, Solomon's own answer to the question (6:12) 'Who knows what is good for man in life?' (Midrash Lekach Tov).

טוב שם משֶמן טוב — A [good] name is better than good oil — i.e. a fine reputation — acquired with diligence and good deeds (Sforno) — is a more valuable possession than precious oil (Rashi) [which was used in ancient times to preserve the body from disintegration.] Thus, notes the Alshich, a fine reputation will preserve the dead more effectively than precious oils.

The meaning of this statement is that a good name is better than good oil, for a fine reputation travels much further than the scent of fine oil. But a good name is not an essential and ultimate good of the soul. Therefore, the day of death, when a man has attained his complete knowledge, is better than the day of his birth; for on the day of death, he has already actualized the potential of his intellect. On the day of birth, however, his physical being has developed to the point where it can independently sustain life, but his spiritual, intellectual being - the perfection of the soul - has only the potential of existence (Sefer Halkkarim).

It has been taught: A man is called by three names: one which his father and mother call him, a second which other persons call him, and a third by which he is

which he should consider like a shadow; who can tell a man what will be after him beneath the sun?

VII 1-2 ${f A}$ good name is better than good oil, and the day of death than the day of birth.

² It is better to go to the house of mourning than to

designated in the book of the generation of his creation [i.e. the name which he gains for himself as the result of his conduct in life.]' (Midrash).

'The end of man is to die, and the end of the beast is to be slaughtered, and all are doomed to die. Happy is he who was brought up in the Torah and whose labor was in the Torah and who has given pleasure to his Creator and who grew up with a good name and departed the world with a good name; and of him Solomon said "A good name is better than good oil, and the day of death than the day of birth" (Berachos 17a).

קיום הַמְּוֶת מִיוּם הּוְלְרוּ — And the day of death [is better] than the day of birth.

Because the man who has lived an exemplary life and acquired a good name, views his death as a culmination of a life well spent and as a transition to the World of Peace and Reward. Unlike the time of his birth 'for man is born to toil' when he is uncertain of how his life will unfold (Akeidas Yitzchak; Ibn Ezra).1

The righteous are born obscurely, but when they die, everyone feels it: When Miriam was born, nobody knew her; but when she died the בָּאַר, well, [created by God in honor of Miriam to accompany the Jews and supply them with water on their journeys (Taanis 9a)] ceased to exist [and everyone felt the loss.] Similarly, when Aaron died the בוני בְּבָבוּר y, clouds of glory, departed; and when Moses died the בְּבָר manna, ceased to descend (Rashi).

The reverse is true of the wicked man, however. Indeed, the day of his birth which is unblemished with sin is better than the day of his death when he looks back on his sinful life (Rav Yosef Kara).

2. טוב לֶלֶבֶת אֶל־בִּית־אָבֶל — It is better to go to the house of mourning. [The commentators explain that by visiting the house of mourning and listening to the eulogies and lamentations, thoughts of the beauty of life will stimulate one to resolve to lead a religiously observant life, and he will repent his ways. For at that time — when the virtues of the deceased are re-

It is as if there were two ships. The one leaving the harbor attract shouts of joy, and the ship

^{1.} The Midrash observes that when a man is born there is much rejoicing, when he dies all weep. Yet, logically, it should be the reverse. When a person is born there should be no rejuicing over him because his record of good deeds is clouded in mystery and there is reason to be apprehensive. But when he dies there is cause for rejoicing if he departs with a good name and leaves the world in peace.

בּרק ז אֶל־בֵּיָת מִשְׁמֶּה בַּאֲשֶׁר הָוּא סְוֹף כָּל־ ג־ד ג הָאָדֶם וְהַחַיִּ יִתַּן אֶל־לִּבְּוּ: טִוֹב כַּעַס י מִשְּׂחְוֹק בְּי־בְרָעַ פָּנִים יִיָטַב לֵב: לֵב חַכָּמִים בְּבֵית אֵבֵל וָלֵב בִּסִילִים בְּבֵית

counted — man is reminded that the only thing of value is a good reputation. Anyone present will resolve to assure himself an untarnished name by the time he dies and others visit his house of mourning.]

מֶלֶכְת אֶל־בֵּית מְשֶׁתָה — Than to go to a house of feasting — [where frivolity and even immorality preclude wholesome meditation.]

My father, Harav Aron Zlotowitz איניטיש brought to my attention that the Maharsha in his commentary to Kesubos 72a points out that 'feasting' here refers only to מְשֶׁלְרְשִׁוּת מְשֶׁלְרְשִׁרִּת מְשְׁלִּרְשִׁת מְשְׁלִּרְשִׁרִּת מְשְׁלִּרְשִׁת מְשְׁלִּרְשִׁת מְשְׁלִּרְשִׁת מְשְׁלִּרְשִׁת מְשְׁלִּרְשִׁת מְשְׁלִּרִי מִשְׁלִּרִי מִּשְׁלִּרִי מִשְׁלִּרִי מִשְׁלִּרִי מִשְׁלִּרִי מִשְׁלִרִי מִשְׁלִּרִי מִשְׁלִּרִי מִשְׁלִּרִי מִשְׁלִּרִי מִשְׁלִּרִ מְשְׁלִּרִי מִשְׁלִּרִי מְשְׁלִּרִי מְּשְׁלִּרִי מְשְׁלִּרִי מְשְׁלִּרְים מְשְׁלִּרִי מְשְׁלִּרְים מְּשְׁלִּרְיִּבְּיִּת מְשְׁלְּבְּיִי מְשְׁלְּבְיִי מְשְׁתְּבְּים מְּשְׁלְבִיים מְּעְּתְּבְּים מְּבְּיִּבְּיִים מְשְׁלְבִּים מְּעְּבְּים מְּבְּיִים מְּבְּיִים מְּבְּיִּבְּיִים מְּבְּיִּבְּיִּתְּים מְּבְּים מְּבְּיבְּים מְּבְּיבְּיִּבְּיִים מְּבְּיבְּים מְּבְּיבְּים מְּבְּים מְּבְּיבְּים מְּבְּיבְּים מְּבְּיבְּים מְּבְּיבְּים מְּבְיבְּים מְּבְּיבְּים מְּבְּיִּבְּיִּבְּים מְּבְּיִים מְּבְּיִּבְּים מְבְּיבְּיִּבְּים מְבְּיִּבְּים מְבְּיִּבְּים מְבְּיִּבְּים מְבְּיבְּים מְּבְּיִבְּים מְבְּיִים מְּבְּיִּבְּים מְּבְּיִבְּים מְבְּיבְּים מְבְּיבְּים מְבְּיבְּים מְבְּיבְים מְבְּיבְּים מְבְּיבְים מְבְּיבְיבְּים מְבְּיבְּים מְּבְּיבְּים מְּבְּיבְּים מְבְּים מְבְּיבְּים מְּבְּיבְּים מְּבְּים מְבְּיבְּים מְּבְּים מְּבְּים מְּבְּים מְּבְּים מְבְּיּבְּים מְּבְּים מְּבְּים מְּבְּים מְּבְּים מְּבְּים מְּבְּים מְּבְּבְּבְּים מְּבְּים מְּבְּים מְּבְּים מְּבְּים מְּבְּים מְּבְּבְּבְּים מְּבְּבְּבְּבְּים מְבְּיבְּים מְבְּים מְּבְּבְּבְּבְּבְּבְּבּים מְבְּבְּבְּבְּבְּבְּבְּבְּבְּב

בְּאַשֶּר הוֹא סוף בֶּל־הְאָרֶם For that is the end of all man — i.e. death is the inevitable fate of everyone and if he does not attend the funeral now, when then? A feast is different — if a person could not attend one celebration, he will be able to attend another in that family at some later

time (Rashi).

אלילבו — And the living should take it to heart. i.e. Do a kindness so that one will be done to you; attend a funeral so that people should attend your funeral; mourn for others so that others should mourn for you; bury so that others should concern themselves with your burial; act benevolently so that benevolence should be done to you (Midrash).

And thereby recognize that the inevitable end of all men is death (*lbn Ezra*) and be moved to repent (*Kara*).

3. טוב בעס משחוק — Grief is better than gaiety. [בַעַס, in this context is understood by many commentators not in its usual sense of 'anger', but as the grief aroused by the laments in the 'house of mourning.] Such 'grief' brings about רע פַנים, 'a sad face,' i.e. a brooding, reflective countenance; which in turn ייטב לב. will cause 'his heart to be improved', i.e. turn his heart to better his ways — because this reflecting will cause him to take stock of his own situation. He will repent and thus bring on his own redemption. שחוק, 'gaiety', however, is not conducive to such

entering the harbor attract a minimal amount of attention.

The reverse should be the case. There is no cause to rejoice over the departing ship because no one knows what seas and storms it may encounter. Indeed it is a time for concern. The returning vessel that has reached the harbor safely deserves the shouts of joy.

Similarly, when a person dies all should rejoice and offer thanks that he departed from the world with a good name and in peace.

VII 3-4 go to a house of feasting, for that is the end of all man, and the living should take it to heart.

³ Grief is better than gaiety — for through a sad countenance the heart is improved. ⁴ The thoughts of the wise turn to the house of mourning, but the thoughts of fools to the house of feasting.

serious contemplation (Rav Yosef Kara).1

Additionally, several commentators quote the Talmud and Midrash which refer this verse to the anger of God: Preferable is the anger which God displays to the righteous in this world than the 'laughter' which He displays to the wicked (Shabbos 30b) for when God's anger is manifested, man is inspired to mend his ways. Similarlv. (Taalumos Chachmah) had He been vexed at the sins of the Generation of the Flood and the men of Sodom [and not let them stoop to their low level of degeneration] it would have been better for them than the 'laughter' [i.e. overindulgence] in which He 'engaged' with them. Had He shown them a 'sad face' they would have repented (Rashi).

Binyan Ariel understands this verse in its literal sense and comments that בעם, 'anger' is an undesirable trait and is to be frowned upon [see verse 9]. Nevertheless, in comparison with שחוק, gaiety, laughter [frivolity and unrestrained hedonism, 2:2] 'anger' is the lesser of the two evils, for as the Sages proclaimed (Avos 3:13): שחוק אַת־הַאַרַם מַרְגִילִין ראש וָקַלּוֹת קְעֶרְוָה, 'Laughter and levity accustom man to immorality.'

4. לב חֲבְמִים בְּבִית אַבְל [Therefore] the thoughts of the wise turn to the house of mourning [lit. 'the heart of the wise is in the house of mourning'] The translation follows Rashi who comments: Their thoughts are concentrated on the day of death.

... So their desires will be subordinated and they will avoid sin (Metzudas David). Thus influencing their perspective of life (lbn Latif).

Rav Yitzchak said: It may be likened to one who said to his servant, 'Go and bring me a desirable object.' 'Where can I find a desirable object?' he asked. 'If you find many people going to a place, a desirable object is likely to be there' [i.e. death being common, men are constantly going to a house of mourning, and precious spiritual truths are to be learned there] (Midrash).

This verse tells that even when the wise don't physically enter a house of mourning [verse 2], the house of mourning is always within them (*lbn Ezra*).

קב בְּסִילִים בְּבֵית שִמְחָה — But the thought of fools to the house of

Solomon said: If my father had displayed anger to Adonijah it would have been better for him [and the latter would have been spared execution as a traitor (see I Kings 2:23)] ... Why?

^{1. [}The Midrash interprets up in the usual sense of 'anger' and explains this verse as a moral lesson in child-rearing. A parent should be stern when disciplining his children. He should show them anger (tempered with mercy) and should not always display levity]:

מרק ז ה שִּמְחָה: טוֹב לִשְׁמִעַ גַּעֲרַת חָבֶם מֵאִּישׁ ה־ט ו שֹׁמֵעַ שִׁיר בְּסִילִים: בִּי כְקוֹל הַסִּירִים תַּחַת הַסִּיר בֵּן שְּׁחַק הַבְּסֵיל וְגַם־זֶּה ו הָבֶל: בִּי הָעָשֶׁק יְהוֹבֵל חָבֶם וְיאַבֵּר אֶת־ ב לֵב מַתָּגָה: טָוֹב אַחֲרִית דָּבָר מֵרְאשִׁיתְוֹ ט טָוֹב אֶרֶרְ־רָוֹחַ מִגְּבַהּ־רְוֹחַ: אַל־תְּבַהֵל

feasting. [lit. 'and the heart of fools is in the house of feasting'] [The fool, on the other hand, is only concerned with frivolity and extravagant physical pleasure. There is no place in his philosophy of life for serious contemplation. He lives for the moment; the future is of no concern to him.]

5. טוב לשמע גערת חבם – lt is better to listen to the rebuke of a wise man — although criticism hurts, it is beneficial because it brings about moral improvement (Metzudas David).

מְאִיש — Than for one [lit. 'than a man'] i.e. even though he considers himself an אָיש, a man of high caliber, and he thinks that the revelries of the fools will not affect him (Alshich).

שמע שיר בְּסִילִים — to listen [lit. 'who listen'] to the song of fools. Although these songs make the heart joyous, they are composed by foolish revelers and in a short time will inspire levity in the hearts of all who hear them (Metzudas David).

6. בִּי בְּקוֹל הַפִּירִים תַּחָת הַסִּיר — For like the crackling of thorns under a pot. Thorns crackle and flare up

into a roaring blaze and quickly subside, barely heating the pot (Kara).

בּקיל בּקיל So is the laughter of the fool. It, too, makes a momentary uproar and expires, disclosing no useful purpose (Akeidas Yitzchak).

Just as the crackling of thorns blacken the pot, so the revelries of fools blacken the heart (Alshich).

— And this, too, [i.e. the revelry of fools (Ibn Ezra)] is futility.

For what lasting value does this revelry provide? (Alshich).

Rav Yosef Kara takes the phrase to refer to both the crackling of thorns and the laughter of fools: both are futile.

7. בִּי הָעשֶׁק יְהוֹלֵל חָכֶם — For oppression makes the wise foolish. The provocations with which fools provoke (Metzudas David) the wise — such as the taunts of Dathan and Abiram against Moses — cause the wise man's wisdom to depart from him — and they eventually provoke the Holy One, blessed be He (Rashi; Midrash).

Thus the wise man should keep far away from 'the song of fools' because by involving himself in much discussion with fools, his

For through a sad countenance the heart is gladdened. Had David shown him a sad [i.e. displeased] countenance, it might have led him to mend his ways. Instead, it is written [ibid. 1:6] 'And his father had never grieved him at any time saying: Why did you do so?.'

VI)
5-9

⁵ It is better to listen to the rebuke of a wise man than for one to listen to the song of fools, ⁶ for like the crackling of thorns under a pot, so is the laughter of the fool; ⁷ for oppression makes the wise foolish, and a gift corrupts the heart.

8 The end of a matter is better than its beginning; patience is better than pride. 9 Do not be hastily up-

own wisdom will suffer (Metzudas David).

ייאבר את לב מְתְּנָה — And a gift corrupts [lit. 'loses'] the heart. This is the literal translation and follows Ibn Ezra who translates this phrase in consonance with the verse in Proverbs 15:27: ישונא מְתָנֹת יְחִיה 'and he that hates gifts shall live.'

Rashi, Sforno, Metzudas Zion and others translate this phrase as the result of the beginning of the verse: as a result of the provocation of wicked fools, wise men 'will forfeit the heart [i.e. intellect] which is the gift of God to man,' as it is written [Proverbs 2:6]: הַּיִּתַּה, 'for HASHEM gives wisdom.'

8. טוב אַחָרית רָבֶר מֵרְאשׁיתו — The end of a matter is better than the beginning — since only by the outcome can a matter be properly evaluated (Rashi).

A wise man should thus always try to foresee the result of every one of his actions and act accordingly (*Ibn Ezra*).

The Midrash quotes Elisha ben Abuyah telling Rabbi Meir that Rabbi Akiva explained the verse: 'Good is the end of a thing when it was good from its inception' i.e an act which was initially undertaken לְשֵׁם שְׁמֵיִם, for the name of Heaven, will in the end be successful.

טוב אָרְרְרוּחַ מְגְּבָה רּוּחַ מּגְבָה רּוּחַ מּגְבָה רּוּחַ מּגְבָה רּוּחַ better than pride. Similarly, one who controls his temper and is not provoked to anger easily (because he looks ahead to the outcome of his anger and not just to the provocation), is better than the quick-tempered individual who is easily provoked but will regret his outburst afterward (Rashi, Metzudas David; Kara). 1

An example of such restraint is that of King David who restrained his anger and did not punish Shim'i [II Samuel 16]; ultimately, Mordechai descended from Shim'i [Megillah 13a; see Overview to ArtScroll edition of Megillas Esther p. xxx] (Michlol Yofi).

9. אַל־תְּבָהֵל בְּרוֹחָךְ לְבְעוֹס — Do not be hastily upset [lit. do not be hasty with your spirit to be angry; i.e. 'do

1. A Persian came to Rav and said to him, 'Teach me the Torah; (I wish to convert.)' He [consented, and, pointing to the first letter of the alphabet], told him, 'Say Aleph.' The man remarked, 'Who says that this is Aleph? There may be others who say that it is not!'. 'Say Beth', to which he remarked, 'Who says that this is Beth?' Rav rebuked him and drove him out in anger.

He went to Shmuel and said to him, 'Teach me the Torah.' He told him, 'Say Aleph.' The man remarked, 'Who says that this is Aleph?' 'Say Beth,' to which he remarked, 'Who said this is Beth?'

בּרק ז בְּרוּחֲךָּ לִבְעֵוֹס בִּי בַּעַס בְּחֵיִק בְּסִילִים י־יב י יְנְוּחַ: אַל־תּאמֵר מֶה הָיָה שֶׁהַיָּמִים הָרָאשׁנִּים הָיָוּ טוּבִים מִאֵלֶּה בְּי לִא יא מֶחָכְמָה שָׁאַלְתָּ עַל־זֵה: טוּבָה חָבְמָה יב עָם־נְחֲלָה וְיֹתֵר לְרֹאֵי הַשְּׁמֶשׁ: בֵּי בְּצֵלְ

not display an uncontrollable bad temper,' in continuation of the previous verse, 'patience is better than pride'.]

בי בעם בחיק בסילים ינוח — For anger lingers in the bosom of fools eager to burst forth for all to see at the slightest provocation (Sforno).

Thus the Sages teach us in Avos 2:10: אֵל תְּהִי נוֹחַ לְּכְעוֹם, 'Be not easily angered.' It is well known that anger is a very bad thing, but by nature men are drawn to it. That is why Rabbi Eliezer says: 'Since against your wish you will sometimes become angry, beware not to be angered easily... weigh the matter wisely: is it worth getting angry over?' And if you find any excuse to dismiss anger, dismiss it. Then when there is reason to be genuinely angry, your anger will not fail you ... (Rabbeinu Yonah).

Our Sages warned against indulgence in anger even for a worthy cause. Even a teacher should not display anger toward his pupil, nor a father toward his child. This does not mean that they should never reprove; when they do, however, they should do so without anger, but with a view only to correction. The anger which they display should be more assumed than real

(Shab. 105b; see Midrash quoted to verse 3). In the words of Solomon "Do not be hastily upset; for anger lingers in the bosom of fools" (Mesillas Yesharim).

10. This verse cautions the wise to be satisfied with their lot. If they suffer an adverse turn of fortune they should not complain about their lot and be jealous of those who are better and attribute their decline to a changing world. Everyone of understanding is aware that life remains the same — man is given whatever has been ordained for him (1bn Ezra).

קראשנים היי טובים מאלה — That former times [lit. 'days'] were better than these. Rashi explains that one should not wonder that the generations of the desert, of Joshua, and of David were recipients of more goodness than the current generation . . .

בי לא מַחֶבְמָה שָאֵלְתְּ עָל־יְה not a question prompted by wisdom [lit. 'for not from wisdom did you ask about this.'] — i.e. it is a foolish question; the answer is obvious (Metzudas David) — all depends on the merit of each generation (Rashi).

The Talmud remarks that one

The teacher pulled his ear and the man exclaimed, 'My ear! my ear!' Shmuel asked him, 'Who said that this is your ear?' He answered, 'Everybody knows that this is my ear!' The teacher retorted, 'In the same way everybody knows that this is Aleph and that is Beth.' Immediately the Persian was silenced and accepted the instruction.

VII 10-12

set, for anger lingers in the bosom of fools.

¹⁰ Do not say, 'How was it that former times were better than these?' For that is not a question prompted by wisdom.

¹¹Wisdom is good with an inheritance, and a boon to those who see the sun, ¹² for to sit in the shelter of

should not deprecate the leaders of his time by comparing them to great personalities of the past. Rather Jerubaal in his generation is like Moses in his generation . . . Yiftach in his generation is like Samuel in his . . . one must be content with the judge who is in his days, and not look back at former times (Rosh Hashanah 25b).

The Kobriner Rebbe said: Some people feel that 'Nowadays it is difficult to serve God. In former times it was easier; there were more tzadikim whose example could be imitated.'

This is absurd. Has anyone every endeavored to seek God without avail? Endeavor to seek him in the manner of those in former days and you too will find Him, just as they did.

11. טובָה חְבְמָה עִם־נְחֵלֶה – wisdom is good with an inheritance. It is good for the scholar to be self-supporting and free from financial worries so he can immerse himself in his studies — and furthermore his wisdom is a boon to all mankind, who will thus benefit from his wise counsel (Alshich).

Wisdom is good when there is an inheritance together with it. As we learned in Avos 2:2: יפה תלמור

תוֹרָה עם דֶרֶךְ אָרָץ, 'Excellent is Torah-study together with a worldly occupation' (Midrash).

Another explanation given in the Midrash: Wisdom is good when it is an inheritance [i.e. wisdom transmitted from teacher to student or from father to son for several generations is the superior kind for any possible errors will have been sifted out over a period of many years. (Compare comm. to 4:11).]

Wisdom is good when it is accompanied by זכות אבות, ancestral merit [which is acquired by inheritance' (Torah Temimah)] (Rashi). Happy is one when the merit of his ancestors abides with him and illumines him (Midrash).

נְיתֵר לְרְאֵי הַשְּׁמֵש — A boon to those who see the sun. i.e. such wisdom benefits all mankind. ראַי , 'those who see the sun' is an all-encompassing phrase embracing all those who benefit from the sun and not only those with sight (Rashi).

...[As in the Talmud]: הַנּוּדֶר, 'he who vows (not to benfit) from the seers of the sun is forbidden from the blind, too' (Mishnah, Nedarim 30b).]

12. בִּי בְּצֵל הַחָּכְמָה בְּצֵל הַכָּסֶף — 'For

Hence, 'patience is better than pride' — better is the forbearance which Shmuel displayed toward the Persian than the impatience which Rav showed him, for with Rav's way, the Persian might have returned to his heathenism. [Midrash; a somewhat similar incident is related in the Talmud, Shabbos 31a, of Hillel and Shammai.]

בּרק ז הַחָּכְמָה בְּצֵל הַכֶּסֶף וְיִתְרָוֹן הַּצַעְּה יג־יד יג הַחָּכְמָה תְּחַיֶּה בְעָלֶיהָ: רְאָה אֶת־מְעֲשֵׂה הֵאֶלהִים כִּי מִי יוּכַל לְתַלֵּן אֵת אֲשֶׁר יי עוְתְוֹ: בְּיָוֹם טוֹבָהֹ הֱיֵה בְטוֹב וּבְיִוֹם רָעָה רְאֵה גַּם אֶת־זֶה לְעָפַּת־זֶה עָשָׂה

(to sit) in the shelter of wisdom (is to sit) in the shelter of money'—because wisdom will ensure eventual wealth (Rashi). As we see of Solomon, when God said 'Ask, what shall I give you?' [I Kings 3:5], he did not request riches, but asked for wisdom, because 'to sit in the shelter of wisdom is to sit in the shelter of money.' All is included in wisdom (Rabbeinu Yonah; see footnote comm. to 1:1, and Overview).

יִיְתְרוֹן רָּעֵת הַחָּכְמָה תְחֵיֶה בְעֶלְיהָ

And the advantage of knowledge is that wisdom [or: 'and the advantage of knowing that wisdom'] preserves the life of its possessors. i.e. this is the additional advantage of wisdom over wealth (Rashi). Wisdom is spiritual and not subject to physical death (Ibn Ezra).

- of saving a man's life [and the Midrash cites several examples of how the lives of wise people were saved by their ingenuity]. Wealth, however, might be the cause of the owner's death at the hands of robbers (Metzudas David; comp. 5:12).
- 13. Man must contemplate why God gave him life. Obviously, only to perform His commandments and act righteously for who can right his wrongs after death? Therefore submit to God and accept the vicissitudes of life (Tuv Taam).

ראה - Observe, [i.e. consider], ac-

cept graciously (Kara).

i.e. Consider God's creation — His scheme of the world. Man's lot is predetermined since the Six Days of Creation (Ibn Ezra).

Everything is prepared according to man's actions: Gan Eden for the righteous and Gehinnom for the wicked. Consider to what you should cling during your lifetime (Rashi).

If you see punishment come upon your generation, be submissive — for who can negate God's Will? (Kara).

אַת אַשֶּר עִּוּחו — What He has twisted? This refers to Adam. Who can rectify the punishment of death brought about by the Evil Inclination which caused him to eat of the Tree of Knowledge? (Alshich).

As the Midrash comments: When the Holy One, blessed be He, created the first man, He took him and led him around all the trees of the Garden of Eden, and said to him, 'Behold My works, how beautiful and commendable they are! All that I have created, I created for your sake. Pay heed that you do not corrupt and destroy My universe; for if you corrupt it there is no one to repair it after you!'

14. בְּיוֹם טוֹבָה הְיֵה בְטוֹב Be pleased when things go well [lit. 'on a day of goodness be good'] enjoy

VII 13-14

wisdom is to sit in the shelter of money, and the advantage of knowledge is that wisdom preserves the life of its possessors.

¹³ Observe God's doing! For who can straighten what He has twisted? ¹⁴ Be pleased when things go well, but in a time of misfortune reflect: God has made the one as well as the other so that man should

the good that is granted you and derive pleasure to your heart's content (Metzudas David), seeking to acquire eternal perfection which is the greatest 'good' (Sforno). But while enjoying the good, רְאַה, anticipate [lit. 'see'] the inevitability of bad times, and act accordingly (Ibn Ezra). Contemplate why God punished you, and strive to correct your ways, thrust off your sins and repent (Almosnino).

As Pesikta d'Rav Kahana comments: When a day for doing a good deed arrives, do it at once; but when facing a day on which you have done evil, consider how best to repent, so as to be spared from the punishment of the Day [of Judgment.]

Rashi interprets the phrase: when you are in a position to do good, be among those who do good — הְיִוּם רָעָה רְאָה, and when evil comes upon the wicked, be among the observers only, not among the afflicted.

Sefer Chassidim 715 alludes to this verse: 'On a day that you hear good news, be cheerful and do not indulge in fasting and eulogizing; and on an evil day when a scholar dies, do not spend your time strolling about.'

בם את־וֶה לְעָמַת־וְה נְשָׂה הָאֱלֹהִים — God has made the one as well as the

other [i.e. one to parallel the other] — good, with its reward, and evil with its ensuing punishment (Rashi).

God's punishment is never greater than that merited by the seriousness of the transgression, the manner of the punishment corresponds to the sin (Sforno). [One of the classic examples of the above concept is that for the crime of drowning Jewish male infants, the Egyptians themselves were subsequently drowned at the Splitting of the Sea.]

Rabbi Meir said: For everything that God created on this world, He created its counterpart. He created lofty mountains and low hills. He created broad oceans and narrow rivers (Chagigah 15a). This concept can be extended to all of creation which is replete with opposite extremes. The size of the universe with its stars, galaxies, and outer cosmos, is virtually infinite; we find that the minuteness of atoms and their component particles are beyond imagination. The orbits of stars and planets are similar to the orbits of electrons around the nuclei of atoms. Most matter is charged with opposite electrical charges, positive and negative. Magnetism has opposite poles. There is matter that exists for

פּרק ז הַאֶּלהִּים עַל־דִּבְרַת שֶׁלֹּא יִמְצָאַ הֵאָדָם טו־יז טּ אַחֲרָיו מְאִנּמָה: אֶת־הַכִּל רָאֻיתִי בִּימֵי הָבְלֵי זֵשׁ צַדִּיקֹ אֹבֵד בְּצִדְּקֹוֹ וְיֵשׁ רָשְּׁע טּ מַאֲרָיךְ בְּרֶעָתְוֹ: אַל־תְּהַיַ צַדִּיקֹ הַרְבֵּה יי וְאַל־תִּתְחַכַּם יוֹתֵר לָמָה תִּשׁוֹמֵם: אַל־

millenia and particles whose existence lasts the barest fraction of a second. Science does not yet know the extremes of hot and cold. There are holy and profane, male and female, light and darkness, giver and receiver, influencer and influenced — all examples of nation the parallel nature of creation (Rabbi Yaakov Kiffel).

על הְבְרַת So that [or: 'in order that'.]

שלא יְמְצָא הָאָרֶם אַחְרָיו מְאוּמְה Man should 'find' nothing after Him — i.e. Man can have no cause to complain to God because all punishment is clearly in response to man's deeds (Rashi; Ibn Ezra; Alshich; Sforno).

Rav Yosef Kara explains the verse differently: God causes both good and evil to descend upon man interchangeably so that man will find what will happen afterwards to himself. With both good and evil always present in the world, man will have no foreknowledge of his destiny — nor will he presume to fathom God's scheme. He will thus submit righteously to his fate [see 3:11].

15. אֶת־הַכּל רָאִיתִי I have seen everything. I have contemplated all phenomena in this world in order to perceive their true meaning (Metzudas David).

בִּימִי הֶּבְלִי – During my futile existence [lit. 'in the days of my futility'.]

קרוקו – Sometimes a righteous man perishes for all his righteousness; i.e. in spite of his righteousness. God will be more exacting with him and punish him immediately for a minor infraction. Were he not righteous, he might not have been punished at all because better behavior could not be expected of him (Metzudas David). [See Overview; and Overview to ArtScroll edition of Ruth, pp. 23-26.]

Rashi explains the verse: even if his death is imminent, he will maintain his righteousness up to the last moment.

תיש רְשָׁע מַאַרִיךְּ בְּרָעָחו — And sometimes a wicked man endures for all his wickedness. Alshich explains this as the paradox of צְּדִיק — righteous people who suffer while wicked are fortunate. God deals strictly with the righteous on earth to atone for their sins so that they will not require punishment in the Hereafter, but He is seemingly lax in punishing the wicked. In reality, however, He is waiting for them to repent.

...[As God says (Ezek. 18:32): 'For I have no pleasure in the death of him that dies ... rather repent and live.'] $oldsymbol{
m VII}$ find nothing after Him.

15-16

¹⁵ I have seen everything during my futile existence: Sometimes a righteous man perishes for all his righteousness, and sometimes a wicked man endures for all his wickedness. ¹⁶ Do not be overly righteous or excessively wise: why be left desolate?

The Midrash comments: As long as man lives. God looks to him to repent, but when he is dead the hope of doing so is gone ... For three reasons does God show forbearance to the wicked in this world: perhaps they will repent, perhaps they will perform some precepts for which God can reward them in this world [rather than the Hereafter], and perhaps righteous children will issue from them. Thus we find that He showed forbearance with Ahaz [by allowing him to reign for 16 years (Il Kings 16:2)] because Hezekiah issued from him: similarly Mordechai issued from the wicked Shim'i [I Kings 2:8; see comm. to verse 8.]

Sforno comments that a righteous man will be punished for his sins despite all his good deeds. Only repentance, not good deeds, can atone for sins. Conversely, the wicked are rewarded for their good deeds no matter how outnumbered they may be by sins.

16. אַל־חְהִי צְרִיק הַרְבָּה — Do not be overly righteous. Be not more righteous than your Creator, as was Saul who tried to display righteousness but misdirected his mercy on the wicked [I Sam. 15:8,9; see Overview to ArtScroll edition of Esther page 28] (Rashi; Midrash).

[There is a special term in the Talmud for one who is overly

righteous: חָסִיר שׁוֹטָה, a pious fool. The classic example is given in the Talmud: What is a pious fool like?

— for example, a woman is drowning in the river and he says, 'It's improper for me to look upon her and rescue her' (and in his 'piety' he lets her drown; Sotah 21b).]

Or: Do not view yourself as being excessively righteous and therefore unworthy of the punishments being thrust on you (Midrash Lekach Tov).

יתר (אַל־תְּחְחַכֵּם יוֹתַר — Or [do not be] excessively wise. i.e. [do not presume to be wiser than the Creator or wiser than the Sages] by vowing upon yourself self-imposed, unrequired restrictions (Sforno).

As Rambam codified in Hilchos De'os 3:1: . . . The Sages accordingly enjoined that we should only refrain from that which the Torah has expressly withdrawn from our use. And no one should, by means of vows and oaths, inhibit to himself the use of things permitted. "Do not the prohibitions of the Torah suffice", say our sages, "that you add others for yourself?" In this condemnation, are included those who make a constant practice of fasting; they too are not walking in the right way; our wise men prohibited self-mortification by constant fasting. And concerning

מרק ז תּרְשַׁע הַרְבָּה וְאַל־תְּהַיִי סָבֶל לָמָה תָמָוּת יח־כ יח בְּלָא עִתֶּךְ: טַוֹב אֲשֶׁר תֵּאֱחָוֹ בָּזֶה וְגַם־ מָזֶה אַל־תַּבְּן אֶת־יָבֶךְ בְּי־יְרֵא אֱלֹהָים יש יֵצֵא אֶת־כָּלֶם: הַחָבְמָה תָעִוֹ לֵחָבָם י יֵצֵא אֶת־כָּלֶם: הַחָבְמָה תָעִוֹ לֵחָבָם בּ מֵעֲשָׂרָה שַׁלִּיטִים אֲשֶׁר הָיִוּ בָּעִיר: בִּי בִּי מָעֲשָׂרָה שַׁלִּיטִים אֲשֶׁר הָיִוּ בָּעִיר: בִּי אָרָם אִין צַדָּיק בָּאֶרֶץ אֲשֶׁר יַעֲשֶׂה־טָוֹב אָרָם אִין צַדָּיק בָּאֶרֶץ אֲשֶׁר יַעֲשֶׂה־טָוֹב

this and similar excesses Solomon exhorts us, "Do not be overly righteous, nor overly wise. Why be left desolate?"

Amtachas Binyamin notes that the verse does not say אָל־תְּהָה — Do not be very wise. Wisdom is a virtue and much wisdom is commendable. The verse cautions אָל־תְּחָהָפּם יוֹתֵר 'be not excessively wise', i.e. do not go beyond your capabilities of wisdom by acting conceitedly, thinking yourself wiser than the Torah.

מְמְה חְשׁוּמִם — Why be left desolate?

— alienated from your friends because of your excessive piety (Metzudas David). According to the Midrash which refers this phrase to Saul, the meaning of the phrase is: Why bring about your own doom?

17. אַל־תְּרְשֵׁע הַרְבָּה — Be not overly wicked. Even if you have done something wicked, do not persist in your wickedness [mistakenly thinking that there is no hope of repentance] (Rashi).

קבל תחהי סכל – Nor be a fool. Do not, upon realizing that excessive wisdom is a cause of desolation, take the opposite course and become a fool! (Kara).

לְמָה תְמוּת בְּלֹא עַתֶּךְ – Why die before your time? As did Saul [I Chronicles 10:13] 'who died for his transgressions which he transgressed against HASHEM'.

18. ... טוב אַשֶּר תְאֲחוֹ בְּזֶה וְנְם־מְזֶּה — It is best to grasp one and not let go of the other. [lit. 'it is good that you grasp onto this, and from this you do not release your hand.] Rashi explains that one must grasp both good and 'evil' — i.e. if a prophet tells you to do something which appears to be evil, you must have confidence and obey him.

Ibn Ezra comments that one should grasp onto both worlds — the spiritual and physical — and scrupulously follow the ideals of the Torah.

According to Rav Yosef Kara, the verse reverts to 'righteousness' and 'wisdom' in verse 16, and advises that one should tread a middle path between these two virtues and cling to both.

VII 17-20 17 Be not overly wicked nor be a fool: why die before your time? 18 It is best to grasp the one and not let go of the other; he who fears God performs them all. 19 Wisdom strengthens the wise more than ten rulers who are in the city. 20 For there is no man so wholly righteous on earth that he [always] does good and never sins.

בּייַרָא אֱלְהִים יֵצֵא אֶת־כְּלֶּם — For he who fears God performs [i.e. accomplishes lit. 'will go forth'] them all. i.e. both traits will blend in him to form a God-fearing way of life (Ibn Yachya).

ראא — performs is translated in the Talmudic sense: 'fulfill one's obligations'.]

19. הַחָּכְמָה חָעִיז לְחָבֶּם — Wisdom strengthens the wise — Having recommended that excessive, conceitful wisdom be shunned, Solomon adds that, nevertheless, wisdom in its proper measure — neither carried to extremes nor obsessive — is one's surest protection [because it leads him to repent (Rashi)] — (Ibn Ezra).

מְעֶשֶׁרְ הַיּנּ בְּעִיר More than ten rulers who are in the city. i.e. superior even to the protection that comes to a city from its governing powers ['ten' being a general number standing for 'many' — see comm. to 6:3] (lbn Ezra).

Wisdom 'strengthens' the wise — enabling intellect to conquer lust. It is more powerful than the ten major organs of man: eyes, ears, hands, feet, mouth and heart which cause man to stray (Sforno).

20. No man is so righteous that he can dispense with the aid of wisdom (Ibn Latif).

בי אָרָם אִין צַרִּיק בְּאָרָץ — For there is no man so wholly righteous on earth. This verse connects with verse 16: Do not be overly righteous, and advises that complete righteousness is an impossible goal. Man must succumb to sin in some form — whether by act, speech, or thought (Ibn Ezra).

[The phrase is clearly reminiscent of Solomon's prayer at the Dedication of the בְּיַת הַמְּקְרָש, Holy Temple (I Kings 8:46): בי אִין אָדָם אָשֶׁר 'for there is no man who does not sin.]

The phrase אָבְאָרָא, on earth is stressed because it is the אָרְצִינִּית, mundanity, of this earthly existence, that draws man to sin (Yavetz).

אַשְר יִצְשָׁה־טוֹב וְלֹא יַחֲטָא — Who [always] does good and never sins. [The translation follows Ibn Ezra; literally the Hebrew translates: 'who will do good and not sin.]

Therefore the wise man should not be over-confident. Even Moses sinned! (Michlol Yofi) Let him rather search out and improve his ways (Rashi).¹

^{1.} It is self-evident that, being aware of his shortcomings, a man should be humble. It is impossible for any man to be altogether without faults which may be due to nature, to heredity, to accidents, or to his own doings. "For there is not a man wholly righteous on earth who

בּרק ז כּא יְלָא יֶחֲטֵא: גַּם לְכָל־הַדְּבָרִיםׂ אֲשֶׁר כא־כה יְדַבֵּרוּ אַל־תִּתְּן לִבֶּךְ אֲשֶׁר לְא־תִשְׁמַע יַדַע לִבֶּךְ אֲשֶׁר גַּם־אַתָּ קַלַלְתָּ אֲחֵרִים: כּג כָּל־זָה נִפִּיתִי בְּחָכְמָה אָמֵרְתִּי אֶחְלָּתָה כּג נָל־זָה נִפִּיתִי בְחָכְמָה אָמֵרְתִּי אֶחְלָּתָה יְדָע לְבֶּךְ אֲשֶׁר גַּם־אַתָּ קַלְלְתָּ אֲחֵרִים: כַּג וְּלִלְתָּ רְחַוֹּקָה מִמְנִי: חַבְּוֹתִי אֲנַיְ וְלִבִּיּ וְלָבַעַת וְלָתִוֹר וּבַקִּשׁ חָכְמָה וְחָשְׁבְּוֹן וְלָבַעַת וְלָתִּיֹר וּבַקִּשׁ חָכְמָה וְחָשְׁבְּוֹן וְלָבַעַת וְלָתִּיֹר וּבַקִּשׁ הַפָּלִוּת הָוֹלֵלְוֹת:

Therefore, if you see a 'righteous man who perishes for all his righteousness,' [verse 16] know that his punishment is probably the result of some infraction for which he received retribution in this world, because 'no man is so righteous on earth that he never sinned' (Rav Saadiah Gaon).

בו לְבֶל־הַדְּכֶרִים אֲשֶׁר יְדְבֵּרוּ - Moreover, pay not attention to everything [men] say. Do not be receptive to the evil talk of others about yourself (Rashi).

אַשֶּר לא־תִשְמֵע אָת־עַרְדְּךְ מְקַלְלֶךְ Lest you hear your [own] servant disparaging you. If you pay attention to what others say about you, you will discover that even your own servant speaks disparagingly of you. Therefore, ignore such talk and spare yourself anger and vexation (Ibn Ezra; Kehilas Yaakov).

The translation מְקַלְלָּךְ, 'disparaging', follows Rashi who comments [on Deut. 21:23] that the term קללה in Scriptures always denotes treating lightly or acting disparagingly.

אַשֶּר גַּם־אָתָ קְלֵלְתָּ אַחָּדִּים — That you yourself disparaged others — and being guilty of the same misdeed why should you grow angry when you hear it from others? (Sforno).

23. בְּלְּיוֹה נְסִיתִי בְּחְבְּמָה — All this I tested with wisdom. The truth of the above reflections — and that which follows — I tested with wisdom (Ibn Ezra) of the Torah (Rashi).

me. I could not master the kind of wisdom that would enable me to solve the deeper perplexities of life (Ibn Yachya).

Although wisdom was beyond me, I persisted until I acquired it (*Ibn Ezra*).

The Midrash points out that in his wisdom Solomon perceived the

always does good; and never sins"... There is no one so learned that he does not make mistakes, or who is not in need of learning from his equals, and at times even from his disciples. How, then, shall a man dare to boast of his learning?

The man of understanding will, upon reflection, realize that there is no justification for pride even if he was privileged to become very learned. A man of understanding who has acquired more knowledge than the average person, has accomplished nothing more than what'

VII 21-25

²¹ Moreover, pay no attention to everything men say, lest you hear your own servant disparging you, ²² for your own conscience knows that many times you yourself disparged others.

²³ All this I tested with wisdom; I thought I could become wise, but it is beyond me. ²⁴ What existed is elusive; and so very deep, who can find it? ²⁵ So I turned my attention to study and probe and seek wisdom and reckoning, and to know the wickedness of folly, and the foolishness which is madness:

meaning of all the ordinances of the Torah, 'but the chapter of the הַּחָבָּה, 'but the chapter of the הַּחָבָּה, 'Red Heifer, I was unable to fathom. When I labored therein, I thought I could become wise, but it is beyond me.' [The commentators point out that the numerical value of הַּהָיא רְחִיקָה, but it is beyond [= 341] equals הַּבְּהַה, Red Heifer.]

24. יְחוֹק מַה־שֶּׁהְיָה וְעָמֹק עֲמֹק מִי עִּמְלְּאַנּוּ — What existed is elusive, so very deep, who can fathom it. [lit. 'for that which has been; and deep, deep, who can find it?']

[Everything is elusive: what preexisted creation; what is above and below, who can fathom? Man is helpless — in his limited intellect before the infinite greatness of God's Creation.]

Furthermore, man is not permitted to delve into these metaphysical matters of 'what is above and below, before and after' (Rashi).

The crux of the matter is that although one can conceivably reach a state of Belief by intellectual

means, he should not rely solely on wisdom, for often it can cause man to stray from the proper path. Only if the intellect is permeated with Belief and Torah ideals will it stand him in good stead (Rav Saadiah Gaon).

25. סְבּוֹתִי אֲנִי וְלְבֵּי – So I turned my attention [lit. 'I turned, I and my heart'] i.e. I totally and intensively immersed myself — body and soul—into this quest (Ibn Latif; Metzudas David).

וְחְשְׁבּוֹן — And reckoning i.e. reason and perception (Metzudas David). [In verse 29 it has the meaning of 'contrivance' (Sforno).]

Rav Yosef Kara explains the verse differently: 'When it became clear to me that comprehension of the deeper workings of the world was beyond my intellectual grasp עבותי אָנִי וְלְבִי I shifted my attention to pursuing my observations on life as they flowed from the practical wisdom at my command. He concentrated on perceiving

his nature impelled him to do, as it is the nature of the bird to fly, or of the ox to pull with all its strength. Hence if a man is learned, he is indebted to natural gifts which he happens to possess . . For indeed we are like the servants of a household. Everyone of us is appointed to some task and is expected to remain at his post and do the work of the household as well as possible. In the scheme of life there is no room for pride (Mesillas Yesharim).

בּרֶכֶּז נוּ וּמוֹצָּא אֲנִי מַר מִפָּׁנֶת אֶת־הָאשָׁהּ אֲשֶׁר־

כו־כט הָּיא מְצוֹדְים וְחֲרָמִים לִבָּהּ אֲסוּרִים יָבֶּיה

טוֹב לִפְנֵי הָאֱלֹהִים יִפְּלֵט מִפֶּׁנָה וְחוֹטֵא

נוּ יָלָכֶּד בָּהּ: רְאֵהֹ זֶהַ מְצָׁאתִי אֵמְרָה לְהֶלֶּתְ

בּקְשָׁה נַפְשֵׁי וְלָא מָצָאתִי אָדָם אֶחָרְ

מָאֶלֶף מָצָאתִי וְאִשָּׁה בְּכָל־אֵלֶּה לִא

בַּקְשָׁה הַאֶּלָה מְצָאתִי וְאִשָּׁה בְּכָל־אֵלֶּה לָא

בַּקְשָׁה הָאֱלֹה רְבִּים אֶת־הָאָרָם יָשָׁר וְהַמָּה בְּקָּשׁׁה הָאֶלַה וְשִׁבֹנוֹת רבּים:

בַּקְשׁׁוּ חִשְׁבֹנוֹת רבּים:

which is the worst of all evils and most foolish of all folly, the result of which he reveals in the following verses.

26. ומוצא אָני מֶר מְמֶוֶת — And I have discovered [lit. 'and I find'] more bitter than death. Because she demands of man things which are beyond his power she ultimately kills him with a bitter death (Midrash). Given a choice, one should prefer death (Metzudas David).

[It is abundantly clear that Solomon refers only to evil, licentious women, who erotically trap man into evil ways. This is not a wholesale condemnation of all women. His praise of the Godfearing women in Proverbs 18:22 אַשָּׁה מָיָצָא טוֹר (he who has found a wife found good; ibid. 31:10 ff, (the famous 'Aishes Chayil'); and his statement in 9:9 leave no room for doubt.

The Talmud notes that they used to ask a man who had just gotten married, thus: אַיָּא, 'Matza?' or אַיָּא, 'Motzei?' [i.e. 'is your wife good or evil?'] because in Prov.

18:22 the word 'matza' is used in connection with a good wife, and in our verse 'motzei' is used in connection with a bad wife (Berachos 8a).

אָת־הָאשָה אָשֶר־הִיא מְצוֹרִים וַחְרָמִים — The woman whose heart is snares and nets [or: 'who is snares, and her heart nets.'] — always eager to ensnare (Ibn Yachya).

יֶרְיִם יְרֶיִם עְּרִים יְרֶיִם עְּרִים יְרֶים יְרֵים יְרֶים יִרְים יִרְים יִרְים יִרְים יִרְים יִרְים אַ No one can escape her clutches (Rashi). [or: Her hands are tied — she performs no useful task, and becomes indolent.]

טוב לפְנִי הָאֱלֹהִים יִמָּלֵט מְמֶּנְה — He who is pleasing to God — like Joseph [Gen. 39:13] (Midrash) escapes her.

27. [Solomon assures us that he formulated this discovery only after a minute investigation.]

אָמְרָה קהֶלֶּח – Said Koheles – the verb here is in the feminine form. Rashi explains that here Koheles means 'a collection of wisdom' rather than the name of Solomon. Thus, the word Koheles, in this sense is feminine.

VII 26-29 ²⁶ And I have discovered more bitter than death: the woman whose heart is snares and nets; her arms are chains. He who is pleasing to God escapes her but the sinner is caught by her.

²⁷ See, this is what I found, said Koheles, adding one to another to reach a conclusion, ²⁸ which yet my soul seeks but I have not found. One man in a thousand I have found, but one woman among them I have not found. ²⁹ But, see, this I did find: God has made man simple, but they sought many intrigues.

Metzudas David holds that the subject of the verse is the 'soul' (a feminine word) of the gatherer of conflicting ideas.

one to another [lit. 'one to one'] to reach a conclusion. Just as one who is involved with a great mathematical problem begins with 'one', so did Solomon proceed according to the disciplines of logic in his quest (Kara).

28. אֲשֶׁר עוֹר־בְּקְשֶׁה וַפְשִׁי וְלֹא מְצְאַתִּי — Which yet my soul seeks but I have not found — besides the quests described above, he strove for one more thing but was as yet unsatisfied (Rashi).

אָרֶם אֶחֶרְ מְאָלֵּף מְצָאְתִּי One man in a thousand I have found — I was able to find a small number of worthy men, aloof from sin; who could collaborate in my investigation; but one woman among them — i.e. from all my thousand wives [700 wives, 300 concubines (I Kings 11:3] I could not find (Kara; Ralbag) because Solomon was ultimately led into sin by his wives (Ibn Yachya).

Metzudas David says that, generally speaking, people can be

considered good only relative to others who are inferior to them. Very few are so eminent that they would be regarded as exceptional even without comparing them to others. Solomon says that among men he has occasionally found such a person, but among all his thousand wives he found not one.

[It is clear, as was pointed out earlier, that Solomon is not suggesting that righteous women did not exist at all — but, in his personal experience they were even a greater rarity than righteous men.]

29. לְבֶר רְאַהֹיוֶה מְצְאָתִי – But, see, this l did find – something which is also more bitter than death (Alshich); and which brought about a stumbling-block before the world (Rashi).

אַשר עָשָה הָאֵלהִים אָת־הָאָדָם יָשְר — God has made man simple [lit. 'upright'], i.e. God created Adam perfectly upright (Rashi).

הְהָּחִהְ — But they, i.e. when Eve was created from the body of Adam, and he became two people (Midrash; Rashi).

בְּיִם – בְּקְשׁוּ חְשָׁבנוֹת רָבִּים – Sought many intrigues [lit. 'reckonings'] and Adam then lost his uprightness and

בּרֶךְ זֹי אַ מֵי בְּהֶחָבָם וּמִי יוֹדֵעַ פֵּשֶׁר דָּבֶר חָרְמַת א־ד בּ אָדָם תָּאִיר פָּנְיוֹ וְעַזׁ פָּנָיוֹ יְשָׁנֶא: אֲנִי פִּי־ ג אַל־תִּבָּהַלַ מִפָּנִיוֹ תַּלֵּךְ אֲלִּ־תְּעֲמֻר בְּּדְבָר ג אַל־תִּבָּהַלַ מִפָּנִיוֹ תַּלֵּךְ אֲלִּ־תְּעֲמֻר בְּּדְבָר בּרָבְר שָׁלְטִוֹן וּמֵי יִאמַר־לִּוֹ מֵה־ הְבַר-מֶלֶךְ שִׁלְטִוֹן וּמֵי יִאמַר-לִוֹ מֵה־

sinned (Midrash).

Many commentators apply this verse to mankind as a whole: God created mankind upright — i.e. with a perfect nature capable of high attainments. Man's perversions spring from his own devices, which, in turn, cause his downfall (Rambam).

[God has provided man with all his needs — but man is not

satisfied; he always tries to 'improve' nature. He thus causes his own complications] ...

Not only does man seek out one intrigue — he seeks many intrigues; i.e. he delves into areas which are beyond him and tries to become overly wise. This results in man losing the simplicity which is innately his (Akeidas Yitzchak).

VIII

1. מֵי בְּהֶחְבֶם — Who is like the wise man? [A rhetorical question]: Who in this world is as important as the man of wisdom? (Rashi).

Who is as wise as that one man in a thousand that I found? [7:28] (Sforno).

רְבֶּר הְיִי יוֹדְעַ פַּשֶּׁר רְּבֶּר – And who knows what things mean? i.e. the solution of things (Rashi).

According to Metzudas David, the comparative prefix בּ ['like'] of the first phrase refers to this phrase as well. Thus: מִי בִּיוֹרָע, and who is like the one who knows what things mean? [No one equals the man of wisdom and insight.]

קימת אָרָם תְאִיר פְּנָיו — A man's wisdom lights up his face. Because of his wisdom man gains the admiration of all who know him. This gladdens one's heart and causes his

countenance to beam (Metzudas David).

See the difference between wealth and wisdom. Wealth increases anxieties and robs one of his sleep [2:23]; wisdom, however, brightens his face (Kara).

According to the Midrash, this verse refers to the scholar who knows how to expound his learning. His 'wisdom makes his face shine' — when he is asked a question and is able to answer.

וְעֹז פְּנָיו יְשְנֵא — And the 'boldness of his face' is transformed i.e. wisdom refines one's countenance (Metzudas David).

2. אֲנִי – l counsel you. [The Hebrew has only 'l'. The words 'counsel you' are supplied following the commentaries of lbn Ezra; Metzudas David).

VII 1-4 Who is like the wise man? and who knows what things mean? A man's wisdom lights up his face, and the boldness of his face is transformed.

² I counsel you: Obey the king's command, and that in the manner of an oath of God. ³ Do not hasten to leave his presence, do not persist in an evil thing; for he can do whatever he pleases. ⁴ Since a king's word is law, who dare say to him, 'What are you do-

פרימלך שמר — Obey the king's command [lit. 'guard the king's mouth'.] The commentaries differ which 'king' is referred to: the King of the Universe or a mortal king. Rashi offers both interpretations.

Shaar Bas Rabim interprets this as referring to a mortal king whom we are required to obey. He quotes, in support, the dictum in Avos 3:2: 'Pray for the peace of the government.'

יעל דְּבְרַת שְבוּצְת אֱלֹהִים — And that in the manner of an oath of God. [An ambiguous phrase which can be variously interpreted]: Because of the oath of allegiance to God's commandments that we took at Horeb (Rashi);

Obey the king's orders if only because of the oath of allegiance taken in God's Name at the time of coronation (Kara) [and because of the injunction against uttering שבועת שָוא, an Oath in God's Name, in vain.]

The king's command must be obeyed — but only when his command is in consonance with the mand is in consonance with the Oath to God, i.e. that his requests are not contrary to the Laws of the Torah (Metzudas David).

3. אַל־תִּבְהֵל מִפְנִיו תֵּלֵךְ — Do not hasten to leave his presence.

[The translation follows Ibn Ezra who interprets תֵלֵה not as an isolated word: 'Go!', but as if it were לְלֶבֶת or עָלֶבֶּר.]

Do not throw off your allegiance to the king (Akeidas Yitzchak).

Do not be hasty and say that you intend to flee from His Presence to a spot where he does not reign. He reigns everywhere (Rashi).

אַל־תַעַמד בְּדָכֶּר רָע — Do not persist [lit. 'stand'] in an evil thing, i.e. something that is evil in his eyes, for he can do as he pleases [and exact retribution] (Ibn Ezra).

Rav Yosef Kara comments: Do not associate with those who would rebel against him.

Ethically, the verse is interpreted: Do not persist in an evil thing; when you have done something evil, do not continue, but withdraw and repent immediately (Me'am Loaz).

This phrase is cited as the reason that in the Synagogal reading of the Torah, the reader does not conclude an individual aliyah with an inauspicious phrase, because דָּרָבֶּר רֶע (Do not stand [i.e. pause] during a bad thing [an inauspicious verse] (cf. Midrash; Poras Yosef).

4. בָּאֲשֶׁר רְבַר־מֶלֶךְ שִּלְטוּן — Since a king's word is law [lit. 'is power'].

שַּרֶק הּ הַּגְשֶּה: שוֹמֵר מִצְנָה לָא יֵדֻע דָּבֶּר רֻע י וְצֵת וֹמִשְׁפָּט יֵדָע לֵב חָבֶם: כִּי לְכָל־חֵׁפֶץ יַשׁ עֵת וּמִשְׁפָּט כִּי רָעַת הֲאָדָם רַבָּה י עָלָיו: בְּי־אֵינֶנוּ יֹדֻעַ מַה־שֶּיְהְיֶה כִּי בְּאָשֶׁר יְהְיֶה מֵי יַגִּיִד לְוֹ: אֵין אָדָם שַּלַיט בָּרוֹחַ לִכְלְוֹא אֶת־הָרוֹחַ וְאֵין שִּלְטוֹן בִּיִוֹם הַמָּנֵת וָאֵין מִשְׁלַחַת בַּמִּלְחַמָה

The translation of אָשָשְ, since, follows Rashi. Targum and Ibn Ezra render it as a geographical connotation: wherever the king's dominion extends, he rules over you, and no one dares contradict him. If this applies to a human king, how much more to the True King whose glory is omnipresent! (Ibn Ezra).

[This verse thus sums up the futility of rebelling against royalty.]

5.-6. שומר מצוה — He who obeys the commandment [i.e. the מְצְוֹה precepts of HASHEM (according to most commentators).]

לא יֵרֵע דְּכֶּר רְע — Will know no evil. Ibn Ezra translates: will not seek to know of anything evil [thus harmonizing the verse with the apparent contradictions in 7:15 and 8:14]

[Or, if the verse refers to mortal kings, the meaning is obvious: No harm will come to one who is obedient to the king.]

יות ומשפט ירע לב הכם — A wise mind [lit. 'heart'] will know time and justice. i.e. a wise man will perceive that there is a predetermined time during which God will exact justice from the wicked (Rashi).

[לב, heart, is used in-

terchangeably throughout Scriptures to represent the seat of intellect and emotion.]

פי לְכֶּל־חֵפֶץ יֵשׁ עַת וּמְשְׁפָּט — For everything has its time and justice and retribution is sure to come (Ibn Latif).

'For everything has its time' and every time has its thing. As man wishes so can he act in this world; but there will be a judgment and reckoning (Midrash).

פירָעָת הָאָרֶם רָבָּה עָלִיו — For man's evil overwhelms him — and man is the cause of his own punishment (Divrei Chefetz).

Rashi interprets , when, and renders: when man's evil becomes exceedingly overwhelming [in God's eyes] and their 'measure overflows' meaning that their measure of sins goes beyond God's forbearance, then punishment is heaped upon them (Rashi). [This description of sin and punishment is similar to the sequence found concerning the Generation of the Flood (Gen 6:5, 7): 'And HASHEM saw that the wickedness of man was great in the earth'...(and then)... 'HASHEM said, I will destroy man.']

The moral is that man should therefore be wary of his ways and avoid punishment (Kara).

VII 5-8 ing?' ⁵ He who obeys the commandment will know no evil; and a wise mind will know time and justice. ⁶ For everything has its time and justice, for man's evil overwhelms him. ⁷ Indeed, he does not know what will happen, for when it happens, who will tell him?

* Man is powerless over the spirit — to restrain the spirit; nor is there authority over the day of death;

7. בי־אינגנו ידע מה־שיהיה — Indeed, he does not know what will happen. The future is closed to man and he cannot foresee specific future events except in general, vague terms (lbn Ezra).

A clear comprehension of potential events is closed to man unless he completely immerses himself in these matters. Then his intellect will allow him to perceive 'the time and justice' (Metzudas David).

Rashi explains the phrase that when the wicked person sins he does not give thought to the outcome, and to the resulting punishment, and 'woe to him for this!'

בי באשר יהיה מי יגיד לו – For when it happens who will tell him? When he performs a potentially destructive act, who will forewarn him of the consequences so he can be more cautious in the future? (Sforno).

Or, according to Rashi: When the time for punishment has come, who will discuss the matter with him and first ask permission? Punishment will descend suddenly and without warning.

8. אַין אָרֶם שַלִּיט בָּרוּת — Man is powerless over the spirit, i.e. even if man were to know his day of death, how would it avail him? He has no control over the will of God's emis-

sary [the Angel of Death who is referred to as a 'spirit' (Midrash)], לכלוא אֶת־הָרוּח, to restrain the spirit, i.e. to lock his soul within his body where it is 'imprisoned' and not release it (Rashi, Ibn Ezra, Metzudas David).

[i.e. 'nor has he'] authority over the day of death, as the Midrash comments: A man cannot say to the Angel of Death 'Wait for me until I finish my business and then I will come.'

Rashi and Ibn Ezra translate: Royalty is of no avail on the day of death [i.e. kings, too, are subject to death and their royalty is not recognized by the Angel of Death]. As Rashi observes, David is referred to throughout Scripture as חַמָּלֶךְ רָוֹדְ King David. When his approaching death was mentioned, however, he is called merely 'David' [I Kings 2:1]: חַוֹּלְרָבוּ וְמֵּרְ רָמִרּת the days of David drew near to die'. His kingship is ignored.

הַמְּלְחָמָה בַּמְלְחָמָה — Nor discharge [i.e. 'embassy', 'dispatch'] in war. A man cannot say [when engaged in this battle with the Angel of Death (Kara; Torah Temimah)], 'Here is my son, or my slave, or a member of my household

פרק ז י וְלְא־יְמַלֵּט רֻשַׁע אֶת־בְּעָלֵיו: אֶת־בָּל־יֶזְהַ ט־י רָאִיתִי וְנָתַוֹן אֶת־לִבִּי לְבֶל־מִעֲשֶׁה אֲשֶׁר שָׁלֵּט נְעֲשֶׂה תַּחַת הַשָּׁמֶשׁ עֵת אֲשֶׁר שָׁלֵּט רְשָׁעִים בְּאָדָם לְרַע לְוֹ: וּבְבֵן רָאִיתִי רְשָׁעִים בְּבָרִים וָבָאוּ וּמִמְמְקוֹם קְדוּשׁ יָהַלֵּכוּ וְיִשְׁתַּבְּחִוּ בָעִיר אֲשֶׁר בֵּן־עָשֻוּ גַּם־

instead of me' (Midrash; Rashi).

Ibn Ezra and Metzudas Zion translate חַשְׁלְיחֵים: weapons, and render: 'neither will weapons be of avail to provide immunity from death in war-time.'

קלא־יְמֵלְּט רָשֶׁע אָת־בְּעֶלְיוּ — And wickedness cannot save the wrong-doer [lit. 'its owner'] i.e. Evil-doers will not escape punishment for their deeds — their wickedness will not be their salvation, [as Solomon declared in Proverbs 10:2, 11:4]: חוף הציל ממון, 'and it is righteousness that saves from death' (Kara).

Many commentators (*lbn Ezra*; Sforno; Lekach Tov) explain ya as referring to 'riches' — the bulk of which man acquires through evil means: wealth will not save its owner from punishment; only repentance and good deeds will.

... Torah Temimah explains the above interpretation: By changing the order of a word's letters, a different word is often formed. This new word suggests a deeper meaning in addition to the simple interpretation. .[He cites many Talmudic examples such as יושטחו (Yoma 75b); פרשישרף (Yoma 75b); וישטחו (Yevamos 16b, Maharsha); וויפץ ויצף (Midrash).]
Thus עשר, 'wickedness', when inverted becomes 'werland's riches. The

above interpretation is suggested by another of Solomon's utterances [Prov. 11:4]: לא יועיל הון בְּיוֹם עָבְרָה -'Riches are of no avail in the day of wrath.'

[Man must thus strive to live righteously; nothing else can help him on the day of death.]

9. אֶת־בָּל־זֶה רְאִיתִּי — All this have I seen i.e. perceived by actual experience (Sforno).

Rashi takes this phrase to refer to the previous verses and interprets it as a concluding remark.

Metzudas David understands it to be an introductory remark i.e. whatever follows, I personally experienced and set my mind to comprehend.

יְנָתוֹן אֶת־לְבִּי – and I applied [lit. gave] my mind [lit. 'my heart'.]

[Again 'heart' is used to depict the seat of intellect and emotion.]

... שָּלֵיט איר שְלֵּט. — There is a time when one man rules over another to his detriment. [The translation follows Rashi and Sforno.] One person can attain dominion over another, but will ultimately be punished for his acts of domination. Amalek attacked Israel, but was later doomed. Egypt enslaved Israel only to be decimated (Rashi, Sforno).

VII) 9-10 nor discharge in war; and wickedness cannot save the wrong-doer.

⁹ All this have I seen; and I applied my mind to every deed that is done under the sun: there is a time when one man rules over another to his detriment.

¹⁰ And then I saw the wicked buried and newly come while those who had done right were gone from the Holy place and were forgotten in the city. This,

According to Metzudas David, the object of the sentence is not the oppressor, but the oppressed: 'One man rules over another to his (the victim's) detriment.

Rav Yosef Kara explains the verse: I realized that when man feels that the time is auspicious he will persecute his comrade, rob and steal from him, and rationalize that there is no Law and no Judge. He does not realize that his actions are detrimental to him because 'God defends the pursued' [3:15] and he will be punished.

10. ובבן ראיתי – And then I saw. This is one of the most semantically difficult verses in the entire book and several interpretations are offered. In translation, perhaps a paraphrase does it the most justice. Our translation, which presents the meaning of the words following the written text is based on Ibn Ezra. The subject of the verse is the wicked of the previous verse 'who rule over their fellow man' — and קברים, are buried peacefully in their graves as in Psalms 74:4, i.e. who die without anguish. He understands וְבָאוּ as meaning they came into the world a second time (i.e. their children succeed and perpetuate them)...

The phrase ומִמְקוֹם קַדושׁ יְהַלֵּכוּ,

while those who were gone from the holy place refers to the righteous, 'the holy ones' who, because they die without children, become forgotten in the city where they were ...

And these [ironically] are the ones אַשֶּר בּוְ־עָשׁר who had acted righteously (בו meaning 'right' as in Numbers 27:7 בְּנְבָּנוֹת צְּלְפְּחָר רְבְרת The daughters of Zelafchad speak right).

The anomaly is how the good deeds of the righteous are forgotten, but the wicked die peacefully and leave a legacy of evil behind them. This is a great futility.

According to Rashi קקרים, buried, refers to the wicked who were held in contempt by the nations of the world and were worthy of being buried in the dust. Though a despicable nation, they would one day conquer the Holy City and dwell in the Holy Temple. Upon returning home they would be brazen enough to pride themselves in their ugly deeds.

ומְמְקוֹם קְרוֹשׁ יְהַלְכוּ — Were gone from the holy place. This phrase, as explained, refers to the righteous whose every action leads from one sanctity to another (Metzudas David).

Midrash Lekach Tov interprets

מרק חיא זָהָ־הָבֶלּ: אֲשֶׁרֹ אֵיןְ־נֵעֲשֶׁה פִּתְגָּם מְעֲשֵׂה יא־יג הָרָעָה מְהַרָּה עַל־בֵּן מָבֵּא לַבְ בְּנִי־הָאָרָם
יבּ בָּהֶם לֵעֲשָׂוֹת רֵע: אֲשֶׁר חֹטֶא עֹשֶׁה רֶע
מְאַת וּמְאֲרִיך לֵוֹ כִּי נַּם־יוֹדֵעַ אָנִי אֲשֶׁר
יִּהְיֶה־טוֹב לְיִרְאֵי הָאֱלֹהִים אֲשֶׁר יִיְרְאִוּ
י מִלְפָנֵיו: וְטוֹב לְא־יְהְיֶה לֶרָשָׁע וְלְא־
יִאֲרִיך יָמִים בַּצֵּל אֲשֶׁר אֵינֶנָנוּ יָרָא מִלְפְנֵי

the entire phrase as referring to the wicked: They live out their lives and new ones spring up after them, imitating their ways. נְמַמְּלְנוֹ בְּרוֹשׁ , they always keep away from holy places — synagogues and study-houses, בְּרֶעְשׁוּ בְּעִיר בְּעִיר אֲשֶׁר, and their evil deeds are ultimately forgotten without anyone having learned a moral lesson from their evil ways. This is futility.

11. [Koheles attributes the flourishing of wickedness to the delay in retribution which tends to strengthen the tendency toward evil.]

פְתְנֶם — Sentence [lit. 'decree' as in Esther 1:20.]

קהֵרָה — Quickly i.e. A man sins and the חָדֵה הָדִּים, Attribute of Justice, does not overtake him (Midrash).

קלֵא לֶב בְנֵי־הָאָדֶם כְּהֶם — Men are encouraged [lit. 'the heart of man is full in them.'] They lose all fear of retribution (Rabbi Eliezer of Worms) and rationalize that there is no justice in the world. Therefore, they sin with impunity (Ralbag).

They assume that because punishment does not befall them immediately after their sin, they will escape altogether. What they do not realize, however, is that, at that moment, some merit of theirs protected them from retribution. But it will not shield them indefinitely. Punishment will come (Torah Temimah).

12.-13. [These verses continue the thought of the previous verse. They elaborate on what the wicked see that encourages them to sin with impunity. Nevertheless, Solomon disavows this evidence and affirms his faith in the Divine Justice which rewards the righteous and punishes the sinner.]

עשה רֶע מְאַת — Does what is wrong a hundred times (so Ibn Ezra); incalculable times in multiples of a hundred (Rashi); or 'did wrong to a hundred people' (Metzudas David).

לו המַאְרִיךְ לוּ – And [God] is patient with him. [lit. 'and He extends to him'] i.e. מָאָרִיךְ לוֹ אַף, withholds His anger (Metzudas David) [אַרָּךְ אַפַּיִם] Slow to Anger, being one of the Attributes of God (Ex. 34:6)]¹

In this connection I quote Rav S.R. Hirsch's comment on Exodus 34:6: As אָרֶךְ אָפִים, Who has Patience, [God] is forbearing and gives time for the powers which He has granted, and grants again and again, to bear the intended fruit of moral development of

VIII 11-13 too, is futility! ¹¹ Because the sentence for wrong-doing is not executed quickly — that is why men are encouraged to do evil, ¹² because a sinner does what is wrong a hundred times and He is patient with him, yet nevertheless I am aware that it will be well with those who fear God that they may fear Him, ¹³ and that it will not be well with the wicked, and he will not long endure—like a shadow—because he does not fear God.

[The wicked therefore interpret this forbearance as permission to continue their evil ways, rather than understanding it as part of God's master plan of the world.]²

בי גַּם־יוּדְעָ אָנִי — Yet nevertheless I, am aware [lit. 'for also I know']. Let no one think that I [Koheles] share the sinner's view. Just as every man of intellect perceives God's justice, so do I know that in the Eternal World it will go well only for those who fear Him, and that in the Hereafter the wicked will find no goodness, but their souls will be cut off (Metzudas David).

Even to the righteous He is 'patient' in granting his reward, but just as I believe that in the end the

sinner will receive his due, I also believe that the righteous will ultimately receive his reward (Tuv Taam).

Although God does not hurry to exact retribution from the wicked and make a distinction between the righteous and the wicked, nevertheless I know that ultimately everyone will receive his just reward and it will go well with those who fear Him (Rashi).

יטוב לאייהיה לְרְשֶׁע — And that it will not be well with the wicked — in the Hereafter, and his soul will be cut off (Metzudas David).

פַצֵּל – Like a shadow – [which is non tangible and unenduring.]

life — Who gives patient consideration to the moral weakness — which are just what give the possibility of moral greatness — of those of his creatures who are called upon to give free-willed obedience. Who has pleasure in waiting and waiting until the Godly freedom in Man has gained the upper hand in the fight against the temptations of the senses, and in this expectation keeps up even those who are still ignoble and incomplete with His strength-giving, never ending, maintaining love (מְאַרֶּךְ אַפִּים, cr, where the gifts granted expand faithfully in accordance with the object for which they were given.

2. Much enlightenment on this subject is provided in the Sefer halkkarim. I quote at length: Benefits may come to the wicked for their own sake or for the sake of the righteous. For their own sake they are sometimes well treated so as to harden their hearts that they may not repent after having rebelled against and grieved His holy name and committed great wrong. This is what the Rabbis mean when they say that God withholds the ways of repentance from the wicked. Or the opposite, namely that God is kind and prolongs their days in order that they may repent.

A wicked man may also be prosperous for the sake of the righteous in order to increase the reward of his righteous contemporaries who, despite the example of the wicked man's prosperity, continue in their innocence and integrity. For if the wicked man were punished as

פַרק חיר אֶלהִים: יֶשׁ־הֶכֶלּ אֲשֶׁר נְצֻשָּׂה עַל־הָאָרֶץְ יר־טוֹ אֲשֶׁר | יֵשׁ צַדִּיִּלִים אֲשֶׁר מַגְּיַע אֲלֵהֶם בְּמַצֲשֵׂה הְרְשָׁלִים וְיֵשׁ רְשָׁלִים שָׁמֵּגִּיע אַלַהֶּם בְּמַצְשֵׂה הַצַּדִּיקִים אָמַרְתִּי שֶׁגָּם־ אָלֶהֶם בְּמַצְשֵׂה הַצַּדִּיקִים אָמַרְתִּי שֶׁגָּם־ אָשֶׁר אִין־טַוֹב לֶאָדָם תַּחַת הַשֶּׁמֶשׁ כֵּי אִם־לֵאֶכָל וְלִשְׁתִּוֹת וְלִשְׁמְוֹחַ וְהוֹּוּא יִלְנֶנִּוּ אָם־לֵאֱכָל וְלִשְׁתִּוֹת וְלִשְׁמְוֹחַ וְהוֹּוּא יִלְנֶנְּוּ בַעֲמָלוֹ יְמֵי חַיָּיֵוֹ אֲשֶׁר־נְתַן־לִּוֹ הָאֱמֶשׁ כִּי בַעֲמָלוֹ יְמֵי חַיָּיֵוֹ אֲשֶׁר־נְתַחַלִּ לְּוֹ הָאֱלַהִים סּוּ תַּחַת הַשְּּמָשׁ: בְּאֲשֶׁר נָתַהָּי אֶת־לִּבִּי

14. [The following verses until 9:12 form a cohesive unit discussing the dilemma presented by the prosperity of the wicked and the suffering of the righteous.]

יש־הֶבְל-There is a futility which confounds mankind (Rashi).

עַל־הָאָרֶץ – On earth, i.e. in this world (Metzudas David).

יש צדיקים — Sometimes there are righteous men, etc. The יש יל 'there is' in this context implies a rare occurrence (Ibn Ezra on 7:3).

[The meaning is that sometimes the wicked prosper and the righteous suffer — the lot of the righteous and the lot of the wicked are seen to sometimes reverse. See Overview]

קבל — This, too, is vanity. My initial reaction was that both the righteous and the wicked led a futile existence and I almost stooped to a level reminiscent of *Psalms* 73:2-3 'my feet had almost turned away' [i.e. I nearly wavered from the proper path; ... 'I was envious of those who seemed resplendent when I saw the prosperity of the wicked...']

The maintenance of Free Will - a necessary ingredient of God's plan - requires a certain amount of suffering for the righteous and prosperity for the wicked. For, if all wickedness were to be punished immediately, there would be no room for choice and everyone would be righteous .Thus, the wicked often prosper, but they misinterpret this prosperity as sanction to continue their wicked ways. They should realize that it is futility - that in reality there is justice, but that God allows them to flourish in order to confuse mankind (Me'am Loez).

soon as he commits a wrong act, the righteous men who serve God from love would not receive their due credit, for one might suspect that their worship of God was due not to love, but to the fear of punishment which they see coming upon the wicked. But when one sees that the wicked are not punished as soon as they do evil, he thinks that they will never be punished, and hence everyone does as he pleases.

Solomon calls attention to this in Ecclesiastes: "Because the sentence for wrongdoing is not executed quickly - that is why men are encouraged to do evil."

In these words Solomon expresses the problem. Why is it, he says, that the wicked man is not punished as soon as he does an evil deed? This surely leads to men's hearts being fully set

VIII 14-15

14 There is a futility that takes place on earth: Sometimes there are righteous men who are treated as if they had done according to the deeds of the wicked; and there are wicked men who are treated as if they had done the deeds of the righteous. I declared, this, too, is vanity.

15 So I praised enjoyment, for man has no other goal under the sun but to eat, drink and be joyful; and this will accompany him in his toil during the days of his life which God has given him beneath the sun.

15. וְשְבַּחְתִי אֲנִי אָת־הַשְּמְחָה — So I praised enjoyment. [Not enjoyment for its own sake] but הַמֶּלְקוּ בְּיָהָא שְּמֵּה, that a person should be satisfied with his lot and be involved in performing 'righteous precepts which gladden the heart' [Psalms 19:9] from that which God has bestowed upon him (Rashi).

The Talmud discusses the apparent discrepancy between this verse where Solomon praises joy, and verse 2:2 'And of joy, (I said,) what does it accomplish'?] — 'There is no difficulty: . . . this verse refers to מְצָהָ הַשְׁל מְצְרָה, the joy of a precept [the celebration of the performance of a precept, e.g. a marriage]; ... verse 2:2 refers to מְּמֶרְה שֶׁל מְצְרָה שֶׁל מִצְרָה שֶׁל מִצְרָה שֶׁל מִצְרָה שֶׁל מִצְרָה connection with a precept (Shabbos 30b).

לאבל ולשתוח ולשמוח – To eat,

drink and be joyful. The Midrash notes that all 'eating and drinking' mentioned in this Book signify Torah and good deeds [for just as eating and drinking sustain the body, Torah and good deeds sustain the soul (Torah Temimah based on Zohar).]

The proof of this [continues the Midrash] is this verse: this will accompany him in his toil during the days of his life — to the grave. לבְּעָלֵּה, in his toil should be homiletically read בְּעָלָּה, in his world [see comm. of Torah Temimah above on verse 8.] Are there, then, food and drink which accompany man to the grave? —It means Torah and good deeds which a man performs.

16.-17. בְּאֲשֶׁר נְתָהִי אֶת־לְבִּי — When I set my mind [lit. 'my heart'] Solomon proceeds to explain why

in them to do evil. Then he answers the question by saying that their are two reasons, as we said, for this situation:

"Because a sinner does what is wrong a hundred times and He is patient with him." What he means to say is that, the reason God prolongs the wicked man's life is either in order that he may repent, or in order that he may not, as we said before. Then he says that there is another reason:

"Yet nevertheless I am aware that it will be well with those who fear God that they may fear him." i.e. the reason for prolonging their life is also in order to benefit those who fear God, that they may fear Him and serve Him from love and not from fear of punishment.

יז לָרַעַת חָבְמָּה וְלִּרְאוֹתֹ אֶת־הָעִנְיָּן אֲשֶׁר יז נְעֲשָׁה עַל־הָאֶרֶץ כִּי גַּם בַּיּוֹם וּבַלַּיְלָה יי שׁנָּה בְּעִינָיו אֵינָנִּוּ רֹאֶה: וְרָאִיתִי אֶת־ בָּל־מַעֲשֵׂה הָאֱלֹהִים כִּי לֹא יוּכַל הָאָרָם למְצוֹא אֶת־הַמְּעֲשֶׂה יְעֲשֶׁר וְעֲשָׂר נְעֲשָׂה תְחַת־ הַשֶּׁמֶשׁ בְּשֶׁל אֲשֶׂר יְעֲמֻל הָאָרָם לְבַקְשׁ וְלָא יִמְצָא וְגַם אִם־יֹאמַר הֶחָכָם לָרַעַת לא יוּכַל לִמִצָּא:

בּרק ט א בִּי אֶת־בָּל־זֶּה נָתַתִּי אֶל־לִבִּיֹ וְלָבְוּר אֶת־ א בָּל־זֶּה אֲשֶּׁר הַצַּדִּיקַים וְהַחֲבָמֵים וַעַבָּדִיהֵם בִּיַד הַאֵּלהִים גַּם־אַהַבָּה גַם־

he came to the conclusion set forth in the last verse praising enjoyment: When I immersed myself in the quest of gaining insight into the nature of things - mustering up every ounce of the superior wisdom with which God endowed me - in order to understand why the righteous suffer while the wicked prosper - even to the extent of going without sleep day and night, I became convinced that man cannot fathom these matters and although he feels he has nearly grasped it, he will ultimately fail in his quest. It is beyond the realm of his intellect. (Divrei Chefetz; Kara; Rashi; Ralbag; Taalumos Chachma).

... בי גם ביום ובְלִילָה — For even day or night its eyes see no sleep. בְּעִינְיוּ seyes' refers to [the 'eyes' of] 'my heart' — i.e. I was so engrossed in this quest that even sleep eluded me (Ibn Ezra).

Research thus consumes a man's

total being. It even robs him of his sleep (Kehilas Yaakov).

את־כַּל־מַעשַה הַאֵּלהַים – And I perceived all the work of God - i.e. I delved into those of God's works (i.e. plans regarding His Providence of the world] which He allowed man to comprehend (Rashi), nevertheless I concluded that mortal man cannot comprehend God's reasons for rewarding the wicked. Not that they are without reason or consistency [God forbid!]; God's purpose is definitely well defined, but is beyond mortal comprehension. Therefore it is best to leave these matters in God's hand and not investigate them (Alshich).

לא יוּכֵל הָאָרָם לְמְצֹא — He cannot fathom it [lit. 'to find it']. Even Moses could not comprehend it as it is written (Exodus 33:13): הוֹדְעָנִי נְא הֹרְעָנִי נְא 'Show me now Your way' (Rashi).

VIII 16-17

¹⁶ Wher I set my mind to know wisdom and to observe the activity which takes place on earth — for even day or night its eyes see no sleep. — ¹⁷ And I perceived all the work of God. Indeed man cannot fathom the events that occur under the sun, inasmuch as man tries strenuously to search, but cannot fathom it. And even though a wise man should presume to know, he cannot fathom it.

IX 1

For all this I noted and I sought to ascertain all this: that the righteous and the wise together with their actions are in the Hand of God; whether love or hate

Therefore, I praised enjoyment [as defined above in verse 15], for any other quest is fruitless (Metzudas David).

[For though Koheles opened this

chapter implying that the wise man 'knows what all things mean,' — he concludes that certain divine matters remain hidden even to the wisest of men.]

IX

1. [Solomon continues his theme of the righteous and wicked, Divine Providence and Fate, and affirms that his conclusion that God's plan of governing the universe is unfathomable by mortal man who bases his conclusions on empirical observations alone.]

בֶּי אָת־בְּל־יְהָה For all this. I.e. the matters discussed above in chapter 8 (Metzudas David).

וְלָכוּר – Sought to ascertain from ברר, to sift, select (Rashi).

... For we see slaves rise to great heights and wise men descend to great depths. The reason for this is not revealed to man; it is in God's hand (Kara).

עריאָה אין יוֹרֵע הְאָרָה הַסּ שׁרְאָה אין יוֹרֵע הְאָרָם שׁרִא whether love or hate, man does not know. Man cannot even comprehend what inspires him to love or hate something (Metzudas David). The final determination of the true success of man's efforts is in God's hand. Often one achieves what he seeks — only to find that the object of his love is detrimental to him, or that the object of his hate would have been beneficial to him. The execution of the desire itself however, is in the realm of man's Free Will (Ibn Latif).

בּרק ט שִּׂנְאָה אַין יוֹבֹעַ הֲאָדֶם הַכָּל לִפְנֵיהֶם: בִּג בּ הַבָּל בְּאֲשָׁר לַכֹּל מִקְנֶּה אֶחָׁד לַצַּדֵּיק וְלֶבְשָׁע לַטוֹב וְלַטָּהוֹר וְלַטָּמֵא וְלַזּבֹּח וְלַאֲשֶׁר אִינֶנוּ זֹבֵח כַּטוֹב בְּחֹטֶא הַנִּשְׁבָּע ג בַּאֲשֶׂר שְׁבוּעָה יָרֵא: זָהְ|רָע בְּלַל אֲשֵׁר־

Similarly, man does not comprehend why God sometimes guides man to love or hate, as, for example, in the desire of Judah for Tamar, David for Bathsheba, or the hatred of the brothers for Joseph. None of those powerful emotions were designed by them, but all were created in order to carry out God's plan which pre-existed them (Taalumos Chachmah; see Overview to ArtScroll ed. of Ruth).

Rav Yosef Kara comments: There are cases where God grants man goodness and man cannot comprehend whether the goodness was granted as a reward out of God's love for him, or if God granted it to him out of hate in order to give him a fleeting moment of gratification so that he will be subject to the agony of later losing it to someone else.

Rashi interprets this vis-a-vis man's relationship to God: Ordinary people [except for the righteous and wise (Sifsei Chachamim)] do not have the intellect to perceive by what means they can become beloved, or, conversely, hated by God.

i.e. all was decreed before they were born (Targum; Ibn Ezra; Taalumos Chachmah) and is arranged as a matter of Divine Providence (Akeidas Yitzchok).

(lit. לפניהם According to Rashi)

'before them')in this context does not mean 'before them,' 'preceding them,' in time, but rather 'in their presence.' He therefore renders the phrase]: 'all is before them' — i.e. before the righteous and wise. [They have the intellect to perceive what God loves and what He hates.]

Rav Yosef Kara's rendering of the phrase is similar to Rashi. But he explains that although everything is laid out before man man still does not have the intellect to comprehend God's ways.

And as *Ibn Ezra* comments: For the righteous and wise cannot tell when the things they love or hate will come to them; both are before them though they have no perception.

2. הַכּל בַּאֲשֶׁר לַכּל — All things come alike to all [lit. 'the all as to all'.]

... מְקְרָה אֶחָה — The same [lit. 'one'] fate awaits them all. i.e. everyone knows that death, the common equalizer, is the fate that awaits all men in this world. Nevertheless [those with intellect] choose to take the proper path because they realize that there is a distinction between good and evil people in the Hereafter (Rashi).

['Fate' is clearly not to be understood as some 'haphazard' event. As Rashi notes to 3:19 'death' is alluded to, and within the context of IX 2

man does not know; all preceded them.

² All things come alike to all; the same fate awaits the righteous and the wicked, the good and the clean and the unclean, the one who brings a sacrifice and the one who does not. As is the good man so is the sinner, as is the one who swears, so is the one who fears an oath.

these verses all is guided by Providence. (See comm. of S.R. Hirsch quoted in ArtScroll ed. of Ruth 2:3, page 88) s.v. [...]

לְצְרִיק וְלְרְשֶׁע — The righteous and the wicked [These nouns as well as most of the nouns throughout this verse are preceded with the prefix '> = 'to' but it is dropped in the translation for idiomatic reasons].

Rashi, following the Midrash, provides examples of actual diverse personages in Scripture who are illustrative of the descriptions in this verse and who shared common fates. For example, righteous wicked is a description of Noah and Pharaoh-Necho both of whom were injured in the course of their lives, as noted in the Midrash, and died with a limp.

לטוב וְלַשְּהוּר וְלְשָׁהַא [To] the good, [and to] the clean and [to] the unclean. Those who do good with others; those who have pure thoughts; and those who concentrate on impure thoughts and levity (Metzudas David).

[The terms are thus explained by the commentators as having both a ritual and moral connotation.]

Rashi refers 'good' to Moses; 'the clean' — to Aaron; and 'unclean' — to the מְרֵגְלִים, Spies. Moses and Aaron praised Eretz Yisrael and the Spies deprecated it, yet they all

shared a common fate: neither of them entered the Land (Rashi).

הַה וְלַאָּשֶׁר אִינְנֵּוּ זֹבַח (to) The one who brings a sacrifice (lit. 'shall slaughter'), and [to] the one who does not [bring a sacrifice, lit. 'does not slaughter']

ראשיהו, Josiah, who offered sacrifices [II Chron. 35:7] and Ahab who abolished the pilgrimages to the Temple; both were killed by arrows [I Kings 22:34; Il Chron. 35:23.] (Rashi).

בטוב בּחטא — As is the good man so is the sinner — i.e. they are completely identical (lbn Ezra).

David and Nebuchadnezzar.
 The former was responsible for building the Temple and the latter destroyed it, but each reigned for 40 years (Rashi).

הַנְשְׁבֶע כַּאֲשֶׁר שְבוּעָה יָרָא (As is] the one who swears so is the one who fears an oath. i.e. the one who swears [i.e. takes an oath] rashly and is not concerned with his ability to fulfill it, and conversely the other who refrains from swearing out of apprehension that he may unintentionally be taking the name of God in vain (Metzudas David).

- Zedekiah, who swore falsely to Nebuchadnezzar [*Il Chron.* 36:13]; and Samson [who gave credence to oaths by trusting the oath of the ברק ט נְצְשָּׁהֹ תַּחַת הַשֶּׁמֶשׁ כְּי־מִקְכֶּרָה אֶחָד לַכְּלּל ד וְגָם לֵב בְּנִי־הֶאָדָם מֱלֵא־רָע וְהְוֹלֵלָוֹת בּלְבָבָם בְּחַיֵּיהֶם וְאַחֲרָיו אֶל־הַמֵּתִים: כִּי־ מִי אֲשֶׁר יְבָּחֵׁר אֶלְ כָּל־הְחַיַּיִם יֵשׁ בִּשָּׁחְוֹן בְּי־לְבֵלֶב חַיֹ הָוֹא טוֹב מִן־הָאַרְיֵה הַמֵּת:

members of the tribe of Judah (Judges 15:12)]; both died by having their eyes gouged out [Judges 16:21; !! Kings 25:7] (Rashi).

Ibn Ezra explains that the five opposites listed in the verse refer to five facets of human behavior and thought: righteous / wicked, to deed, impure / pure, in body; one who offers / one who doesn't offer, to the use of resources; good / sinful, in heart [thought rather than deed]; and swearing / fearful of swearing, to speech.

3. יַה רָע — This is an evil. i.e. the most grievous evil in the world (Metzudas David); and nothing is more difficult than this (lbn Ezra).

— That the same fate awaits them all. [i.e. that חַחַהַ

השמש, in this world, death comes to

all, and no distinction is made beneath the sun between the righteous and the wicked, all distinction awaiting the Hereafter.

This is what confuses the wicked (Taalumos Chachmah).

קני־הָאָרָם מְלֵּא־רָע — Therefore the heart of man is full of evil i.e. as a result of the above man is emboldened to presume that there is no Providence and no justice (Metzudas David) they attribute everything to blind chance, benefitting sometimes the righteous and sometimes the wicked (Rashi).

The diminutive term בְּנִי־תָּאֶרָם 'sons' of man is used here because 'smaller' people, because of their limited intellect, find this problem even more perplexing (lbn Ezra).

יהוללות בְּלְבָבֶם בְּחַיֵיהָם – And

1. As the Midrash relates of the Sage Elisha ben Abuyah:

He once saw a man climb to the top of a palm-tree on the Sabbath, take the mother-bird with the young [in violation of the Sabbath and of Deut 22:7], and descend in safety. After the Sabbath, he saw a man who was told by his father to climb to the top of a tree, and bring down young birds, and he ascended, dismissed the mother-bird, took the young, and on the way down fell and was killed.

Elisha exclaimed: Of both these precepts [honoring parents (Deut. 5:16); and sending away the mother-bird (ibid 22:7)] it is written: 'that it may go well with you and that your days may be prolonged.' Where is the well-being of this man and where is the prolonging of his days!

He was unaware of how Rabbi Akivah explained it: 'that it may go well with you' — in the World [to come] which is wholly good, 'and that your days may be prolonged' — for the world which is unending. [Both refer to the next world, not to this, and thereby emphasize that reward comes only then, but not in this world. Elisha, on the other hand, interpreted it literally as referring to this world, and seeing that the promise was not fulfilled, turned unbeliever.]

Others say that the cause for his losing his faith was that he saw the tongue of Chutzpis the interpreter [a great sage, one of the martyrs in the Hadrianic persecutions] dragged along by a dog, and he exclaimed: 'The mouth that uttered pearls licks the dust! If so there is no reward for the righteous!' He then went on to sin. (see also Kidd. 39b).

[It was thus the eternal question of why the righteous suffer (see Overview) that led him to religious apostasy.]

IX 3-5 ³ This is an evil about all things that go on under the sun: that the same fate awaits all. Therefore, the heart of man is full of evil; and madness is in their heart while they live; and after that, they go to the dead.

⁴ For he who is attached to all the living has hope, a live dog being better than a dead lion. ⁵ For the liv-

madness is in their heart [i.e. 'mind'] while they live. i.e. during their lifetime they are preoccupied with melancholic conflicting thoughts (Metzudas David) [and, unrestrained by the the fear of retribution, they give rein to their desires and wild passions.]

[they go] to the dead — i.e. their melancholy accompanies them throughout life (Metzudas David), until ultimately they go to Gehinnom (Rashi), and the wicked are stripped of their eternity (Taalumos Chachmah).

To quote (Rav Saadiah Gaon: The translation of this verse is to the effect that the hearts of the sons of men are full of evil and that there is insanity in their hearts while they are alive, as well as afterward when they are dead.

The last phrase is interpreted by Rav Yosef Kara as a separate clause: 'Another reason that man's heart is full of evil is that during life the wicked think that מַחְרָיו אֶל־הַמְתִים his ultimate destination is to join the dead, and he therefore becomes a fatalist and loses faith.'

4. ... בי־מִי אֲשֶׁר יְחְבֶּר For he who is attached to all the living has hope. As long as he lives there is hope that even the sinner will repent (Rashi).

Ibn Ezra comments that this verse is a citation of the common rationale of mankind: 'While there is life there is hope.' [see prefatory comment to verse 7.]

To all the living — even if he attaches himself to the wicked; there is still hope of eventual repentance (Metzudas David).

For once he dies all hope has ended as is written (Ezek. 37:11) 'our bones are dried, our hope is lost' (Kara).

The בְּחֵים, written text, has יְּבְחֵר 'will choose,' to suggest that only those who can 'choose' have hope; and the dead can no longer choose; for them all hope is lost (lbn Ezra).

... בּי־לְבֶלֵב חַיי... — A live dog being better than a dead lion — ['Dog' in Scriptures is a term of contempt (I Sam. 17:43); while the lion, is 'the mightiest among beasts' (Prov. 30:30). Nevertheless the despised dog — who is alive — is better than the mighty lion — who is dead.]

1. Life in this world is praised because it affords the opportunity for repentance, the performance of mitzvos, and the attainment of high spiritual states. This constitutes the hope that exists for one who is joined to life. The meaning of "... for a living dog ..." is that the lowliest person alive can grow spiritually — something that the wisest, most righteous dead cannot do. Elsewhere, however, Koheles belittled and demeaned this world in respect to the acquisition of its pleasures and its honor, saying, "What profit hath man of all his labor

פרק ט ה כִּי הַחַיִּים יְוֹדְעִים שֶׁיָמֶתוּ וְהַמֵּתִּים אֵינָםְ היז יְוֹדְעִים מְאׁוּמָה וְאֵין־עַוֹד לָהֶם שָּׁכָּר כִּי גִּם־קִּנְאָתָם כְּבָר אָבֶדָה וְחֵלֶק אֵין־לָהֶם עוֹד לְעוֹלָם בְּכַל אֲשֶׁר־נַעֲשָׂה תַּחַת הַשָּׁמֶשׁ: לֵךְ אֱכַל בְּשִׂמְחָה לַחְמֶּךְ וּשְׁתָה הַשָּׁמֶשׁ: לֵךְ אֱכַל בְּשִׂמְחָה לַחְמֶּךְ וּשְׁתַה

5. בי החיים הוה For the living ... but the dead. i.e. the living — even if they are fools — recognize that death is inevitable. The dead, however — even if they were wise in life — perceive nothing, nor have they any goal — they cease to function (Almosnino).

Man should constantly bear in mind the day of death and remember that he was sent into this world only to observe His Torah and perform mitzvos. He must not grow neglectful and his hands not weaken in the service of the Blessed One . . . There are those who allow no time for their souls, who do not set aside times for the understanding of their end, occupied as they are with the affairs of the world. Thus they die without having learned to understand their end, to perfect their souls, and to store up provisions for their way, as it is written: (Deut. 32:29) לו חכמו ישכילו זאת יבינו לאַחַריתם, 'If they were wise, they would understand this, they would discern their latter end' (Rabbeinu Yonah).

וְהַמֵּחִים אֵינָם יוּדְעִים מְאוּמָה — But the dead know nothing at all — and

even if they were to have perception, of what avail is it? They are dead and it is too late for regret! (Metzudas David).

קאין-עוד לָהָם שָׁבֶּר — There is no more reward for them. Once they die they no longer perform mitzvos worthy of reward, and 'he who has not prepared on the eve of Sabbath what shall he eat on Sabbath?' (Rashi)

[Compare comm. of Midrash cited in footnote to 1:15].

יבְרֶם זְּבְרֶם — Their memory is forgotten. At least the living man who repented is remembered for good. The dead, however, cannot change their reputations (Metzudas David).

6. [According to Rashi: the verse refers to the dead who died without having repented, and whose memory is forgotten.]

תְּהֶבְתוּ – [Also] Their love – in that they loved foolishness and mockery; מָם־שְּנְאָתָם, [also] their hate: in that they hated knowledge; מָם־קּנְאָתַם, [also] their jealousy – in having provoked God's wrath by

wherein he laboreth under the sun?" [1:3], and, "Wherefore I praised the dead that are already dead more than the living that are yet alive" [4:2].

[Thus, here he views death as evil, symbolizing the end of man's hope. In 4:2-3 where he praised the dead and glorified the unborn, it was not life per se, but misspent life — and man's suffering at the hand of his fellow man — that vexed his spirit.]

Our Sages of blessed memory said, [Avos 3:1] "Consider three things and you will not enter into transgression: Where do you come from – from a putrefying drop. Where are you

IX 6-7 ing know that they will die, but the dead know nothing at all; there is no more reward for them, their memory is forgotten. 6 Their love, their hate, their jealousy have already perished — nor will they ever again have a share in whatever is done beneath the sun.

7 Go, eat your bread with joy and drink your wine

their evil deeds (Rashi).

בְּרֶה בְּרֶה — Have already perished. i.e. with their death their lifetime loves and hates cease to have importance (Metzudas David).

וְחֵלֶּק אַיּוְ־לָהֶם עוּר לְעוּלֶם - Nor will they ever again have a share — and with their death they are henceforth and forever excluded from the process of the living (Ibn Yachya).

7-10. [There is a difference of opinion among the commentators concerning the interpretaion of verses 3-10. In general, we follow the interpretation of Rashi and most commentators who understand these verses as describing the evil of wicked man's inability to perceive beyond what his empirical experience allows him to comprehend. He therefore becomes a fatalist and feels that all is governed by chance. The wicked thus become emboldened to sin. Solomon bemoans this fact and praises life, for while life exists there is hope that the wicked will repent. Once they reach the grave, however, it is too late for regrets.

Now (in verses 7-10) Solomon

advises the righteous — whose deeds God has already approved, and who are destined for the World to Come — to enjoy what God has granted them and not fear death but rather 'wear white' i.e. do good deeds and always stand in spiritual readiness for eventual death and its imminent reward, and in general; spend life in the lofty service of God.

Rav Saadiah Gaon, Ibn Ezra, and Taalumos Chachmah however, interpret these verses as being Solomon's description of the discredited hedonistic rationales of sinners. According to the wicked, only the living have any importance; everyone shares the same fate and there is no Judgment — so why not indulge in sensuality and sin? Thus the wicked man's philosophy becomes: Spend your life eating and drinking and enjoying this world because God enjoys such employment of His earthly bounty.

But, according to these commentators, Solomon rebuffs these philosophies in verse 11: שָׁבְּתִּי (which Ibn Ezra explains as): 'I reconsidered; reevaluated'.]

7. בַּרְ אֶכֹל בְּשִׂמְחָה לַחְמֶּך — Go eat

going — to a place of dust, worms, and maggots. And before whom will you be called upon to give an accounting — before the King of Kings, the Holy One Blessed be He." When you consider where you come from, you will grow humble in spirit and despise pride. When you remember where you are going, you will discount the world and recognize that it has no preeminence, and you will occupy yourself with it only in the service of the blessed Creator before whom you will be called to account (Mesillas Yesharim).

בּרק טּ בְלֶב־טִוֹב יֵינֶךְ כִּי בְבָּר רָצָה הְאֶלהִים ח־ט חּ אֶת־מִּצְשֶׂיךִּ: בְּכָל־עַת יְהְיִוּ בְּגָדֶיךְ לְבָנִים עם־אִשָּׁה אֲשֶׁר־אָהַבְתָּ כָּל־יְמֵי חַיִּים הָבְלֶּךְ אֲשֶׁר נְתַן־לְךָּ תַּחַת הַשֶּׁמֶשׁ כָּל יְמֵי הָבְלֶךְ אֲשֶׁר נָתַן־לְךָּ תַּחַת הַשֶּׁמֶשׁ כָּל יְמֵי הָבְלֶךָּ כִּי הַוּא חֶלְקְךָּ בַּחַיִּים וּבַצְעַמְלְךְּ

your bread with joy etc. Alshich stresses the possessive nouns 'your bread, your wine': true happiness is present when you eat your own bread - the bread you toiled for with the sweat of your own brow [cf. Psalms 128:2] even when it is only 'bread', i.e. the basic necessities of life rather than luxuries. Similarly, your wine should be drunk בְּלֵב טוֹב, with a 'glad' [lit. 'good'] heart', i.e. at the behest of the יצר טוב, Good Inclination, in the performance of the commandments such as for kiddush and havdallah on the Sabbath, the Four Cups at Passover, and the Purim feast. Also, the wine should be 'your own' and drunk in moderation, not to the point of drunkenness, and only after רַצָה הָאֱלֹהִים אַת־מַעשִיך, God has already approved your deeds, because you have repented from sin. Only then do you have the right to partake of God's joy on this world.

Rav Yosef Kara attaches this verse to 2:24-25 and 5:18 and comments that when man perceives that God has allowed him to derive true joy from His Creation, he is assured that 'God has already approved his deeds.'

The Midrash comments that when Abraham was about to sacrifice Isaac and the angel said: 'Abraham, Abraham do not lay your hand upon the lad [Gen. 22:12] Abraham felt some uneasiness and thought, 'Perhaps there was some disqualification in my son and for that reason he was not accepted.' A bip night, Heavenly Voice, issued forth and said to him 'Abraham, Abraham. Go, eat your bread with joy . . . God has already approved your deeds; He has accepted your sacrifice . . .'

Similarly the verse may be interpreted as referring to Rosh Hashanah and Yom Kippur . . . On Yom Kippur all fast and God remits to them their sins. As the Midrash states, A Heavenly Voice proclaims: 'Go, eat your bread with joy — your prayer has been heard.'

8. בְּכֶּל־עֵת יִהְיוּ בְנֶדֶיךְ לְבָנִים — Let your garments always be white. [The Talmud interprets this verse allegorically that one should always be in a state of spiritual preparedness.]¹

יְשְׁמֶן על־ראשְׁךְּ אֵל־יְחְסֶר — And your head never lack oil. [White garments were worn on festive occa-

^{1.} Rav Eliezer said: 'Repent one day before your death.' His disciples asked him, 'Does then one know on what day he will die?'

^{&#}x27;Then all the more reason that he repent today,' he replied, 'lest he die tomorrow. Thus his whole life is spent in repentance.' And Solomon, too, said in his wisdom, 'Let your garments always be white; and let your head never lack oil.'

IX 8-9

with a glad heart, for God has already approved your deeds. 8 Let your garments always be white, and your head never lack oil.

⁹ Enjoy life with the wife you love through all the fleeting days of your life that He has granted you beneath the sun, all of your futile existence; for that is your compensation in life and in your toil which

sions. It was the symbol of purity (Isaiah 1:18), and the garments of priests (Lev. 6:3). Oil, too, bespoke the festive mood (Psalms 45:8).]

In a very beautiful homiletical interpretation of this verse, Ol'los Ephraim comments that a white garment stains easily and even a small spot is readily noticeable, and hard to remove...

Therefore, Solomon exhorts man to conduct his life constantly as if he were wearing white garments and carrying a full pitcher of oil on his head. He must therefore concentrate on keeping his balance and not approach anything which can soil the whiteness of his garments. Man must live a life of spiritual and moral purity, always on guard lest he besmirch himself with a careless sin, for man, like a white garment, is easy to soil and hard to cleanse.

9. רְאָה חִיים — Enjoy life [lit. 'see life' as in ירְאָה בְטוֹב 'see good', 2:1] i.e. see (experience) the good life (Targum); see to it that your 'life' is fulfilling (Ibn Yachya).

The Talmud (Kiddushin 30b) interprets the phrase 'see to a livelihood', i.e. learn a trade, for a livelihood will ensure domestic tranquility (Me'am Loez).

עָם־אָשָה אָשֶר־אָהַרָּתְ — With the [lit. 'a'] wife you love. Only if he enjoys life with his own wife and does not stray after strange women (lbn Latif).

And a man who has no wife lives without good, help, joy, blessing and atonement. He lives without peace... and lives without life, as it is written: 'enjoy life with the wife you love' (Midrash).

[There is no contradiction between this verse and Solomon's harsh estimate of women in 7:26, 28. There he condemns 'the woman whose heart is snares and nets'; here his sober admonition is directed to 'the wife you love'.]

בי הוא חלקך בחיים — For that [lit. 'he'] is your compensation [lit. 'portion'] in life i.e. this alone is of any avail during your life (Metzudas David).

And according to Rashi [in consonance with the metaphoric Midrashic interpretation: The synthesis of a life of Torah and worldly occupation] . . . will provide sustenance in this world and next, for labor in the two of them makes sin forgotten [cf. Avos 2:2].

Ray Yochanan ben Zakkai said: This may be compared to a king who summoned his servants to a banquet without appointing a time. The wise ones adorned themselves and sat at the door of the palace, for they said, 'Is anything lacking in a royal palace? [i.e. little or no time is needed for preparation; everything is always stocked up in a royal palace.] The summons to enter may come at any moment.'

י־יא אָשֶר־אַתָּה עָמֶל תַּחַת הַשְּׁמֶשׁ: בּל אֲשֶׁר מִיְלָא יִדְרָּ לַעְשִׂוֹת בְּלְחַךָּ עֲשֵׂה כִּי אֵין מִיְשָׁה וְחָשְׁבּוֹן וְרַעַת וְחָבְמָה בִּשְׁאוֹל מְעֲשֶׁר אַשָּׁה וֹלֶךְ שֲמָה: שַּׁבְתִּי וְרָאַה תַּלְךְ שֲמָה: שַּׁבְתִּי וְרָאַה תַחַת-הַשָּׁמֶשׁ כִּי לֹא לַקַלִּים הַמֵּרוֹץ וְלַא לַאָּלִים הַמֵּרוֹץ וְלַא לַאָּלִים הַמֵּרוֹץ וְלַא לַאָּלִים הַמֵּרוֹץ וְלַא לַאְלָחָמָה וְגַם לְא לַחֲבָמִים לֵא לַחֲבָמִים לֵא לַחְבָּמִים לֵא לַחְבָּמִים לֵא לַוְבָּמִים לָא לַנְּבֹנִים עֹשֶׁר וְגַם לָא לַנְּבֹנִים עֹשֶׁר וְגַם לָא לַלְּאָלָם: לֵיִּים תָן כִּי־עִת וָפָּגַע יִקְּכֶּה אֶת־כָּבְּלֶם: לֵּאִר יִקְנִים חֵן כִּי־עִת וְפָּגַע יִקְבֶּה אֶת־כָּבְּלֵם:

[It may be interred from the vocalization in Targum: היא 'she' — that he interprets the subject to be 'your wife'; i.e. she is your compensation.]

ובעמלף — And in your toil [i.e. 'and this is your compensation in your toil'.]

10. מַל אָשֶר תְּמְצָא יָדְךְ לְעְשוֹת — אַשֶּר תְּמְצָא יַדְךְ לְעְשוֹת — Whatever you are able to do with your might, do it. [This translation follows Rashi.] Targum, following the Masoretic punctuation ('trop') translates more literally: 'Whatever you are able to do, בַּכַחַךְּ עְשַׁה, do with all your might.]

Rashi: Whatever you are able to do — in fulfilling the Will of your Creator — while you still possess your strength, do it.

Repent while you have the ability. While the wick is still lit, add oil to keep it kindled. Once the light is extinguished, oil no longer helps (Yalkut Shimoni).

Therefore take advantage of this life in achieving eternity while it is within the realm of הָמָצָא יָרָר, within the reach of your own hand,

i.e. in your own power, to do so (Sforno).

Ibn Ezra, who views this verse as a continuation of the discredited hedonistic view of sinners [see prefatory remark to verse 7] interprets this as the rationale of sinners who exclaim: Indulge in pleasure while you can; in the grave all activity stops, and death is the common fate of all.

... בי אין מֵעְשֶׁה וְחְשְׁכּוּן — For there is neither doing, nor reckoning . . . in the grave where you are going. i.e. there is no action which can justify you once you are dead, and if you led a righteous life you need not have any reckonings [recriminations] about death. (Rashi).

Once the soul leaves the body, all activity stops (Kara).

11. [According to the thematic interpretation of Rashi and others as discussed in the prefatory remarks to verse 7, the verses are to be understood as Solomon's affirmation of his principles that this world is transitory and man is governed by God.

The fools, however, went about their work, saying, 'Can there be a banquet without preparations?' [i.e. we have plenty of time until the banquet is arranged; we can dress after being called.]

Suddenly, the king desired the presence of his servants: the wise entered adorned, while the

IX 10-12 you exert beneath the sun. 10 Whatever you are able to do with your might, do it. For there is neither doing nor reckoning nor knowledge nor wisdom in the grave where you are going.

¹¹ Once more I saw under the sun that the race is not won by the swift; nor the battle by the strong, nor does bread come to the wise, riches to the intelligent, nor favor to the learned; but time and death will happen to them all. ¹² For man does not even

Even according to the interpretation of *Ibn Ezra*, with this verse Solomon no longer cites the hedonistic philosophies of the sinners. He responds that joy and attainment of pleasure in this world is ephemeral at best — it is not always man's exceptional talent that gives him success: the swift sometimes lose; the strong are sometimes defeated.]

שְׁבְתִּי וְרָאֹה Once more I saw. According to Ibn Ezra: 'I reconsidered and perceived.'

... That man's destiny is not controlled exclusively by man's prowess; God's Will determines the result of human endeavor (Sforno; Ibn Ezra; Metzudas David).

בילא לְקְלִים הַמְרוץ — The race is not won by the swift [lit. 'for not to the swift is the race,' etc. and similarly in the following phrases.]

Swiftness doesn't always help the swift one escape his pursuer (Metzudas David). Rashi refers this verse to the incident of Asahel [II Samuel 2:18-23]: 'Who was as light of foot as a wild gazelle' and nevertheless Abner slew him.[Thus

when man's time comes his attributes can be the cause of his downfall. The very swiftness of Asahel enabled him to catch up with Abner who, in turn, killed him.

קלה לגבורים המלחמה – Nor the battle by the strong. As in the verse [Psalms 33:16]: 'A mighty man is not saved by great strength' (Ibn Ezra).

קנים לא לְחַכְּמִים לֶחֶם – Nor does bread come to the wise. One would think that the wise man would rule over fools. But the matter is usually reversed (Ibn Ezra). Being wise does not guarantee one food (Metzudas David).

וְנֵם לֹא לַיּרְעִים חַן Nor favor to the learned — Knowledge does not always win one the acclaim of his fellow man (Metzudas David), or the respect of the government that they should defer to his knowledge.

An example of unappreciated knowledge is give in verses 14-17 (Kara).

בּיעת וְפָּגַע יִקְרֶה אֶת־בְּלֶם – But [lit. 'for'] time and death will happen to them all. To the swift, the strong,

fools entered soiled. The king rejoiced at the wise but was angry with the fools.

'Those who adorned themselves for the banquet,' the king ordered, 'let them sit, eat and drink. But those who did not adorn themselves for the banquet, let them stand, watch, . . . and hunger' (Shabbos 153a).

פּרק ט יב בָּי גַם לְא־יֵדֵע הָאָדָם אֶת־עִתּוֹ כַּדְּגִיםׂ
יב־טו שֶׁנֶאֶחָזִיםׁ בִּמְצוֹדָה רָעָה וְכַּצִּפְּרִים
יב־טו שֶׁנֶאֶחָזִיתׁ בַּפָּח כָּהֵם יְיּקָשִׁיםׁ בְּנֵי הָאָדְם
יג לְעֵת רָעָה כְּשֶׁתִּפְּוֹל עֲלֵיהֶם פִּתְאְם: גַּם־
יַּה רָאָתִי חָכְמָה תַּחַת הַשְּׁמֶשׁ וּגְדוֹלָה
יד הִיא אֵלֶי: עִיר קְטַנָּה וְאֻנָשִׁים בָּהּ מְעֶט
יד הִיא אֵלֶי: עִיר קְטַנָּה וְאֻנָשִׁים בָּהּ מְעֶט
יד הִיא אֵלֶי: עִיר קְטַנָּה וְאֻנָשִׁים בָּהּ מְעֶט
יד הָיא אֵלֶי: עִיר קְטַנָּה וְאָנָשִׁים בָּהּ אְיָשׁ
יעְלֶיהָ מְצוֹדְיִם גְּדֹלְים: וּמָנָצְא בָהּ אִיִשׁ
מִסְבֵּן חָכָם וּמִלַּט־הִוּא אֶת־הָעִיר
בְּחָרְמָתוֹ וְאָדָם לְא זַבַּר אֶת־הָאִישׁ

the wise, etc. (Metzudas David).

[And their swiftness, strength, wisdom, etc. will not save them from God's plan.] Therefore whoever advocates hedonistically that whatever one is able to do with his might, do it'— is a fool (Ibn Ezra).

The translation of פָנֶע ['occurrence'] as 'death' follows Metzudas Zion who relates it to Il Samuel 1:15: נַשׁ פְּנֵע־בּוֹג , 'approach, slay him.

12. And this, too, I saw (Sforno).

אָח־עְתוּ — His hours. The time when misfortune shall suddenly descend upon him (Rashbam); so he can take precautions against it (Sforno).

This is a rebuke to men who always postpone repentance by rationalizing that death is far off, with the result that even if they live to seventy it does not suffice — death will still catch them unprepared (Alshich).

קת רְעָה הְעָה – A fatal net. Following Metzudas Zion: A 'painful' net. Rashi: an inferior net. A damaged

net which is nevertheless usable (Kara). [To be trapped and killed by an inferior net is especially heart-breaking.]

The Midrash asks: Is there, then, an evil net and a good net? — It denotes a fish-hook [which is the 'bad' i.e. 'painful' way of being caught as compared with the net.]

בְּהֵם יּוּכְשִׁים בְּנֵי הָאָרָם לְּעֵת רְעָה – [Like them] So are men caught in the moment of disaster. Just as fish who cause their own death, and later attribute it to the bait, to the hook hidden within, so men attribute their death to sickness, not to their sins (Alshich).

[Thus, man — with all his intellect, power and skill — is not even superior to fish when it comes to knowing his life span; all Creation is subject to God's government of the Universe.]

13. ביו ראיתי הרמה החת השמש — This, too, have I observed [about] wisdom beneath the sun. Having earlier deprecated wisdom, [verse 11] Solomon now relates a story

IX 13-15 know his hour: like fish caught in a fatal net, like birds seized in a snare, so are men caught in the moment of disaster when it falls upon them suddenly.

¹³ This, too, have I observed about wisdom beneath the sun, and it affected me profoundly:

14 There was a small town with only a few inhabitants; and a mighty king came upon it and surrounded it, and built great siege works over it.

15 Present in the city was a poor wise man who by his wisdom saved the town. Yet no one remembered that

complimentary to wisdom (lbn Ezra; Sforno; Metzudas David).

Athough a wise man 'has no bread' of his own, his wisdom can be instrumental in saving an entire town, because 'wisdom is better than might' although 'a poor man's wisdom is despised.'

וגרולה היא אַלי – And it affected me profoundly [lit. and it is great for me. i.e. I learned a great lesson from it.]

[Some commentators relate this story to the incident in *Il Sam*. 20:15. See comm. to verse 16.]

14. ... עיר קטנה — There was a small town with only a few inhabitants. Thus it was vulnerable to attack on account of its small size and lack of populace (Kara).

וּבָא־אַלֶּיהָ מֶּלֶּךְ נְּרוֹל — And a mighty king came upon it. — With a large army (Metzudas David).

קבנה עליה מצודים גדלים — And built great siege works over it. The city was low and the towers were built overlooking it. From these towers they could catapult boulders and destructive materials at the populace. Their victory was virtually certain (Ibn Ezra; Metzudas David).

But it did not work out that way (Ateres Shmuel).

15. וּמְצֵא בָה איש מִּסְבֵּן חָבָם — Present in the city [lit. 'he found (or: 'encountered') there'] was a poor wise man. He was poor, and could not even sustain himself [as in verse 1] but his wisdom proved more effective than strength (lbn Latif).

Compare 4:13 where Solomon also extols a poor but wise youth over an old and foolish king (Akeidas Yitzchak).

תקלט־הוּא אֶת־הָעִיר בְּחָבְּמְתוּ [And] Who by his wisdom saved the town. By negotiating with the king and assuaging his anger (Leket Shoshanim).

... לא וְבֶר אְת־הָאיש – No one remembered that poor man. i.e. before the wise man saved the city no one held him in esteem – and everyone questioned what good he was to society – because he was poor (Rashi; Ibn Yachya; Ralbag).

Ibn Ezra translates: 'the man was never mentioned,' i.e. before he saved the city.

Had he been previously renowned for his wisdom, he would בּרק ט יו הַמִּסְבֵּן הַהְוּא: וְאָמֵרְתִּי אָנִי טוֹבָה חָרְמָה טוֹ־יח מְגְבוּרָה וְחָרְמַת הַמִּסְבֵּן בְּזִינְה וּדְבָרָיו יוּ אֵינָם נִשְׁמָעִים: דִּבְרֵי חֲכָמִים בְּנַחַת יוּ אֵינָם נִשְׁמָעִים מוֹאֵל בַּכְּסִילְים: טוֹבָה יוּ נִשְׁמָעִים מִגְּעַקַת מוֹאֵל בַּכְּסִילְים: טוֹבָה חָרְמָה מִבְּלֵי קְרֶב וְחוֹטֶא אֶחָׁד יְאַבֵּד טוֹבָה טוֹבָה הַרְבָּה:

have been famous and his act of diplomacy could have been attributed in part to his fame and reputation. But he was poor and obscure, so his feat can be attributed to wisdom only; this is the moral of the story (Ibn Latif; Metzudas David).

According to the Talmud [Nedarim 32b]; Midrash; and many commentators (Alshich; Lekach Tov; Taalumos Chachmah; also quoted by Rashi and Ibn Ezra) the

story is an allegory:

There was a small town, refers to the body; with only a few inhabitants: the limbs; and a mighty king surrounded it: the יֵצֶר הָרַע Evil Inclination; - Why is it called 'mighty'? Because it is thirteen years older than the יצר הטוב Good Inclination [see comm. to 4:13 and Overview]; And built great siege works over it: guiding it to do evil. Present was a poor wise man: The Good Inclination - Why is it called 'poor'? Because most people ignore it; who by his wisdom saved the town: for whoever obeys the Good Inclination escapes punishment. Yet no one remembers that poor man: no one holds the Good Inclination in any kind of esteem, and when the Evil Inclination gains dominion, no one remembers the Good Inclination.

Nevertheless, in the next verses,

according to this interpretation, Solomon praises the Good Inclination, as being superior to the Evil Inclination.

16. טובה הבקה מגבוקה של Wisdom is better than might. Although the wisdom of a poor man is despised and deprecated and he himself is ignored — in an emergency the wise can accomplish more than the mighty — as illustrated by this story where his simple wisdom, not enhanced by esteem, saved the whole town from the might of the king (Rashi; Ibn Ezra; Metzudas).

According to Midrash Lekach Tov this entire sequence of events in verses 14-16 refers to the incident related in II Samuel 20, when Yoav came to pursue Sheva ben Bichri who had rebelled against David and a certain wise woman saved the town. Her wisdom was thus better than might.

Rashi attaches בַּנַחַת to נִשְׁמַעִים to נָשְׁמַעִים

IX 16-18 poor man. 16 So I said: Wisdom is better than might, although a poor man's wisdom is despised and his words go unheeded.

¹⁷ The gentle words of the wise are heard above the shouts of a king over fools, ¹⁸ and wisdom is better than weapons, but a single rogue can ruin a great deal of good.

and translates: 'The words of the wise are received with pleasure by people.'

מוּשֵל בַּבְּסִילִים — Above [lit. 'than'] the shouts of a king over fools. The sagely advice of the wise is often more effective than the military orders — shouted in a hopeless situation — of a boisterous ruler to an underequipped militia (Kara). For very often the ruler of fools is himself a fool (Midrash Lekach Tov).

Similarly, Moses departed from this world long ago, yet his decrees are accepted by the Jews; yet how many kings have issued decrees and their words did not endure! (Rashi).

18. טוּבָה מְבְּלֵי קְרֶב [And] wisdom is better than weapons. [As related here; and] the incident in II Sam. 20 (Rashi).

Wisdom is more effective than

weapons, too, — because more people benefit from wisdom than do warriors from armor (lbn Latif).

קחוֹטֶא אֶחָר יְאַבֵּר טוֹכְה הַרְבֵּה single rogue [lit. 'sinner'] can ruin a great deal of good. As in the case of Achan [Joshua chapter 7] (Sforno).

In the ethical perspective, man must view himself as being the deciding factor in the world's righteousness or guilt. Thus if Israel were equally divided between righteousness and guilt, one rogue alone could tip the scales and condemn the whole world. (Rashi; Rambam; Taalumos Chachmah).1

Similarly, if even one from among a group of wise men becomes a sinner, many people will recoil from wisdom. Thus, as effective as wisdom is, even a single sinner can cause a distaste for wisdom and a cessation of much good (Metzudas David).

^{1.} Our Rabbis taught: A man should always regard himself as though he were half guilty and half meritorious: if he performs one precept, happy is he for weighting himself down on the scale of merit; if he commits one transgression, woe to him for weighting himself down in the scale of guilt, for it is said, 'but a single sinner can ruin a great deal of good.' i.e., on account of the commission of a single sin, much good is lost to him. Rav Eleazar son of Rav Shimon said. Because the world is judged by its majority, and an individual, too, is judged by the majority of his deeds, good or bad, if he performs one good deed, happy is he for turning the scale both for himself and for the whole world on the side of merit; if he commits one transgression, woe to him for weighting himself and the whole world in the scale of guilt, for it is said, 'but a single sinner,' etc. — on account of the single sin which this man commits, he and the whole world lose much good. (v. Kiddushin 40b).

מַרֶּךֶ א זְבָוּבֵי מֶּנֶת יַבְאִישׁ יַבִּיעַ שֶׁמֶן רוֹקֶחַ יָקָרְ א־ר ב מְחָבְמָה מִבָּבֻוֹד סְבְלִוּת מְעָט: לֵּבְ חָבָּה י לְימִינוֹ וְלֵב בְּסִיל לִשְׂמֹאלְוֹ: וְגַם־בַּדֶּרֶךְ י בְּשֶׁהֹּשֶּׁבָל הֹלֶךְ לִבְּוֹ חָסֵר וְאָמֵר לַבְּל ד סָבָל הְוֹא: אִם־רָוּחַ הַמּוֹשֵׁל מִעְלֶה עָלֶיךְ מְקוֹמְךָּ אַל-תַּנֶּח כִּי מַרְבֵּּא יַנִּיְחַ חֲטָאִים מְקוֹמְךָּ אַל-תַּנֶּח כִּי מַרְבֵּא יַנִּיְחַ חֲטָאִים

1. [This verse continues the theme of the last verse: 'A single rogue can ruin a great deal of good.']

חות — Dead flies. Ibn Ezra notes that although the plural is used, the meaning is: even one dead fly can putrefy the perfumer's oil.

Rashi renders the phrase: 'dying flies' and comments: 'In the wintertime, flies have no strength and are near death, but if only one falls into the perfumer's ointment, it putrefies the entire mixture. A fly is insignificant, yet it spoils a precious article. So, too, even a minor sin can outweigh much wisdom and honor. Should even one transgression be committed by someone whose virtues and sins are equally balanced, it inclines the scales to guilt.

יבִיע יְבִיע - Putrefy [lit. 'putrefy, effervesce' i.e. create אָבַעְבָּעוֹת, 'bubbles' (Rashi, Ibn Ezra).]

Rav Saadiah Gaon understands יְבִיעְ as a noun: A container of oil, and would thus render: As dying flies — who have strength for nothing else — can putrefy the container of perfumer's oil, so do fools, also incapable of anything else, counterbalance wisdom and honor.

יַקר מְחְרֶמֶה מְבְּבוֹר סְבְלוּת מְעָט — A little folly outweighs wisdom and honor. i.e. all the wisdom and honor a man possesses can be nullified in the eyes of men by one foolish act,

just as one sin can outweigh much good (Ibn Ezra).

[The translation of יָקֶר, 'outweighs' follows Rashi.]

2. [There follows a series of one-sentence proverbs.]

לב חָכְם לִימִינוּ — A wise man's mind [lit. 'heart'] [tends] to his right. His wisdom is always prepared to lead him in the correct path for his benefit (Rashi); and his intellect is always at hand when he needs it (Metzudas David).

Some interpret: 'A wise man's intellect is at his right hand', just as an artisan keeps his most needed tools and materials at his right hand, always ready for use, and less needed items at his left hand. The allegory is that a wise man uses his intellect often and keeps it at the ready, so to speak, whereas the fool to whom other things are more important, keeps his intellect in a secondary position (Divrei Chefetz).

Ibn Ezra interestingly notes: 'a left-handed person should not feel slighted because the allegory here refers to the majority of people.'

ילב בְּסִיל לְשְׁמֵאלו — While a fool's mind [lit. 'heart'] [tends] to his left. Always eager to drive him off the proper path (Rashi).

And is not ready and expeditious in time of need (Metzudas David).

X 1-4 Dead flies putrefy the perfumer's oil; a little folly outweighs wisdom and honor.

² A wise man's mind tends to his right; while a fool's mind tends to his left. ³ Even on the road as the fool walks, he lacks sense, and proclaims to all that he is a fool.

⁴ If the anger of a ruler flares up against you, do not leave your place, for deference appeases great offenses.

הנח־בְּדְרֶךְ בְשְׁסְבֶל הֹלְתְּ— Even on the road as the fool walks. The fool, by his every action, manifests his lack of good sense (Ibn Ezra). Even if he doesn't tarry long in one place his foolishness is still obvious to all (Metzudas David).

חַחָח לְבוֹ – He lacks sense [lit. 'his heart is lacking'] Alshich interprets this verse as an allegorical reference to 'the path of Torah'. Even when the fool walks the path of Torah and performs mitzvos, his ignorance shines forth and his 'heart' lacks the proper appreciation of the significance of the precepts. His actions proclaim his ignorance.

קאָמר לְכֹּל סְבֶּל הוּא — And [he] proclaims to all that he is a fool i.e. his actions make it so plain that it is as if he had shouted to all passersby that he is a fool (lbn Ezra).

The Midrash interprets the phrase: 'The fool thinks that all people are fools like himself. He does not realize that he is the fool and the others are wise.'

... The fool thinks himself wise and brands as a fool anyone who fails to conform to his ways (Akeidas Yitzchak).

4. אָם־רוּחַ הַמּושֵל תַּעְלֶה — If the anger of a ruler flares up against

you. The translation of man anger follows Metzudas David and most commentators.

Ibn Ezra suggests that this verse is directed toward the wise man who has risen to high positions of government. He should not forget his earlier lower status and become haughty. Rather, he should continue his quest for wisdom as he did before he became powerful..

Chovos haLevavos understands in its usual sense: spirit, desire: If you feel inclined to become a ruler [i.e. to domineer], do not do so; keep humble.

תְּחְמֶךְ אֵל־תְּבְּח Do not leave your place — Because if you flee, you will just compound the ruler's anger (Metzudas David).

בי מַרְפָּא וַנִּיחַ חָטָאִים גְרוֹלִים — For deference ['weakness'] appeases great offenses. Deference to his rule — rather than flagrant flight from him — will make him more kindly disposed towards clemency and will avoid a penalty for great offenses (Ibn Yachya).

Harav David Feinstein notes, in this context, the contrast between the responses of Saul and David when each was accused of sinning. When Samuel confronted Saul in the matter of Amalek, Saul first atבּרְק י הּ גְּרוֹלְים: יֵשׁ רָעָה רָאֻיתִי תַּחַת הַשְּׁמֶשׁ ה־י וּ בִּשְׁנָּלָה שִׁיצָא מִלּפְנֵי הַשַּׁלְיט: נִתַּן הַסֶּכֶל בַּמְרוֹמִים רַבִּים וְעְשִׁירִים בַּשֵּׁפֶל יֵשְׁבוּ: י רָאִיתִי עְבָרִים עַל־סוּסִים וְשָׁרֵים הְּלְכִים ה בַּעְבָרִים עַל־הָאָרֶץ: חֹפֵּר גוּמָץ בְּוֹ יִפְּוֹל י וֹפַרֵץ גָּדֵר יִשְׁבָנוּ נָחֲשׁ: מַסִּיע אֲבָנִים י יַעַצֵב בָּהֵם בּוֹקַעַ עַצִים יִסְּכֵן בַּם: אִם־

tempted to justify his actions, and only later did he confess and say I have sinned.' But it was too late, 'HASHEM had rejected him from being king over Israel' (I Sam. 15:23). David, on the other hand, when confronted by the prophet Nathan in the matter of Bathsheba, immediately confessed and said I have sinned against HASHEM' [II Sam. 12:13]. David's display of deference might have been one the reasons that he received clemency, as the concludes: 'HASHEM has commuted your sin.'

Rashi interprets the verse as referring to God's anger: If the anger of the Ruler of the Universe flares up against you do not set aside your striving for good deeds and say 'of what avail is my righteousness?' For suffering, will heal your transgressions and will atone for your great sins.

5. בְּשְׁנְגָּם — As if it were an error. I.e. like a royal decree made in error, which is irreversible, so are the Heavenly decrees described in verses 6 and 7 (Rashi).

Ibn Ezra and Metzudas David comment that this verse continues the thought in verse 4 in describing the potential evils of a rise to power. A ruler sometimes finds it politically expedient to commit an injustice and say it was done in error.

[Others regard the prefix כ of השָנָה not as the comparative 'like', but rather: 'Indeed', 'for.' Man must be cautious regarding the words that emanate from his mouth. One word uttered by a king can cause irreparable damage. And, as the Talmud (Moed Katan 18a) notes: בְּרִית ְּלַשְׁפָּתְים ימות ברוּתָה לְשְׁפָּתִים i.e. the way in which a thing is expressed may contain a portent for the future, so it behooves man to choose his words carefully.]

In this context the Midrash notes that Rachel's death ensued because of Jacob's words [Gen. 31:32] . . . and that Samuel's sons did not succeed their father because of what Eli said to him.[I Sam. 3:17; 4:11; 8:1ff].

6. נְתֵּן הַסֶּכֶל בְּמְרוֹמִים רְבִּים – Folly is placed on lofty heights. This is one of the evils referred to in the previous verse: The rich who presumably deserve honor are arbitrarily shunted, while fools are elevated to high positions. It appears to be an error from On High. But it is no error [it is part of God's unrevealed plan of governing the Universe] (Metzudas David).

X 5-9

⁵ There is an evil which I have observed in the world as if it were an error proceeding from the ruler: ⁶ Folly is placed on lofty heights, while rich men sit in low places. ⁷ I have seen slaves on horses and nobles walking on foot like slaves.

⁸ He who digs a pit will fall into it, and he who breaks down a wall will be bitten by a snake. ⁹ He who moves about stones will be hurt by them; he who splits logs will be endangered by them.

- 7. רְאִיתִי עַבְּדִים עַל־סוּסים I have seen slaves on horses. [This verse repeats the point made in the preceding one. The respective positions of slaves/rulers are reversed like those of the rich man/fool in the earlier verse.]
- **8.** [In the following proverbs Koheles enjoins care in all undertakings. These verses may also be interpreted as additional examples of the 'evils' described in vss. 6-7, in the sense that man should not place his faith in his control of events: Every act is the result, not of human planning, but of Divine Providence (Alshich).]

שפר גומץ בו יפול — He who digs a pit will fall into it. The verse is understood by many commentators in its literal sense. Rashi and Metzudas David understand it as a parable: He who plots against his fellow man, will himself fall into the trap.

שנו נְדֵר ישְׁכֵנוּ נְחָש — And he who breaks down a wall will be bitten by a snake. [This clause repeats the point made in the earlier clause]: Whoever breaches a wall so that his neighbor's field will lay exposed to robbers, will himself be bitten by snakes and be unable to enter that field (Alshich). Or, whoever breaks open a wall so that snakes who nestle between the crevices may roam about and bite others, will himself be bitten (Metzudas David).

Rashi (following the Talmud, Avodah Zarah 27b) applies this verse to those who break through the 'legal fences' [i.e. Rabbinic ordinances] which serve to safeguard the Torah and are smitten with death at the hand of Heaven.

9. מַסִּיעְ אֲבָנִים יַעְצֵב בָּהֶם — He who moves about stones will be hurt by them [following Alshich and Metzudas David:]Whoever scatters rocks to trip others will himself be tripped and hurt by them.¹

1. Our Rabbis taught: A man should not remove stones from his ground to public ground. A person was removing stones from his ground onto public ground when a pious man met him and admonished him: 'Fool! Why do you remove stones from ground which is not yours to ground which is yours?'

[Not understanding the message of the pious man] he laughed at him.

After some time that man became improverished and was forced to sell his field, and when he was walking on that public ground he tripped over those very stones. He then exclaimed well had that pious man spoken when he said to me "why do you remove stones from ground which is not yours to ground which is yours?" (Bava Kamma 50b).

בּרֶק ־ מֻקָּה הַבַּרְיֶּל וְהוּאֹ לְא־פָּנִים קּלְלֵּל יא־יג יא וְחֲיָלֶים יְגַבֵּר וְיִתְרְוֹן הַרְשֵׁיר חָרְמֶה: אִם־ יִּב לְבַעַל הַלָּשְׁוֹן: דְּרְרֵי־פְּי־חָכֶם חֵן יִּב לְבַעַל הַלָּשְׁוֹן: דְּרְרֵי־פְּי־חָכֶם חֵן יִּג וְשִׂפְתִוֹת כְּסִיל תְּבַלְּעֻנוּ: תְּחַלַּת דְּבְרֵי־

Rashi translates: He who quarries rocks will be fatigued by them . . . So, too, one who perpetuates evil will reap evil.

רָסְכֶּן בְּם Will be endangered by them. He might have planned to fell the timber on someone else, but he himself is in primary danger (Alshich).

Metzudas David notes that the verses repeat the same theme to stress how commonplace these occurrences are.

These verses teach that the fool in his foolishness will place himself in danger, while the wise man will guard himself. Also, nothing in this world is acquired without toil and some inherent danger (Ibn Ezra).

10. This verse is semantically difficult. The translation follows *Rashi*:

If an axe (sword) lost its sharpness and its blade is not polished, it is still a potent weapon that helps make its bearers strong and victorious. Great mental ability is even more potent than weapons. The allegory is that if a sage's face is blackened by hunger [i.e. has become dulled with hunger], and you see him sitting like a poor man among the rich, realize that many 'soldiers' are strengthened by his merit [i.e. he is still a potent force.].

Ibn Ezra explains קלקל as a double form of קלקל, 'burnished brass' [Ezekiel 1:7.]

Rav Yosef Kara explains that a utensil is effective only if it is prepared first. Therefore, preparation is more important than the metal itself. Similarly, initiative and effort are necessary to achieve maximum benefit from a good mind.

The Meiri translates as follows: If an axe is dull and it has not been sharpened then more power must be exerted in using it to compensate for its dullness. Similarly, if there is dullness of intellect one must strive harder to compensate for the handicap.¹

11. אַם ישׁךְ הַנְּחָשׁ בְּלוֹאִילְחַשּ – lf the snake bites because it was not charmed [lit. 'whispered'] But had it been charmed it would not have bitten (Metzudas David).

וְאֵין יְתְרוֹן לְבֵעֵל הַלְּשׁוֹן — Then there is no advantage to the charmer's art [lit. 'to the master of the tongue'.] i.e. there is no advantage in knowing how to exercise a charm and not making use of it (Rashbam).

Similarly, there is no advantage

. The Talmud interprets the verse as referring to one's studies:

If you see a student to whom his studies are hard as iron, it is because he failed to systematically memorize his studies. As it is said א פנים קלקל he did not know his studies because he did not memorize the laws correctly. What is his remedy? Let him spend more time in Yeshivah, as it is said he must exert more strength; but wisdom is more profitable to exert. [The latter words indicate]: How much more profitable would his efforts be if he had

10-13

10 If an axe is blunt and one has not honed the edge, nevertheless it strengthens the warriors. Wisdom is a more powerful skill.

11 If the snake bites because it was not charmed, then there is no advantage to the charmer's art.

12 The words of a wise man win favor, but a fool's lips devour him. 13 His talk begins as

to wisdom if, while his fellow men sin the wise man maintains his silence, and does not teach them Torah (Rashi).

Alshich comments: If a snake killed someone because his charmer did not prevent him from doing so, then what is the difference between the charmer and the snake? The charmer shares the moral guilt. Similarly, man's tongue is like the charmer's snake. He who allows his tongue to slander is guilty because man's speech often causes death and destruction to all who hear his foolishness, both in This World and the Hereafter.

The Midrash interprets לָחֵש, 'incite', and comments: 'Never does a serpent bite unless it has been incited נלחש לו) from Above, nor does a lion rend its prey unless it has been incited from Above, nor does a government interfere with men unless it has been incited from

12. דכרי פי חכם חן — The words of a wise man win favor. To all who hear him (Rashi), because all are impressed with his wisdom and they rally around him (Ibn Latif).

Ibn Ezra [obviously concerned

that the verse might be misinterpreted to mean 'many words by a wise man are favorable' | comments: 'God forbid that the wise man should be a man of many words! The intent of the verse is that whatever emanates from the mouth of the wise is favorable: but the fool will destroy himself with his

שפתות כסיל תבלענו – But a fool's lips devour him — i.e. will lead to his own destruction (Ibn Yachva).

According to the Midrash: 'The words of a wise man win favor' [lit. are gracious] — this refers to Cyrus who permitted the rebuilding of the Temple [Ezra 1:2,3]; 'but the lips of a fool are swallowed up' - this refers to Ahasuerus who swallowed his words and ordered the work on the rebuilding of the Temple to stop [Ezra 4:17 see Overview to ArtScroll edition of Esther, p. xvii] (Midrash Lekach Tov).

Seder haYom comments that the wise man must exercise great care in his every utterance. Whatever he says as a result of wise deliberation, wins great favor, but the very same thoughts expressed by the fool will wreak destruction.

originally systematized his studies. Thus, for example Resh Lakish would systematically review his studies forty times corresponding to the forty days during which the Torah was given and only then would he come before Rav Yochanan. Rav Adda bar Ahava made it his practice to systematically review his studies twenty-four times coresponding to [the 24 Books of] Scripture and only then would be come before Rava (Taanis 8a).

בּרק י פִיהוּ סְכְלֵוּת וְאַחֲרֵית פִּיהוּ הְוֹלֵלְוּת יד־טוֹ יי רָעָה: וְהַסָּכֶל יַרְבֶּה דְבָּרִים לְא־יֵדַע הָאָדָם מַה־שֶּׁיְהְנֶּה וְאֲשֶׁר יְהְנֶה מֵאְחֲרָיו שׁ מִי יַגִּיִד לְוֹ: עֲמֵל הַבְּסִילִים תְּיַגְעֲנוּ אֲשֶׁר שׁ לָא־יָדַע לָלֶכֶת אֶל־עִיר: אִי־לֵךְ אֶּבֶץ שֶׁמֵלְכֵּךְ נָעֵר וְשָׂרַיִּךְ בַּבְּכֶּקר יֹאכֵלוּ:

13. [This verse amplifies the latter part of the preceding verse.]

החלת הכרייפיהוּ סבְּלוּת begins as foolishness [or: the start of his talk is foolishness] i.e. There is no sense to the fool's words from beginning to end (lbn Ezra) — they start out as foolishness and end in madness, they are utterly engulfed in nonsense and melancholy (Metzudas David).

The fool does not cease from uttering foolishness until ultimately it ends as evil madness and he causes harm unto himself (Kara).

As soon as the fool opens his mouth it is obvious to all that his words are foolish; but as he continues he utters folly that is evil even in the eyes of God (Akeidas Yitzchak).

הוללות העה Evil madness [i.e. words of utter and unredeeming madness and folly — evil in the sight of man and God.]

14. יְהַסְּבֶל יַרְבֶּה דְבָרִים — The fool prates on and on [lit. 'and the fool increases words'].

[Not only does the fool speak nonsense, as mentioned in the previous verse, but he constantly chatters, discussing all subjects, even those of which man is ignorant, such as the events of the

future.1

Rav Yosef Kara explains: the fool presumes to boast 'I will do such and such' — as if the performance of the act were entirely within his control. But, as the verse continues to expound, people are not masters of their acts.

לא־יַרע הָאָרָם מַה־שִּיהְיֶה – But man does not know what will be.He does not even know what the morrow will bring, and יַאַשְר יִהְיָה לוּ צַּאַשְר יִהְיָה what shall be after that (in the more distant future) who can tell him? [Only a fool will presume to make irresponsible plans for the near or distant future.]

Several commentators (Alshich; Ibn Yachya; Tuv Taam) interpret this phrase are a direct quote of the fool's prattling. He goes about misleading people by saying: 'Man does not know his destiny and who can tell man what the Hereafter is like?' He thus incites people to become unbelievers.

לו בייך מי וגיר לו And who can tell him what will be after him? [i.e. beyond the immediate future; or in the Hereafter. Compare 6:12 and 8:7 for similar thoughts.]

Rashi translates מַאְחָרָי 'in back of him', i.e. behind him: Not only can man not perceive what is ahead

X 14-16 foolishness and ends as evil madness. 14 The fool prates on and on, but man does not know what will be; and who can tell him what will happen after him?

¹⁵ The toil of fools exhaust them, as one who does not know the way to town.

¹⁶ Woe to you, O land, whose king acts as an adolescent, and whose ministers dine in the morning.

of him — even for what is already behind him in the past, he needs clarification.

15. עָמֵל הַבְּסִילִים חְיַנְעָנֵּוּ – The toil of fools exhaust them. Why should fools busy themselves with complex and mysterious matters when they lacks comprehension of the simplest and most obvious things. They are thus compared to those who wish to go to the city but do not know the way. They stumble trying to find the proper road and weary themselves without achieving their end (Kara, Ibn Ezra).

On a philosophical level this verse refers to one who follows a system of thought and then abandons it because he has discovered some flaw in it. He transfers to another system and yet another, giving each one up in turn because something has made it reprehensible to him. Such a person may be compared to one who wishes to go to a certain city but does not know which road leads to there; consequently he tries many roads (Rav Saadiah Gaon).

[The additional irony is that a traveler could be guided properly were he to ask directions. Conversely, the fool persists in his folly to the point of exhaustion because he refuses to consult with the wise and seek proper guidance.]

16. איילף ארץ — Woe to you, o land. The word אי has the same meaning as או, 'woe!' It appears in Scriptures with this meaning only here and above in 4:10: אילו.

שְּׁמְלְבְּרְ נְעֵר — Whose king acts as an adolescent [lit. 'that your king is a youth'.] This translation follows Rashi, Metzudas David [מְשָׁשָה נַעְרוּח יִישְׁה נַעְרוּח, 'who acts childlishly'] and is in harmony with other commentators who all agree that the reference is not simply to a 'youthful' king [or else he would have used the word יָלָר 'boy'. (Akeidas Yitzchak).

Similarly, Ibn Yachya translates: 'whose king is devoid of wisdom and is enslaved to folly and madness;' and according to Ramban: 'inexperienced and foolish'

Several commentators (Ralbag; Alshich; Almosnino) interpret put allegorically as one who is enslaved to his youthful lusts, and translate: Woe to the person who is a slave to pleasure and, like a rebellious youth, will not heed sage advice. Instead, he gives way to material yearnings ['whose ministers dine' (on material pleasures) in the 'morning' (i.e. the 'morning' = apex of their youth)] unlike the next verse which praises the 'free man', i.e. one who serves the Creator free

מרק י אַשְׁרֵיך אֶּבֶץ שֶׁמַּלְכֵּךְ בָּן־חוֹרֵים וְשָׁרַיִּךְ
יז־כ בָּעֵת יאבלוּ בִּגְבוּרָה וְלָא בַשְּתִי:
יי יִדְלָף הַבְּיִת: לִשְׂחוֹלְ עֹשִׁים לֶּחֶם וְיַיִן
יי יִדְלָף הַבְּיִת: לִשְׂחוֹלְ עֹשִׂים לֶּחֶם וְיַיִן
יי יִדְלָף הַבָּיִת:

of the dictates of his Evil Inclina-

[The verse as interpreted by the commentators does not contradict 4:13. There 'youth' refers to age, here it refers to the level of maturity.]

ייך בבקר יאבלו — And whose ministers dine in the morning. Because their prime concern is not the welfare of the state but their own satiety. They indulge in revelry when they should attend to the duties of state (lbn Latif).

[The commentators explain that late afternoon or evening was the usual time for kings to dine; whereas the morning hours were reserved for judging the people (cf. Jeremiah 21:12). This is evident from the Talmud, Shabbos 10a where this verse is translated to mean that the judges do not eat a large meal early in the morning but sit and judge until the proper time for eating — so, that, as the next verse concludes, they will judge in the strength of the Torah and not in the drunkenness of wine.]

17. In contrast:

אַרִיך אֶרֶץ שְׁמֵּלְבֵּךְ בֵּן חוֹרִים — Happy are you, O land, whose king is a man of dignity [lit. 'a free man'] i.e. one who is master of his passions, and acts with dignity; [as חוֹרִים, 'nobles' in Nech. 2:16 (Metzudas Zion); 'those who act in the manner

of the truly great.' [בְּרַחוֹרִים is thus in this sense the antonym of בֶּלִינֵעל בְּלִינֵעל irresponsible scoundrel. (Ibn Ezra).]

יאבלו יאבלו – And whose ministers dine at the proper time. For 'they eat to live rather than live to eat' (lbn Yachya).

בּגְבוּרֶה וְלֹא בַשְׁחִי — In strength' and not in drunkenness. They engage in the vigorous pursuit of wisdom and understanding rather than in drinking wine (Rashi).

Ibn Ezra explains 'strength' in this context: they eat as they require the strength-giving energy of food; with restraint, to satisfy a need.

Their true strength is that they control their passions [Avos 4:1.] Unlike the wicked who dine in the morning, they do not judge the people vindictively while under the influence of alcohol (Ibn Yachya).

קּמְקַרֵה 18. בעצלתים ימר Through slothfulness the ceiling [or: 'beam'] sags. This dual proverb, though it deals with a house which has become dilapidated through the laziness and of its (ובשפלות ידים) of its owner, forms a continuity in the context of the previous verses. The house is regarded as a simile for the state. Those kings 'who dine in the morning', neglect their duties to the state, hence, like a neglected house, the state will deteriorate (Ibn Ezra).

X 17-19 17 Happy are you, O land, whose king is a man of dignity, and whose ministers dine at the proper time — in strength and not in drunkenness.

¹⁸ Through slothfulness the ceiling sags, and through idleness of the hands the house leaks.

¹⁹A feast is made for laughter, and wine gladdens life, but money answers everything.

ירִים רים היבים — And through idleness [lit. 'lowliness'] of the hands. i.e. letting down the hands and not lifting them up to do work (Metzudas David).

Ibn Ezra translates: poverty, de-

privation.

Alshich homiletically renders 'humbleness of the hand' i.e. due to readiness to dispense charity, 'rains of blessing' descend with such force that they 'leak' into the home.

19. [Many commentators explain that joyous occasions — such as weddings — are celebrated with banquets and guests gladden their hearts with wine. Without money such celebrations could not be held. Thus, this verse continues the urging against slothfulness and idleness by saying that without industrious labor, even the permitted joys of life cannot be properly enjoyed.

According to others, this refers to the pleasure-loving princes of the previous verses who spend their days in hedonistic wine-feasts — not to give nourishment to the body but to engage in sport and pleasure.

These are the same rulers who in the previous verse 'neglect their house' and their duties to the State.)

Thus they squander the public treasury: money sufficient to answer all needs (Poras Yosef).

בּחַם – A feast [lit. 'Bread'] 'Bread' refers to 'meal,' 'feast' as in Daniel 5:1 'Belshazzar the king made בּחַם, a grand feast,' (Rashi); and I Sam. 20:27 — 'Why does the son of Yishai not come מָּל־הַלְּחָם, to the meal . . . ' (Kara).

שרה הכל — But [lit. 'and'] money answers everything i.e. money is needed by all and makes everything possible. In the previous verse slothfulness is deprecated, here man is encouraged toward industry. Lazy people do not earn the money required for living (Rashi; Metzudas David).

[According to the alternate interpretation, the reference is to the money kings extort from their subjects to finance their lavish feasts.]

Yalkut haGershuni comments that יְעֵנֶה can be related to עִינָה, af-fliction: 'money afflicts all.' [Its abundance as well as its absence causes the heart of man to be afflicted.]

Hoarding of vast amounts of money is forbidden; the acquisition of necessary financial assets for living and performing charitable acts is encouraged (Ibn Yachya).

On an ethical level, the verse is interpreted: 'money makes everyone respond' — for money, everyone will accede to your every request (Kedushas Levi).

אַל־תְּקַבֶּל וּבְחַדְרֵיּ מִשְׁבָּבְרְּ אַל־תְּקַלֵּל עָשִיר בִּי עַוֹף הַשָּׁמִים יוֹלְיךְ אָת־הַקּוֹל וּבַעַל הֿבְּנַפַיִם יַגַּיִד דְּבֶר:

בּרק ־א אַ שַׁלַּח לַחְמְךָּ עַל־פְּגֵיִ הַמָּיִם בְּי־בְּרָב א־ב ב הַיָּמָים תִּמְצָאֵנּוּ: תֶּן־חֵלֶק לְשִׁבְעָה וְגֵם

20. בּם בְּמִרְּצָרְ מֶלְךְ אַל־תְּקְלֵּל — Even in your thoughts do not curse a king. Although Koheles criticizes the extravagance of profligate kings, he counsels extreme caution against voicing displeasure toward a ruler or governor of the land. He even warns against thinking these criticisms, because ultimately they will be verbalized 'and a bird of the skies may carry the sound' (lbn Latif).

בְּמַדְעָךְ (The translation of בְּמַדְעָךְ thoughts, follows the majority of commentators who relate it to מָדע, knowledge, — i.e. the mind; seat of knowledge.]

ובחררי מְשְׁכָּבְף אֵל־תְּקְלֵּל עֲשִׁיר — And in your bed-chamber do not curse the rich — i.e. even in the privacy of your own bedroom where you are certain no one hears you (Metzudas David) because 'the walls have ears' (Midrash).

'Rich' implies members of an influential ruling class who, because of their wealth, gain high status and are usually most vindictive (Ralbag).

בי עוף הַשְּמֵים יוליך אַת־הַקּול – For a bird of the skies may carry the sound. An idiomatic phrase to imply that your utterance will quickly spread and reach the ears of government officials (Metzudas David).

Midrash Psalms explains that the matter will be found out by divination of the flight of birds [an ancient form of sorcery used by magicians of non-Jewish kings which determined events from the manner and formation of flying birds. Thus the phrase may be understood literally: The birds of the air will convey to the king—by divinations—that you reviled against him.]

בעל כְנְפִים יְגִּיד דְּכָּר — And some winged creature may betray [lit. 'tell'] the matter. Literally this phrase conveys similar ideas to the one preceding it. Rashi, however, comments that it refers to people who flurry to and fro. One must be apprehensive that perhaps someone overheard his curses and will betray him.

ΧI

1. שַלַח לַחְמְך עַל־פְּגֵי הַמְיִם Send your bread upon the waters. [Most commentators explain this verse as urging that charity be given even to

X 20 ²⁰ Even in your thoughts do not curse a king, and in your bed-chamber do not curse the rich, for a bird of the skies may carry the sound, and some winged creature may betray the matter.

XI 1-2

Send your bread upon the waters, for after many days you will find it. 2 Distribute portions to

strangers who will never be seen again. The generosity will not go unrewarded; the favor will be repaid.]1

[The Midrash, Shmos Rabba 27 remarks: 'Are men so foolish as to throw their bread upon water? Rather,] the verse is to be understood allegorically to refer to one like יתרו, Jethro, who told his daughters to invite the stranger, Moses - whom he thought was an Egyptian and whom he never expected to ever see again — to dinner. As it turned out, Moses became his son-in-law; the 'King of Israel'; he eventually brought Jethro under the 'Wings of the Shechinah'; with the result that Jethro's descendants later served in the Sanhedrin (Rashi).

The Midrash does, however, relate an incident of a man who actually used to throw a loaf of bread into the sea every day. One day he bought a fish. On cutting it open, he found a beautiful object inside. People said of him: 'This is the man whose loaf stood him in good stead'

and they applied to him the verse: 'send your bread upon the waters.'

This verse follows the theme of the previous verse. In 10:20 man is cautioned against cursing the rich and rationalizing that word of it will never reach them because they are far away. No, 'a bird of the skies will carry the sound.' Here, too, man is warned about a different kind of complacency. A person might be tempted to say of giving constantly to charity: 'Why should I send my bread upon the waters? Will I ever find it? — The verse assures us: 'After many days you will find it' (Me'am Lo'ez).

Alshich explains that one of the highest forms of charity [as enumerated by Rambam] is when the giver and recipient are unknown to each other. Solomon alludes to this concept with his parable: When one lets bread float on water, and further downstream a hungry person picks it up and eats it, it is a classic example of the giver and recipient remaining unknown to each other. Ultimately the man will

'My son,' I said to him, 'how did you come up out of the sea?' He replied, 'Rabbi, thanks to your prayer on my behalf, one wave tossed me to another, and

Rabbi Akiva said: When I was traveling at sea, I saw a ship which had been wrecked and
I was greatly concerned about a rabbinical scholar who had been on board and went down
with the ship. On arriving at the province of Cappadocia, however, I noticed him sitting
before me and asking questions.

בּרק ־א לִשְׁמוֹנֶה ַכִּי לְא תֵּדַּע מַה־יְּהְיֶהְ דָעָה עַל־ ג הָאָרֶץ: אִם־יִּמְּלְאוּ הֶעָבִים גָּשֶׁם עַל־ הָאָרֶץ יָרִיקוּ וְאִם־יִפְּוֹל עֵץ בַּדְּרָוֹם וְאָם בַּצָּפְוֹן מְקָוֹם שֶׁיִּפְּוֹל הָעֵץ שַׁם יִהִוּא: בַּצָּפְוֹן מְקָוֹם שֶׁיִּפְּוֹל הָעֵץ שַׁם יִהִוּא:

be rewarded as the Sages proclaimed [Shabbos 119a]: אַשֶּׁר בַּשְׁבִּיל שֶּׁתְחֶעֶשׁר - 'give tithes so that you may become wealthy.' [Generally man is not permitted to 'test' God by trying to see if there will be a reward for performance of a commandment. The case of tithes and charity, however, are exempted from this prohibition as evidenced by the verse in Malachi 3:10 that urges people to test God by giving tithes (Taanis 9a).]

Taalumos Chachmah refers this verse to one who reproves his neighbors in vain. It advises him not to become frustrated. After a period of time his exhortations will yield the desired results and enter the hearts of the sinners.

The words בּיבְרֶכ הַיָּמִים תִּמְצָאָנוּ are homiletically interpreted to mean that in reward of charity, one will merit to find רב יָמִים, longevity (Alshich).

Rav Yosef Kara, however, explains the verse not figurateively, but as literal advice to a farmer: Sow your seeds 'upon the waters' — i.e. at the edge of the water — i.e. in a moist area. Then, even if rain does not descend and crops fail, yours will thrive. 'For after many days',

i.e. at harvest time, 'you will find it' i.e. you will reap abundantly (also Midrash Lekach Tov).

2. [The commentators explain this verse, also, as referring to charity, specifically its wider distribution.]

לשבעה ונם לשמונה — To seven, or even to eight i.e. abundantly, without pause (Ralbag).

Ibn Ezra comments that 'seven' refers primarily to seven days of the week, and 'eight' to the first day of next week. Thus, eight is a reference to constancy: after doing something for an entire week (seven days), begin the next week (the eighth day) by continuing it. Thus, the verse admonishes: Give charity constantly.

[For the similar phrase נְתַן חֵלֶּק see 2:21. On the indefinite number 'seven' see comm. to 6:3.]

Dispense charity to whomever needs it and don't cry, 'Enough!' (Rashi).

Rav Yosef Kara explains that this verse refers to financial advice: Divide your money among many associates [i.e. 'do not put all your eggs in one basket'] because if one of your investments fails or is confiscated, then there will be others

so on until they brought me ashore.'

I asked him, 'My son, what good deeds do you possess [which caused your rescue from drowning]?'

^{&#}x27;When I went aboard the ship', he answered, 'a poor man accosted me and cried, "Help me," and I gave him a loaf of bread. He then said to me, "As you have restored my life to me by your gift, so will your life be restored to you." '

Rabbi Akiva added: I applied to him the verse: 'Send your bread upon the waters' (Midrash).

XI 3-4

seven, or even to eight, for you never know what calamity will strike the land.

³ If the clouds are filled they will pour down rain on the earth; if a tree falls down in the south or the north, wherever the tree falls, there it remains. ⁴ One

with whom your money will be safe. [Note: Rav Kara's published commentary to Koheles ends with this verse.]

The Midrash comments: Devote one portion in seven [i.e. one day of the week: Sabbath] to God and rest thereon. Devote the eighth, homiletically refers to circumcision which is the eighth day (Rashi).

Avudraham quotes the Midrash that this verse alludes to Succos, a seven day festival followed by Shmini Atzeres, the eighth festival day. This may be why Koheles is read on Succos.

בי לא תַדְע — For you never know what calamity [lit. 'evil'] will strike the land. If you are thrust into poverty and require the assistance of others, you will be saved by virtue of your former charitable acts (Rashi).

Rav Chiyyah said to his wife: When a poor man comes be quick to offer him bread, so that others may be quick to offer it to your children ... because poverty is a 'wheel' that revolves in the world [coming to all people or their descendants.] ... and he who is merciful to others, mercy will be shown to him by Heaven, while he who is not merciful to others, mercy is not shown to him by Heaven (Shabbos 151b).1

3. אם־ימלאו העבים – If the clouds are filled. A lesson is to be learned: Clouds filled with rain-water do not keep it to themselves, but they beneficently pour it upon the earth. In turn, the earth receives moisture which by the natural cycle, returns to the clouds [cf. 1:7]. Similarly a man who is blessed by God and 'filled' with wealth is bidden not to hoard his wealth for himself, but to pour forth' his wealth as charity to those less fortunate. If the wheel turns and he finds himself in need. he will be sustained by others (Metzudas David).

Rashi comments: If clouds send rain to earth, one can be sure that the results will be seen in vegetation and crops. So, too, if a wise, righteous man lives in a city, his presence will be felt in improved moral and intellectual life.

[The word יְרִיקוֹ, pour down, is related to the word וְהַרִיקוֹתִי in Malachi 3:10: בְּרֶבָה 'And I will pour down for you a blessing until it is immeasurable'.]

And conversely:

יאחריפול ... שם יהוא — If a tree falls down ... there it remains. i.e. if a tree is uprooted by a southerly or northerly gale (Ralbag).

Once a tree does not bear fruit no

1. I quote from Rav S.R. Hirsch on the topic of Tzedakah:

A poor man comes to you, and in him God sent you His creature that you shall clothe and feed, look after and care for, and that it shall bring you greater blessings than you give. But when pity, or rather the voice of duty, opens your hand to give or to lend, do not let the cold,

פּרק יאר שֹמֵר רָוּחַ לָא יִזְרֶע וְרֹאֶה בֶּעָבִים לָא דיו הּ יִקְצְוֹר: כַּאֲשֶׁר אֵינְךָּ יוֹבֵעַ מַה־דֶּרֶךְ הָרֹוּחַ בְּצֵצָמִים בְּבֶטֶן הַמְּלֵאָה כָּכָהֹ לַא תִדַע אָת־מִצְשֵׂה הָאֱלֹהִים אֲשֶׁר יַצְשֶּׂה אֶת־ וּ הַכְּל: בַּבּקָר זְרַע אֶת־זַרְעֶךְ וְלָעֶרֶב אַל־ וּ הַכְּל: בַּבּקָר

one tends it. Wherever it lies, it will remain indefinitely. So, man who does not dispense charity and righteousness will find himself in need with no one to help him: There he will lie (Metzudas David).

Sforno interprets 'rain' as the subject of 'fall'. The clouds give rain . . . wherever the tree is, there the rain falls.

The Talmud explains the phrase יְהֵנְא 'there shall its fruits be!' [The teachings of the wise are preserved in the place where they lived] (Avodah Zarah 31a); Torah Temimah explains that this is inferred from the fact that the plural יְהַנָּא, 'there they shall be' rather than the singular יְהָנָה is used. Also, the verse implies the 'fruits of a scholar, his children, will tend to be of equal stature and greatness to their father.'

4. [One must perform the tasks required of him and have faith that God will bless his works.]

שמר דוּחַ לֹא יְוְרֶע — One who watches the wind will never sow. i.e. one who forever waits for ideal conditions will never get his work done (lbn Latif). Similarly in matters of dispensing charity one should not be over-suspicious and

over-prudent; he should follow his inclination and dispense it as it is required (Nachal Eshkol).

Alshich explains the phrase as referring to the performance of mitzvos (with which one 'plants' in this world): Someone may say, 'I cannot perform good deeds until cannot perform good deeds

וראָה בְעָבִים לא יקצוֹר — And one who keeps his eyes on [lit. 'sees'] the clouds will never [lit. 'not'] reap.
One who dreads that rain will fall and therefore does not harvest — will never be able to harvest because of constant fear (Rashi).

5. בְּאֶשֶׁר אִינְךְּ יוֹרְעַ — Just as you do not know . . . Following the theme of the previous verse, man is cautioned against 'watching the wind and the clouds,' for man cannot fathom God's intricate ways any more than man can know the sex or distinguishing characteristics of an embryo in its mother's womb (Metzudas David; Rashi).

בְּבֶּטֶן הַמְּלְאָה — In a pregnant [lit. 'full'] stomach [interpreting הַמְּלִאָה as an adjective modifying stomach], or: 'The stomach of the pregnant

unreasonable voice that you believe is clever calculation close it once more in the act of charity, while you ponder whether you will ever get back your loan, or reflect whether the gift will make you poorer. Is what you hold then really yours? Has not God a right to your all? And when He makes demand on you for His creature, will you close your hand ... from dispensing that which is His? ...

The law says that tzedakah is a high duty, and the repudiation of this duty can bring heavy consequences, even death. Nobody becomes poor through tzedakah, and God has proclaimed

XI 5-6

who watches the wind will never sow, and one who keeps his eyes on the clouds will never reap. 5 Just as you do not know the way of the wind, nor the nature of the embryo in a pregnant stomach, so can you never know the work of God who makes everything. 6 In the morning sow your seed and in the evening do

woman' [הַמְלֹאָה, 'the full one,' being a noun referring to the woman] (lbn Ezra).

אַר־מַעשה האָלהים — The work of God. Similarly, God's decrees concerning wealth and poverty are sealed to man. Therefore, one must never recoil from charity out of fear that he will lose his estate and become poor; nor refrain from Torah study out of fear that he will neglect his business and grow poor; nor rationalize that he should not marry because he will then have to support children (Rashi).

[Rather תְּמִים תְּהָיָה עָם ה אַלְהֶיף, תְּמִים תְּהָיָה עָם ה אָלְהִיף, Be whole-hearted with HASHEM your God' (Deut. 18:13), upon which Rashi comments: 'Walk with Him in whole-heartedness and depend on Him and don't probe into the future. Whatever befalls you accept whole-heartedly . . . '1

6. בּבּקר זְרֵע אֶת־זְרְעָה — In the morning sow your seed. The words לְעֶרֶב , in the morning, and לֶעֶרֶב, in the evening, imply 'always' (Ibn Ezra) — i.e. plant without regard to climatic conditions (Metzudas David).

In the Midrash, Rabbi Eliezer interprets the verse in the literal

sense: If you have sown in the early season, sow also in the late season, because you do not know which will succeed for you: the early or the late sowing.

[The other Sages explain the verse metaphorically: 'morning', i.e. 'the morning of life', youth; 'evening', i.e. old age:]

Rabbi Yehoshua said: If you are married in your youth and your wife died, marry again in your old age. If you had children in your youth [i.e. 'planting seed' is metaphorically understood as procreation] have them also in your old age — 'for you cannot know which will succeed': which set of children will be better, or whether both are equally good (also Yevamos 62b).

Rabbi Akivah said: If a man studied Torah in his youth, he should also study it in his old age [because we cannot know which knowledge will endure, whether that of the youth or the old age (Midrash)]; if he had disciples in his youth, he should also have disciples in his old age (Yev. 62b).

The Midrash goes on to relate that Rabbi Akivah had 24,000 disciples all of whom died a violent death during his lifetime between

that 'Never will tzedakah become the cause of any grave suffering of misfortune.' To him who has compassion for the poor, Hashem will also show compassion — as you wish God to hear your prayers, so shall you listen to the prayers of the poor. Give, and neither to your children nor to your grandchildren, nor even to your remote descendants will help be refused when they are in need; for riches and poverty come round in their circle, and there is never an entire succession of generations that entirely escapes poverty. It is your finest deed ... (Horeb).

זרט ז אוֹ־טֶּה וְאָם־שְׁנֵיהֶם כְּאֶחָד טוֹבְים: וּמָתוֹק הָאֶוֹר וְטָוֹב לֵעֵינֵים לְרְאָוֹת אֶת־הַשָּׁמֶשׁ: הַבְּי אִם־שָׁנִים הַרְבֵּה יְחְיֶהְ הָאָדָם בְּכֻלָּם יִשְׁמָח וְיִזְכֹּר אֶת־יְמֵי הַחֹשֶׁךְ כִּי־הַרְבֵּה יִשְׁמָח וְיִזְכֹּר אֶת־יְמֵי הַחֹשֶׁךְ כִּי־הַרְבֵּה

Passover and Shavuos [the semimourning of the Sefirah period commemorates their death.] It was the students whom he acquired later in life who succeeded him and disseminated Torah throughout the land

Others translate the verse as allegorically referring to charity: In the 'morning' while you are affluent, utilize your wealth and dispense charity; and in the 'evening' -if you fall into difficult straits - 'do not be idle' [lit. 'do not withhold your hand' from dispensing whatever you can. You can never be certain which act is more pleasing to God: the abundant generosity of your affluence, or the meager amount you give in your own time of need; or if it is the combination of both that sustains you (Derech Chaim).

Rabbi Yehoshua used to say: If you have given a coin to a poor man in the morning and another poor man comes to you in the evening, give him, too. Do not tell him, 'I already gave in the morning' for you do not know which of the acts is better: the morning or the evening one; or whether it is the combination of both that will stand you in good stead (Avos deRabbi Nosson).

בי אינף יודע ... הוה ארוה – For you cannot know which will succeed: this or that. [In the plain sense of the verse, referring to agriculture], one can never be certain which endeavor — the sowing in the morning or the evening; or perhaps the combination of both of them — was the one that yielded results (Metzudas David).

[Man should thus not delve into the unknown. He should not postpone his labor waiting for especially favorable weather conditions. He should simply work diligently and confidently and seek God's blessing.]

7. ומתוק האור – Sweet is the light.

Metzudas David explains that 'light' refers metaphorically to life: Sweet is the life of man while he is still permitted to enjoy the light of day! In the second part of the verse, the thought is rephrased forming an effective parallel.

Ibn Ezra notes that light is not edible and cannot be 'sweet' in the true sense of the word; nevertheless, it is common to use a word that is relevant to one sense when referring to another sense. [i.e. 'sweet' in this context must be allegorical because literal sweetness cannot refer to light.] Compare for example son' (Gen. 27:27); האָם הֵרִים בְּנִים בְּנִים בִּנִים אַתְּים הַלְּנִים בִּנִים בִּנִים אַתִּים אָתִים אָתִים בְּנִים בְּנִים בִּנִים בִּנִים בִּנִים בִּנִים בִּנִים בִּנִים בִּנִים בִּנִים בַּנִים בִּנִים בִּנִים בַּנִים בַּנִים בַּנִים בַּנִים בַּנִים the thunderings' (Ex. 20:15)... Ibn Ezra therefore concludes that verse 6 urged constant preoccupation with good deeds. The result,

XI 7-9 not be idle, for you cannot know which will succeed: this or that; or whether both are equally good.

⁷ Sweet is the light, and it is good for the eyes to behold the sun! ⁸ Even if a man lives many years, let him rejoice in all of them, but let him remember that the days of darkness will be many. All that comes is futility. ⁹ Rejoice young man, in your childhood let

this verse says, will be the satisfaction of 'sweet is the light.'

it is good for the eyes to behold the sun. [As explained above, this refers to the love of life. On the subject of farming, in the previous verses, it is perhaps possible to translate the verse as refering to the need for diligence in working as long as the sun shines. Obviously the verse cannot be taken literally: looking directly into the sun is certainly not 'good for the eyes'!]

The Midrash explains 'light' as Torah: 'Sweet is the light of Torah... and happy is he whose study enlightens him like the sun [leaving him free of doubts and perplexities.]... Rav Acha said: Sweet is the light of the World to Come, happy is he who is worthy to behold that light!'

8. הְבֶּלְם יִשְׁמָה – Let him rejoice in all of them. i.e. let him be satisfied with what God has granted him (Rashi).

פון החשף — But let him remember the days of darkness. But at the same time it is imperative that he keep in mind the transitory

nature of this world and strive to improve his ways, for the days of darkness — an allusion to death and the judgment of the wicked — 'will be many.' Those days are more eternal than the short duration of life on this world (Rashi).1

['Remember' in this case does not mean 'recall' but 'ponder'.]

קל־שֶּבְא הָבֶּל — All that comes is futility [This translation follows most commentators.] Rashi, however, comments that הַבֶּל in this verse does not mean futility as it does throughout Koheles, but punishment, trouble.

According to *lbn Ezra*, the verse is to be rendered as follows: Even if man were to know that he will live many years and rejoice in them all, once he recalls how eternal will be the days in the grave the 'light' referred to previously will become bitter. Man must also perceive that all that comes into this world — in the sense of 'a generation goes and a generation comes' [1:4] — is futile.

Metzudas David explains the last phrase as referring to punishment in the Hereafter: All that will come, i.e. all punishment that man will receive in the Hereafter, is futile [see

Again, let man picture himself being summoned before the Great Tribunal of the heavenly host and standing in the presence of the King of Kings, the Holy One, blessed be He, who is

^{1.} If a man would consider that after all his greatness he will return to dust and be food for worms, surely, then, his pride would be humbled and his arrogance forgotten. Of what good is his pride or his greatness, since he is bound to end in shame and derision?

בְּיַלְדוּשִׂיךּ וְיטִיבְךּ לִבְּךֹ בִּימֵי בְחְוּרוֹשֶׁיךְ וְהַלֵּךְ בְּדַרְכֵי לִבְּךְ וּבְמַרְאֻיְּ עִינְיֶךְ וְדֶּע בִּי עַל־בָּל־אֶלֶה יְבִיאֲךָ הָאֱלֹהִים בַּמִּשְׁפְּט: וְהָסֵר בַּעַס מִלְּבֶּךְ וְהַעֲבֵר רָעָה מִבְּשָּׁרֶךְ

י י יִּבְמֵרָאַה קרי זּבְמֵרָאַה קרי

Rashi above.] In this world, suffering deters sin and causes one to search his ways and repent. But in the grave it is too late to examine one's ways and repent. Therefore, let man consider this and correct his conduct on this world while he is still alive.

This verse is reminiscent of an incident recorded in the Talmud:

Rav Chisda's daughter asked him if he wished to take a nap. He answered: The time will come when there will be long days in the grave where Torah study and observance will be impossible. We will sleep much there. Meanwhile, we must exert ourselves and be involved with Torah and the commandments (Eruvin 65a).

9. שְׁמֵּה בְּחֵרֵּה בְּיַלְרוּתְּהְ - Rejoice, young man, in your childhood. [As evidenced by the end of the verse this is in no way to be interpreted as a hedonistic carte-blanche to run amok with one's passion.] It is clearly to be understood as words of warning to those rebellious youths who wallow in sin [and who would not accept his words if they were said in such negative terms as: 'Do not rejoice ... do not follow your heart.'] Rather Solomon said: I know full well that fools tend to sin in their youth, but beware! Judge-

ment is forthcoming (Midrash Lekach Tov).

Rashi comments that this is similar to a man telling his servant and child: Go on, persist in your iniquities. But realize that you will be punished in one blow for all your sins!

Ibn Ezra puts the phrase into a perspective which we can comprehend when he compares it to עלי פלשת התרועעי :Psalms 60:10 [lit. 'over me, Philistia, conquer!'] which is obviously not meant to be a wish, but a threat: 'Go, ahead! Now let Philistia dare triumph over me — and let them see what I will do in return!: also a similar instance is found in Lamentations 4:21 'Rejoice and exult O daughter of Edom . . . ' [which of course is not to be construed as a blessing but as a sarcastic remark as if to say: 'Rejoice while you can, because you will not escape punishment for your sins.']

The Talmud explains the first half of the verse [until יְרָת, 'but be aware'] as being the words of the עצר הָרַע, man's Evil Inclination [urging him to sin]; the second half as being the words of the יַצָּר הָטוֹר man's Good Inclination [man's better nature]. According to Resh Lakish, the former refers to Torah study, the latter to good deeds [i.e. rejoice in your youth when you can

Infinite in holiness and purity... let him picture himself standing before Him as a mere insignificant being, worthless and despicable, and in actions unclean and repellent. Will he dare lift up his head? Will his mouth find words? When he will be asked: 'Where is your mouth now? Where is all the pride and glory you displayed in your world?' What will he answer, and how will he reply to such reproof?

your heart cheer you in the days of your youth; follow the path of your heart and the sight of your eyes—but be aware that for all these things God will call you to account. 10 Rather, banish anger from your heart and remove evil from your flesh—for

study, and apply your heart and eyes, i.e. your full understanding. But be aware that you will be judged for non-fulfillment of the commandments studied by you.] (Shab. 63b).

ינייבְךּ לְבְּרְ וּבְמֵרְאֵהּ בְּרַרְבֵי לְבְּךּ וּבְמֵרְאֵהּ your heart and the sight of your eyes — [Reminiscent of the injunction (Numbers 15:39) יְלְבֵּרְבֶּם וְאַחֲרִי עִינֵּיבֶּם 'and seek not after your own heart and your own eyes — the 'eyes' and 'heart' used in this context being understood as the organs of desire.]

The similar phrase מָרְאָה עִינְיִם, 'what the eyes see' denoting according to some: 'lustful gaze' appears in 6:9. See comm. there.]

יטיבף לְבְּךְ – Let your heart cheer you, i.e. do whatever your heart desires (Metzudas David).

רְדֶע – But be aware [lit. 'and know']
[The prefix 1, is to be understood here not as the conjunction 'and' but as the preposition 'but' which introduces the consequences which will follow such sinful behavior.]

בי עליבֶּלְיאֵלָה – For all these things – i.e. for rejoicing, following the heart and the sight of your eyes (Ibn Ezra), 'For there is no forgetfulness before His Throne of Glory' (Sh'nos Chaim).

The Midrash stresses בָּל, 'all': It may be likened to a man who used to steal. They arrested him and said to him, 'Give up what is in your possession.' He answered, 'Take whatever I have with me.' They retorted, 'Do you think that we will only extract what is with you at the present time? We demand from you all that is with you and all that you stole during the years you were a thief!'

Similarly, it is stated, 'But be aware that for all these things God will bring you into judgment.'

10. וְהָסֶר בַּעָס מִלְבֶּךְ — Rather banish anger from your heart — i.e. banish anything that causes anger to God (Rashi).

Most commentators however, view this moralistically: man should avoid anger, because anger betrays the fool (Midrash).

[Compare 7:9: 'Do not be hastily upset, for anger lingers in the bosom of fools.']

In the words of the Talmud: If a young scholar becomes enraged it is because the Torah [i.e. the tension of strenuous study] enflames him

... Despite this, a man should train himself to be gentle, for it is said: 'Rather banish anger from your heart' (Taanis 4a).

קְהַעֶּבֶר רֶעָה מְבְשֶׁרְ — And remove evil from your flesh — i.e. do not pamper your flesh's evil desires (Metzudas David).

Let a man just for a moment envision this scene as it will, indeed, be enacted, and all pride will leave him forever (Mesillas Yesharim).

בּרק יב א וּזְכֹר אָת־בִּוֹרְאֶׁיךְ בִּימֵי בְּחְוּרֹתֶיְךְ עַר איב אֲשֶׁר לְא־יָבֹאוּ יְמֵי הֶרָעָה וְהִגִּיעוּ שָׁנִים אַשֶּׁר תֹאמֵר אֵין־לִי בָהֶם חֵפֶץ: עַד אֲשֶּׁר - אֲשֶׁר תֹאמֵר אִין־לִי בָהֶם חֵפֶץ: עַד אֲשֶּׁר

קבית וְהַשְּחֵרוּת הָבֶּל — For childhood and youth are futile — the desires of man's youth are valueless. Therefore one must exercise great caution [and avoid these pitfalls] (Metzudas David).

[The word אַחְרוּת is variously explained by the commentators as related either to אַחָּע, 'dawn' — i.e. youth, the dawn of life (Rashi; Metzudas David); or to אַחָּע, 'black' — i.e. the period of adolescence when one's hair is still dark (Targum; Rashi). In either case, the implied meaning is the same.]

The Talmud explains the word as 'black' and homiletically comments: 'The things a man does in his youth blacken him [i.e. with shame] in his old age' (Shabbos 152a).

Taalumos Chachmah explains this last clause as being the response to recalcitrant youths who query: 'Why should I forego the pleasures of my youth?' The answer is: 'Childhood and youth are futile' [they are fleeting and pass away like a breath. see comm. to 1:2 s.v.

XII

1. [This verse is closely related to the preceding one as indicated by its opening conjunction 'and'. Having warned recalcitrant youth to 'be aware that for all these things God will call you to account' (11:9) and that 'childhood and youth are futile,' (11:10), Solomon continues that man should spend his vigorous youth in the service of his Creator.]

יוְכֹר אָת־בּוּרְאִיךְ – So [lit. 'and'] remember your Creator, and try — in spirit and deed — to honor Him (Sforno).

is spelled אָלֶא, full — with a י, yud, because the Deity is referred to in the plural as a sign of

respect similar to להבריל the royal 'we.' Compare, e.g., the spelling of אלהיה, your God.]

The Jerusalem Talmud quotes the dictum of Akaviah ben Mehalalel [in Avos 3:1]: 'Ponder well three things and you will not come into the clutches of sin: know from what you come — from a putrid drop; where you are going — to a place of dust, worm, and maggot; and before Whom you are destined to give an account and reckoning — before the Supreme King of Kings — the Holy One, blessed be He'. Rabbi Akivah expounded homiletically upon combinations of letters from the word attached.

XII

So remember your Creator in the days of your youth, before the evil days come, and those years arrive of which you will say, 'I have no pleasure in

to derive from it Akaviah's entire dictum: know: בְּאַרְּבָּ, "your source", [from where you came] קבוֹרְ, "your grave" [where you are going]; and בּוֹרְאָרָ, "your Creator" [before Whom you are destined to give an account and reckoning.] (Yerushalmi Sotah 2:2).

בימי בחול חיף – In the days of your youth. While in the possession of your strength (Midrash).1

Man should take advantage of vigorous youth to serve God, before old age sets in and service becomes more difficult (Metzudas David).

יְמֵי הָרְעָה — The evil days — The infirmities of old age (Rashi).

והגיעו שְנִים — And those years arrive, the time of suffering.

מְּצְי בְהֶם חֵפְץ — I have no pleasure in them. i.e. my desires no longer manifest themselves in them; I prefer death (Metzudas David).

Taalumos Chachmah explains the verse as follows: If you have sinned in your youth, remember your Creator while you are still a youth before the evil days come—i.e. before the days of punishment and retribution . . . But if one did not repent in youth, he should not fail to repent even when the days

come when he will say, 'I have no pleasure' — i.e. old age when you will rationalize and say: 'Of what avail is my repentance now that my desires are gone!' — No, do what is required of you even then, and repent.

M'lo haOmer perceives in this verse a reference to the verse [Lev. 19:32]: מפני שיבה הקרום [lit. 'before a man grey with age rise up'] which the Zohar translates: 'before' you reach 'old age, rise up' and conquer your Evil Inclination and repent. Here, too, Solomon, exhorts man to conquer his passions before the feebleness of old age sets in.

2. [The following verses, as explained by the commentators, poetically conjure up an image of the fading of life as old age approaches. The allegory refers to the waning powers of the organs of the body, as will be explained.

The Talmud relates an incident in which an old man described his old age as follows: 'The mountain is snowy, it is surrounded by ice, the dog does not bark, and the grinders do not grind' [i.e. my head is snowy white, my beard likewise; my voice is feeble and my teeth do not function;] (Shabbos 152a).

^{1. [}Obviously the verse is not to be construed to mean that man should 'remember God only in his youth. Surely man must serve his Creator throughout every period of his life. The inference, however, is that man should concentrate on God and repent from his evil ways es-

ברק יב לא־תֶחְשַׁךְּ הַשָּׁמֶשׁ וְהָאוֹר וְהַיָּרֶחַ ג־ה וְהַכְּוֹכָבִים וְשָׁבוּ הֶעָבִים אַחַר הַגָּשֶׁם: ג בַּיּוֹם שֶּיָזֻעוּ שְׁמְרֵי הַבַּיִּת וְהָתְעַוְּתִּוּ אַנְשֵׁי הָחָיֶל וּבְטְלַוּ הַטְּחֲנוֹת כִּי מִעֵּטוּ וְחֲשְׁכִּוּ ד הֶרֹאוֹת בְּאֲרָבּוֹת: וְסָגְרָוּ דְלָתַׁיִם בַּשׁוּלְ בִּשְׁפַּל קּוֹל הַשַּחְנָה וְיָקוּם לְקוֹל הַצִּפּׁוֹר ה וִיִּשָּׁחוּ כָּל־בִּנִוֹת הַשִּׁיר: גַּם מִגָּבַהַ יִילָאוּ

הַשְּמֶשׁ וְהָאוֹר וְהַיֶּרְחַ וְהַכּוֹכְבִים — The sun and the light, and the moon and the stars. — The forehead, the nose, the soul, and the cheeks (Midrash).

According to *Targum*: The light of the face, the sparkle of the eyes, the cheeks, the eyeballs.

יְשֶׁבוּ הַּנְּטֵים אָחַר הַנְּשֶׁם — And the clouds return after the rain — The eyesight which is weakened by weeping — [The weeping of old age, caused by trouble and sickness, destroys the eyesight; crying, puffy eyes, being compared to clouds (Torah Temimah)] (Shabbos 151b).

Ibn Ezra comments that the reference is to the world which is darkened for a sick, dying man, as though beclouded. For after a rain it appears to him that not sun, but clouds, appear.

3. שמרי הביח — The guards of the house. [Koheles compares the aged human body to a house in ruins]: The 'guards of the house' are the hands and arms which protect the body from threat and injury (Ibn Ezra) [but now are enfeebled.]

According to the Talmud, they

are the sides and the ribs (Shabbos 152a) which protect the hollows [i.e. the internal organs] of the body (Rashi).

אַנְשֵׁי הֶחְיִל — The powerful men i.e. the legs which uphold the bodily structure (Rashi) [and which grow weak in old age.]

חות — The grinders. i.e. the teeth, which in old age have fallen out (Rashi).

[The Hebrew is in the feminine gender. A literal translation might be 'the maidens that grind'].

הראות בָּאַרְבּוּת — The gazers through the windows are dimmed. i.e. the eyes (Talmud, ibid.).

[Here, too, the Hebrew is in the feminine gender and a more literal rendering would be: 'those ladies that peer through the windows.']

[Scripture often depicts women as modestly peering through windows). Comp. Judges 5:28; Il Sam. 6:16; Il Kings 9:30. In Jewish tradition modesty is a treasured, respected virtue in woman. It is considered to be a regal characteristic as in the verse בַּל־בְבוּדָה

pecially during the vigor of his youth when the allures of life are most tempting. Certainly, repentance of one's sins during youth — while man still has the capacity to \sin — is more virtuous than repentance in old age when, as Rambam points out in Hilchos Teshuvah 2:1, he is no longer capable of doing what he had done, and when his desires have abated and the allures of \sin no longer tempt him.]

them;' 2 before the sun, the light, the moon and the stars grow dark, and the clouds return after the rain; 3 in the day when the guards of the house will tremble, and the powerful men will stoop, and the grinders are idle because they are few, and the gazers through windows are dimmed; 4 when the doors in the street are shut; when the sound of the grinding is low; when one rises up at the voice of the bird, and all the daughters of song grow dim; 5 when they

בְת־מְלְךְ פְנִימְה 'The king's daughter is all glorious within' (Psalms 45:14).]

4. יְסָגְרוּ דְּלֶחֵים בַּשּוּק — When the doors in the street are shut. This refers to the apertures of man which close 'when the sound of the grinding is low,' i.e. on account of the stomach's failing to digest ['grind'.] (Talmud ibid; Rashi).

According to Ibn Ezra, 'doors' refers to the lips (as in Job 41:6: תַּחָם מִּי פְּנְיוֹ מִי פְּתָּח 'who can open the doors of his face, i.e. his lips;) because the teeth which give them needed support are lacking (Metzudas David).

Ibn Ezra continues to explain that in a metaphoric sense, the verse depicts a situation where the milling stops and there is no flour; the bakeries in the market-place then close their doors.

וְיְקוֹם לְקוֹל הַצְפּוֹר — When one rises up at the sound of a bird — even the chirping of a bird will wake the aged from sleep (Talmud ibid.; Rashi, et al).

קישחו בליבנות השיר – And the daughters of song grow dim — i.e. even the voices of singers sound to him like whispers [because of

deafness] as Barzilai said to David: [Il Sam. 19:36]: 'I am today eighty years old . . . can I hear any more the voice of singers?'

Rashbam explains that 'the daughters of song' refers to those who indulge in music and are fond of singing. But their art repels the aged who are frustrated by their deafness.

According to *lbn Ezra* it refers to the throat which once produced beautiful song but which becomes 'stooped' in old age and is incapable of singing.

[The condition of the aged is paradoxical: During the day the voice of singers sound low to him while at night he is awakened by the chirping of a bird!]

The Talmud (ibid.) continues: 'Regarding scholars, the older they grow the more wisdom they acquire;... but as for the ignorant, as they wax older they become more foolish.'

5. בם מגבה ייראו – [When] they even fear a height — even a small knoll looks to him like the highest of mountains (Talmud ibid.); and he is apprehensive that he is liable to stumble (Rashi); and he conjures

י הַגַּלְגַל אָל-הַבְּוֹר: וְיָשַׁב הַשָּׁקֵר וְיִסְתַּבֵּל הַאָּרָה וְיָנַאַץ הַשָּׁקֵר וְיִסְתַּבֵּל הָאָרָה יייייייייייייי עָד אֲשֶׁר לְא־יֵרְחֵׁלְ חֶבֶל הַבְּּטֶף וְתָרֻץ עָבְּרִה: עַלְת הַזָּהָב וְתִשָּׁבֶר בַּד עַל-הַמַּבּוּע וְנָרְץ בְּיִרְה אַלָּת הַזָּהָב וְתִשָּׁבֶר בַּד עַל-הַמַּבּוּע וְנָרְץ גַּלְת הַזָּהָב וְתִשָּׁב הַעָּבֶּר עַל-הַבּוֹר: וְיָשַׂב הַעָּבָּר עַל-הַבּוֹר: וְיָשַׂב הַעָּבָּר עַל-הַבּוֹר: וְיָשַׂב הַעָּבָּר עַל-הַבְּוֹר: וְיָשַׂב הַעָּבָּר עַל-הַבּוֹר: וְיָשַׂב הַעָּבָּר עַל-הַבּוֹר: וְיָשַׂב הַעָּבָּר עַל-הַבּוֹר: וְיָשַׂב הַעָּבָּר עַל-הַבְּוֹר: וְיִשְׂב הַעָּבָּר עַל-הַבְּוֹר: וְיִשְׁב הַעָּבָּר עַלּים הַּעָּבָּר הַיִּיִּים בּיִּבְּיִבְּיִּר וּיִיִּיִּים בּיִּבְיִּרְיִים:

up exaggerated obstacles (Rashbam).

Ibn Ezra renders: 'For the aged no longer have the strength to climb heights.' When a man is invited someplace, he asks: 'Are there ups and downs on the way to it?' [He is always apprehensive of dangers — imagined or real.]

נְהֶתְחָתִים בּּדֶּרֶךְ — And terror in the road. When he walks on a road his heart is filled with fears (Talmud).

Fears of the journey fall upon him and he asks: 'Shall I go or not?' and decides not to go. Or he says: 'As far as such and such a place I am strong enough to go, but not as far as the place to which I was invited ' [and he does not go] (Midrash).

The word דְּחָתְּחֵים is a form of חַחָחָ, 'fear' (lbn Ezra) the plural form denotes 'excessive fear' (Metz-udas David).

קינאץ השְקּק – And the almond tree blossoms. This alludes to the whiteness of the hair in old age (lbn Ezra) and since the fruit of the almond tree sprouts before any other tree it symbolizes old age which will quickly overtake him (Rashi).

According to the *Talmud* this refers to the coccyx [the lowest end of the vertebrae; the 'nut' of the spine (Midrash): The extreme weakness of old age causes it to

'blossom', i.e. protrude and move from its place, seriously impeding mobility.]

קּנְבֶּל הָחָנֶב The grasshopper becomes a burden [ot: 'The grasshopper drags itself along'.]

He will be so weak, that even if a grasshopper would alight upon him it would seem like a burden (Metzudas David). [Metaphorically depicting the frailties of old age.]

Rashi takes עָנֶר, as a form of עָנֶר, ardor, and renders: 'even his ardor becomes burdensome to him.'

The Midrash translates: 'the ankles,' and following this, Targum renders: 'the ankles swell up' [and the old man thus drags himself along with difficulty] — an image of the awkward, bent-over way an old man walks (Ibn Yachya).

וְתְפֵּר הְאֲבִיזְנָה — And the desire fails [This translation follows the Talmud; Midrash; Rashi; and Metzudas David who relate it to אבה, wish, and הַאָּנָה, desire, which wanes in old age.]

[The word אֲבִיוֹנֶה is found in Mishnah Maaseros 4:6. It means literally 'caperberry', a fruit said to stimulate desire. In this context, then, this potent fruit is of no avail to the aged.]

Metzudas David offers an alternative rendering, relating it to בָּינָה, wisdom.

XII 6-7 even fear a height and terror in the road; and the almond tree blossoms and the grasshopper becomes a burden and the desire fails — so man goes to his eternal home, while the mourners go about the streets.

 Before the silver cord snaps, and the golden bowl is shattered, and the pitcher is broken at the fountain, and the wheel is smashed at the pit.
 Thus the dust

קילות עולמו – כי־הלך הָאָרֶם אַל־בֵּית עולמו – So man goes to his eternal home i.e. the grave where he will dwell forever (Ibn Ezra).

The Midrash stresses the possessive his indicating that each man has his own eternal home: It may be likened to a king who entered a province accompanied by generals, commanders, and officers. Although they all entered through the same gate, each went and lodged in a place corresponding to his rank. Similarly, although all human beings experience death, each has a 'home' for himself [according to his conduct in life.]

יסְבְבוּ בְשׁוּק הַסּוּפְרִים — While the mourners go about the streets — often preparing their eulogies even before death has actually occurred (Seder haYom).

6. [The following verse also amplifies upon verse 1, exhorting man to repent before the oncoming of old age and offers another metaphor for the *final* dissolution of life.]

קבֶּל הַבֶּסֶף — The silver cord — i.e. the spinal cord (Rashi).

הַרֶּץ גַּלַת הַוּהָב, — And the golden bowl is shattered i.e. the skull (Midrash); the marrow (Targum); the membrum virile (Rashi). Ibn Ezra interprets this as referring to the spinal column and the brain: when one is severed from the other, life ends.

וְחָשֶּבֶר בֵּד עַל־הַמְבּוּעְ — Or the pitcher is broken at the fountain. This refers to the stomach (Rashi; Midrash).

הַגְּלְגֵל – The wheel – the eye (Rashi) the body (Targum).

[The metaphor, then, is as follows: The body — near death — is likened to the malfunctioning machinery of a well: cord, wheel and pitcher. The cord (spine) snaps; the skull shatters; the stomach breaks; and the body is smashed.]

7. הְעָפֶר הְעָפֶר — Thus the dust returns i.e. 'dust' refers to the body of man which was formed from dust [comp. Gen. 2:7 'and God formed man from the dust of the earth'] . . .

על־הָאָרֶץ בְּשֶׁהְיָה — To the ground, as it was [i.e. as it was at Creation]; it rejoins the earth from which it was formed (Almosnino).

As it is written [Gen. 3:19]: 'For you are dust and unto dust you shall return' (Midrash Lekach Tov).

Only the bones remain — and even they eventually disintegrate (*Ibn Ezra*).

[The translation, 'to the ground'

פרק יב הָאָרֶץ בְּשֶׁהָיָה וְהָרַוּחַ תִּשׁוּב אֶל־ חֹי חְבָּם עוֹד לִמַּד־דַּעַתֹ אֶת־הָעָׁם וְאִזּון וְחָמֵּר ע הַקּוֹהֶלֶת הַכִּּל הְבֶל: וְיֹתֵר שֲׁהָיָה קֹהֶלֶת י תִּקָן מְשָׁלִים הַרְבֵּה: בִּקְשׁ קֹהֶלֶת לִמְצָא

instead of lit. 'upon the ground' follows Metzudas Zion.]

... הָרְנחַ הְּשׁוּב אֶל־הְאֶלְהִים... — And the spirit returns to God Who gave it. But the soul returns to its Source from which it was taken; unto God Who gave it at birth [as it is written (Gen. 2:7): 'And [God] breathed into his nostrils the breath of life']

The earthly portion returns to the earth; but the soul returns to God to give an account and reckoning [of its actions on this world] (Sforno).

This verse refutes those who claim that the soul came into existence coincidentally. An accident has no source to which to return (*Ibn Ezra*).

ירוח, also signifies 'that which remains of man after his death and is not subject to destruction' (Moreh Nevuchim).

God says to man: 'I gave you a soul which is pure, return it to Me pure' (Midrash).

8. [Thus begins the epilogue of the Book.] Having discoursed on the life and trials of man, and described the vicissitudes which man experiences 'under the sun' until death, Koheles reiterates the recurring refrain of his conclusions: 'All is futile' (Rashbam; Kehillas Yaakov).

הבל הַכָּלִים — Futility of futilities!
'Much futility do I observe in the
world' said he in whom is assembled
much wisdom; 'all that was created

in the six days of Creation is futile' (Rashi).

Many commentators suggest that this verse is to be understood in the imperative: 'Abhor futilities!, because everything is futile. [Comp. 1:2 where this opinion is quoted in the name of Ramban and Metzudas David; in our verse it is also concurred with by Ibn Ezra and Taalumos Chachmah.]

Having discussed death, I have verified all my conclusions just as I began my discourse: 'All is futile' (Akeidas Yitzchak).

הַקּוֹהֶלֶת — Koheles. The Hebrew has the definite article ה, 'the Koheles' because, as pointed out, Koheles is not a proper name but a title [see comm. to 1:1] ([bn Ezra).

9. יְתֵר שֶׁהְיָה קֹהֶלֶח חָבֶּח — And besides being wise, i.e. Solomon was even wiser than can be adduced from this Book (Rashi); and as the wisest of men his knowledge was not limited to what is recorded in this Book; he taught students from the great range of his wisdom and had a wide sphere of influence (Rav Saadiah Gaon).

עזר למר־דַעַת אֶת־הְעָם עזר למר־דַעַת אֶת־הְעָם — He also imparted knowledge to the people. i.e. he did not content himself with being wise — he actively imparted his wisdom to the public as well (Metzudas David).

... Unlike many geniuses who

XII 8-9

returns to the ground as it was, and the spirit returns to God Who gave it. 8 Futility of futilities — said Koheles — All is futile!

⁹ And besides being wise, Koheles also imparted knowledge to the people; he listened, and sought out; and arranged many proverbs.

cannot distill their wisdom in a manner that the masses can comprehend, the verse stresses that Solomon, 'the wisest of all men' [I Kings 5:11] was blessed with this ability, and he utilized it by publicly expounding his knowledge in popular form (Rav Yaakov Chagiz).

וְאַזּן – He listened [This translation of the word – which is un-duplicated in this form throughout Scripture – follows Targum, Rashbam, Taalumos Chachmah: i.e. He was attentive to the words of wise men who preceded him, sorting them out; giving his אוֹן, ear, and complete mind to this goal.]

Ibn Janach [also Ibn Ēzra] relates it to מאונים, scales, and translates: 'he weighed all matters and

researched them."

Ibn Ezra [as an alternative interpretation] and Metzudas David view it as a transitive verb: he caused [others] to listen, [i.e. he imparted instructions in a manner which the public found interesting.]

Metzudas Zion relates it to Deut. 32:1 הַאִּזִינּה, 'incline your ear. [which S.R. Hirsch a.l. explains that הָאָזִין, 'to incline one's ear' expresses a greater readiness to listen to what is being said that way, 'hear'."]

Rashi derives the word from 'handles,' אָזְנֵים לְתוֹרָה, as in the Talmudic comment [Eruvin 21b]: אָזְנֵים לְתוֹרָה 'handles to the Torah.' Handles make it easier to carry an object.

Solomon instituted ordinances to help people avoid transgressions, thus making the Torah 'easier to carry.'

Harav David Feinstein explains Solomon's probable motivation for

instituting ordinances:

Solomon transgressed two Biblical prohibitions: First, that a king not multiply wives that they may not turn his heart from the proper path; and second, that he not multiply horses that he not cause Israel to return to Egypt (in search of fine horses) [Deut. 17:16,17]. Solomon was convinced that his superior wisdom would prevent the threathened consequences from happening. He was wrong. Realizing from his errors that preventive ordinances cannot be taken lightly, he instituted preventive ordinances of his own, אונים לחורה, to keep others from sinning.

חקר — And sought out. Imparted to the public, methods of seeking wisdom (lbn Ezra).

הרבה הרבה – המן משלים הרבה – [And] he arranged many proverbs. Following Targum: 'Inspired by a divine prophetic spirit, he composed books of wisdom and sensible proverbs.'

As it is written [l Kings 5:12]: 'And he spoke three thousand proverbs' (lbn Ezra).

The use of proverbs made his wisdom palatable and comprehensi-

מרק יב דְבְרֵי־חֵפֶץ וְכָתִוּב יֹשֶׁר דִּבְרֵי אֱמֶת:
יא־יב יא דּבְרֵי חֲכָמִים בַּדָּרְבֹנוֹת וְכְמַשְׁמְרָוֹת יִנְיִּעִ מְלֵי אֲסֻפְּוֹת נִתְּנִוּ מִרְעָה אֶחֶר:
יַּ וְיֹתֵּר מֵהֻמָּה בְּנִי הִזָּהֵר עֲשׂוֹת סְפָּרֵים

ble even to the most uneducated (Torah Temimah).

This implies that he composed Proverbs and Song of Songs, as well as Koheles (Rav Saadiah Gaon).

10. בַּקשׁ קהֶלֶת למצא דברי־חַפְּץ – Koheles sought to find 'words of delight' i.e. Koheles sought in his quests to achieve supreme wisdom; he exerted himself to find Truth (Ibn Ezra; Metzudas David).

[The commentators explain that Solomon sought to comprehend God's government of the Universe.]

The Talmud elucidates: Koheles sought to be like Moses [and attain forty-nine gates of understanding] but a קח קום, Heavenly Voice, said to him there are 'words of truth written properly' [i.e. it is already recorded in the Torah (Deut. 34:10)]: 'There arose not a prophet again in Israel like Moses.' The other said: Among the prophets there arose not, but among the kings there did arise (Rosh Hashanah 21b).

The Midrash comments that Solomon sought to discern the reward for the performance of the mitzvos and the study of Torah, and to fathom when the 'end' [i.e. the advent of Mashiach] would be. But God quoted from 'the Book of Truth' that these goals are beyond him.

קברי אֶשֶׁת – And words of truth recorded properly – This refers to the Bible (Rashi).

Alshich renders: He sought to in-

sure that people would find his proverbs to be a 'delight of God' and he later discovered that all his thoughts were already recorded in the Word of Truth [i.e. that his wisdom reflected the Truth of Torah.]

Some commentators translate the verse: 'Solomon sought to find felicitous language — i.e. to put his words in beautiful, appealing form, 'and words of truth recorded properly,' so that his written words should merit public acceptance for posterity (Almosnino; Divrei Chefetz).

11. דְּבְרִי חֲכָמִים כַּדְּרְבנוּת — The words of the wise are like goads. Used by shepherds to prod their animals (Metzudas David).

As Rashi explains: Just as the goad directs the heifer along its proper path, so do words of the wise lead men along the paths of life (Chagigah 3b).

The Midrash homiletically relates it to הַלְּבְּרוּרְ בְּנֵוּח a girl's ball. 'Just as a ball is thrown from hand to hand without falling, so Moses received the Torah at Sinai and delivered it to Joshua [intact], Joshua to the elders, the elders to the prophets, and the prophets delivered it to the men of the Great Synagogue' [Avos 1:1].

The Midrash adds: If a man makes a goad for his heifer, how much more should he make one for his Evil Inclination which seduces him from this world and the World to Come!

XII 10-12 ¹⁰ Koheles sought to find words of delight, and words of truth recorded properly. ¹¹ The words of the wise are like goads, and like nails well driven are the sayings of the masters of collections, coming from one Shepherd.

12 Beyond these, my son, beware: the making of

וְכְחֵשְׁמְרוֹת נְטוּעִים — And as nails well-driven [lit. 'planted'.] Just as a well-driven nail is permanent, so are the sayings of the 'masters of collections' (Rashi; Metzudas David).

- So are the words of Torah immutable and inviolate (Alshich).

בעלי אַספּות – Masters of collections [or: 'assemblies'] - These are the disciples of the wise who sit in manifold assemblies and occupy themselves with the Torah, some pronouncing 'clean,' some pronouncing 'unclean', some prohibiting and some permitting . . . should a man say: How, sin view of the contradictory opinions held by scholars | shall I learn Torah? - The verse therefore concludes: נתנו מרעה אחר, coming from Shepherd: One God gave them [i.e. all the various opinions do not emanate from different 'Revelations,' but have their origin in the One Torah, given by the One God]; one leader [i.e. Moses; (as Maharsha explains that the term רעה, Shepherd, is used in the Bible to refer both to God - Gen. 48:15; Psalms 80:2 - and to Moses -Isaiah 63:11)] uttered them from the mouth of the Lord of all creation. Therefore make yourself like a hopper [which is funnel-shaped and more enters than issues from it: i.e. hear all commentaries and interpretations of the Torah; then discern the Truth) and develop a

perceptive heart to understand the words of those who pronounce unclean, and the words of those who pronounce 'clean,' the words of those who prohibit and the words of those who permit . . . (Chagigah 3b).

The word רעה shepherd, is used here in consonance with the metaphor of the shepherd's goad at the beginning of the verse (Ibn Ezra).

The author of Pele Yoetz makes the following comment apropos to בַּעְלֵי אָסְפּוֹת, masters of collections, homiletically referring to those who

prepare anthologies:

How great a service was performed by the eminent Sages of every generation who prepared digests. Were it not for them, Torah would. God forbid, be forgotten. Especially during the most harried times when the average person hasn't the time to study many books - much less the means to acquire a sizable library — it is even more beneficial that they publish anthologized laws, mussar concepts and homiletics. There is no greater service than this for it benefits the very essence of the soul. Such works are obviously of the utmost importance — more than any others - and the authors, 'masters of collections' are to be commended.

12. וּיֹחֵר מֶהַמֶּה בְּנִי הְזָהֵר – Beyond these, my son, beware. The verse is couched in a term of endearment [as

ברק יב הַרְבָּהֹ אֵין בֵּץ וְלַתַג הַרְבָּה יְגִעַת בָּשֵׂר: יג־יד יג סוף דָבָר הַכַּל נִשְׁמָע אֶת־הֵאֱלֹהַיִם יְרָאֹ

יר וְאֶתֹ־מִצְּוֹתָיו שְׁמוֹר בִּי־זֶה בָּלֹּ־הֵאָבֶם. בִּי אֶת־כָּל־מִצְשֶׁה הָאֱלהִים יָבִא בְמִשְׁבָּט עַל כָּל־נִעְלָם אִם־טִוֹב וְאִם־רֵע:

סוף דבר הכל נשמע את־האלהים ירא ואת מצותיו שמור כי־זה כל האדם:

if addressing a pupil] (Metzudas David).

Beyond the 'just words of Truth' mentioned above, 'beware, my son' to heed the words of the wise (Rashi).

In your deeds 'beyond these,' i.e beyond what is required of you in published books (Metzudas David).

קרים הרבה אין קץ — The making of many books is without limit. Let you say 'if it is necessary to obey wise men why are their words not published?' The answer is-'the making of many books is without limit,' committing everything to writing is impossible (Rashi).

Rav Yisroel Salanter, when discussing publishing books would homiletically cite this verse and say: King Solomon cautioned us that not everything that man thinks must he say; not everything he says must he write, but most important not everything that he has written must he publish.

Ibn Ezra relates אש לה הוהר and renders: 'Be careful about making many books without limit' (as in Exodus 19:12: הַשְּמְרוּ לָכֶם עָלוֹת הַדְּמְרוּ לָכֶם עָלוֹת, 'Take heed to yourselves that you do not go up to the mountain.' Ibn Ezra also suggests that חושע could also mean 'acquire' [as in Gen. 12:5] and above 2:8: עָשִרוּת יְשֶׁרוֹת which is in the sense that the Midrash understands it. Thus: 'avoid acquiring books without limit.'

The Midrash interprets this as an allusion against acquiring extracanonical books: 'Beyond these' [מְהָמָה) — read מְהָמָה 'confusion,' because whoever brings into his house more than the twenty-four Books of the Bible — and the Talmud and commentaries which explain Torah — introduces confusion into his house.

Interestingly, the *Targum* seems to interpret this verse in the positive sense: Be cautious to compose wise books without limit, to be engaged in the words of the Torah, and in the sayings of the wise.

קלְהֵג הַרְבּה יְגְעַת בְּשְׁר – And much study is weariness of the flesh. The total accumulation of Torah knowledge is more than man can absorb. If so, you may ask, 'Why indulge in so much wearying effort?' The answer is given in the following verse.

Since reading and memorizing many books is a hardship on most people, therefore, one should use his own common sense to avoid what should be avoided (Metzudas David).

XII 13-14 many books is without limit, and much study is weariness of the flesh.

¹³ The sum of the matter, when all has been considered: Fear God and keep His commandments, for that is man's whole duty. ¹⁴ For God will judge every deed — even everything hidden — whether good or evil.

The sum of the matter, when all is considered: fear God and keep His commandments, for that is man's whole duty.

Similarly, one should always learn in the most concise manner (Alshich).

Man is enjoined in this verse against wasting his time in laboring over any books beside those of the Torah, because they cause unfulfilled weariness and waste man's precious time (Rav Saadiah Gaon).

Time should not be devoted to literature outside of Torah because man exhausts himself in its study; such weariness must be reserved for Torah-study (Midrash; Almosnino).

13. סוף דְּבֶר הַבּל נְשְׁמֶע — The sum of the matter, when all has been considered [lit. 'the end of the thing; all is heard'] i.e. the upshot of all the discourses in this Book (Ibn Latif).

'Now that I have made you aware and you have heard all sides . . .'

(Ibn Ezra).

[The Midrash translates literally: 'the end is a word']: The end of man's conduct is that all proclaim his deeds, saying, so-and-so was right-living; so-and-so was Godfearing.

הַכּל נִשְּמָע — [lit.] All is heard. All has already been heard at Sinai: therefore, 'fear God. . .' (Sforno).

Whatever man does is 'heard' in

Heaven ... therefore do not transgress any of His words (Metzudas David).

Solomon said: Although I have expounded many esoteric and difficult concepts in this Book, nevertheless קבּר, the summation of the Book, הַבּל נְשִׁתָּע, is obvious to all and unquestionable: Fear God with your every limb and organ, for this is all of man (Derech Chaim).

אָת־הָאֱלֹהִים יְרָא וְאָת־מְצְוֹתְיו שְמוֹר Fear God and keep His commandments. 'Fear God' — in your heart; 'and keep His commandments' by deed (Ramban).

Do your utmost [and direct] your heart to Heaven (Rashi).

בְּייוֶה כְּלֹיהָאָרֶם — For that is man's whole duty [lit. 'for this the whole man'] It was for this that all men were created (Rashi).

This is the essence of man (Ibn Ezra); and 'the entire world was created only for such a man' (Shabbos 30b).

14. בְּי אָת־כְּל־מֵעְשָׁה — For every deed: that man does. The pause, according to the accented punctuation is after מֵעְשָה [i.e. the word מַעָשָׁה is part of the beginning of the verse and does not attach to God's Name:

'for every deed,' and not: for every deed of God! (Rashi).

קאַלהים יָבא בְמְשְׁפָּט — Will God judge [lit. 'will God bring in judgment']

על בֶּל־נֶעְלֶם – Even everything hidden – Even unwillful transgressions (Rashi); including those sins of which you are not even aware (Ibn Ezra).

- Even transgressions done in privacy [concealed from your fellow man and hence not punishable by human courts of law] (Ralbag).

This phrase refers to any misrepresentation on the part of the soul that might be hidden from us but is revealed to God (Rav Saadiah Goan).

אם־טוב ואם־רֶע — Whether good or evil i.e. whether the intent was good or evil (Metzudas David).

Whether the deed was good and deserving of reward; or evil and deserving of punishment (Sforno).1

An example of this is one who gives alms to a poor person publicly [an apparently good deed which is repugnant because it embarrasses the recipient.] . . . Rav Yannai once saw a man give a coin to a poor person publicly. He said to him: 'It would have been better had you not given him, than to have given him publicly and put him to shame' (Chagigah 5a).

יבר The sum of the matter [It is customary, during public-readings of Koheles, to repeat verse 13 rather than end with the word שַק, evil in verse 14. We act similarly at the end of Isaiah, Malachi and Lamentations, and thus end these books on a positive note.]

תם ונשלם שבח לאל בורא עולם

מוצשייק פי שופטים תשלייו Brooklyn, NY

1. God rewards measure for measure . . . Trivial sins are weighed in the balance with the same care as the grave ones. Greater sins do not cause lesser ones to be ignored . . . In the same way that God allows no good deed — however insignificant — to go unrewarded, so He allows no evil deed — however trivial — to pass unjudged and unpunished. This should be a warning to those who delude themselves with the thought that God does not take into account matters of seemingly small importance, and does not include them in His reckoning . . .

It follows, therefore, that a man who wants to be perceptive will look for no pretexts to be remiss in the duty of watching his conduct and being meticulous to the last degree. If a man will take these suggestions to heart, he will surely acquire the trait of watchfulness, if he only has the purity of soul and intention (Messilas Yesharim).

King Solomon any composed the Book of Koheles essentially for the purpose of causing one to take to heart the idea that the world is futile and that it should be used for nothing except the service of the blessed Creator. This purpose he expressed in both the introduction and the conclusion. He begins 'Futility of futilities', said Koheles, 'futility of futilities, all is futile', concerning which the Midrash comments: If someone else would have said this, we would have said: 'He probably never had two cents in his life, and that is why he looks at the world as being futile' but Solomon, of whom it is written (Kings 10:27): 'And the king made silver to be in Jerusalem as stones' — Solomon may well say that the world is futile.

Similarly he concludes saying: 'The sum of the matter, all having been considered: Fear God and keep His commandments, for that is man's whole duty.' (Rabbeinu Yonah)

Bibliographyof Authorities Cited in the Commentary

Italics are used to denote the name of a work. **Bold italics** within the biography indicate the specific work of that author cited in the commentary.

An asterisk (*) precedes the names of contemporary figures

Albo, Rav Yosef

Spanish philosopher of the fifteenth century. Author of Sefer ha-likkarim.

Little is known about his life, and the dates of his birth (1380) and death (1444) can only be conjectured. He was a student of Rav Chasdai Crescas, and according to some historians, he was a student also of Rav Nissim Gerondi (The 'Ran').

His most famous work, **Sefer halkkarim**, ('Book of Principles'), is an important treatise on Jewish philosophy and faith. The book is divided into four parts the first of which was originally intended to be an independant work. The other three parts which elaborate upon the first part, were added later. The entire work was completed in Castile in 1425.

The work was first printed in 1485, and having achieved great popularity, has been reprinted many times since.

Alkabetz, Rav Shlomo haLevi:

[b. 1505-Salonica; d. 1576 Safed]
One of the greatest Kabbalists and mystical poets of his day. Author of the Piyyut 'L'cha Dodi' recited every Friday evening. He was a contemporary and friend of Rav Yosef Karo, author of Shulchan Aruch.

He is often cited by early commentators, by whom he is referred to in various ways: 'Rashba haLevi'; 'Rav Shlomo haLevi,' 'Harav ibn Alkabetz halevi.'

He wrote commentaries on most of the Bible, the Passover Hagaddah, on Kabbalah, and was a noted Paytan.

In his Piyyut, 'L'cha Dodi,' he speaks of the sufferings of the Jewish people and their aspirations for Redemption. Probably no other Piyyut has reached the popularity of 'L'cha Dodi'; it is recited every Friday evening by all Jewish congregations throughout the world.

Almosnino, Rav Moshe:

Distinguished Rav and commentator. Born in Salonica, 1516, died in Constantinople about 1580.

His family dwelt originally in Aragon. Rav Moshe's grandparents were burned at the stake during the Inquisition, and his parents escaped and settled in Salonica, where he was born.

He was Rav of the Neve Shalom Spanish community in that city, and later of the Livyas Chen community.

Rav Almosnino was famous for his erudition and knowledge in both Torah and secular matters. In 1565 he represented the Jewish community before their Sultan Selim II petitioning for the confirmation of their civil rights. After six attempts, the Sultan acceded to his request and issued a proclamation guaranteeing equal rights to lews.

He published many works — both in Hebrew and Spanish. Some were published during his lifetime, some posthumously. Many of his works are extant in manuscript form, others have been lost.

Among his works are: Tefilah l'Moshe on Chumash; Pirkei Moshe on Avos; M'ametz Koach, a collection of sermons; Regimento de la Vida (Way of Life) in Spanish. His commentary to the Five Megillos entitled **Yedei Mosh**e was published in 1572.

Alshich, Rav Moshe:

[Also spelled Alshekh]

Rav, Posek and Bible Commentator. Born in Andrionople in 1508; studied Torah there in Yeshiva of Rav Yosef Karo. Settled in Safed where he spent most of his life and was ordained there by Rav Karo with the full Semichah reintroduced by Rav Yaakov Berav. Among his pupils was Rav Chaim Vital, whom he ordained in 1590.

He died in Damascus, where he was travelling, before 1600.

He wrote Commentaries on most of the Bible, and published a collection of 140 of his *halachic* Responsa.

His commentary to Koheles, Devarim Tovim was originally published in 1608. The abridged form of his commentary appearing in many editions of the Bible as "Kitzur Alshich" was edited by Rav Eleazar Tarnigrad, Amsterdam, 1697. Tarnigrad added much original material into this abridgement which he attributed to the Alshich but which cannot be found in the Alshich's commentary.

Alter, Rav Yitzchak Meir

(1789 - 1866)

Gerrer Rebbe; founder of the Gerrer Chassidic dynasty. Rav Yitzchak Meir was a disciple of the Maggid of Koznitz, and later of Rav Simcha Bunem of Pshyscha, and of Rav Menachem Mendel of Kotzk.

After the Kotzker's death in 1859, Rav Yitzchak Meir was acknowledged Rebbe by the majority of Kotzk Chassidim.

His influence was far-reaching. Although his leadership lasted only seven years, he had a formative influence on the development of Chassidus in Poland. Gerrer Chassidus became a powerful element in Orthodox Polish Jewry.

He is most famous for **Chiddushei** haRim, novellae on the Talmud and Shulchan Aruch, and was frequently referred to by the name of his work, "The Chiddushei haRim."

Alter, Rav Yehudah Aryeh Leib:

(1847-1903)

Gerrer Rebbe; known by his work 'Sefas Emes'.

His father, Rav Avraham Mordechai, a great but chronically ill man, died when Yehudah Leib was only 12 years old. His upbringing fell to his grandfather, the illustrious Chidushei haRim. Yehudah Aryeh would study eighteen hours a day as a youth. It became widely known that a fitting successor was being groomed for the Chiddushei haRim.

He was 19 years old when his grandfather died and, despite the pleas of the chassidim, insisted he was unworthy to become Gerrer Rebbe. Several years later, after the death of Rav Henach of Alexandrow, he acceded to their wishes and molded Ger into the largest chassidus in Poland.

A prodigious and diligent scholar, he nevertheless found time to counsel tens of thousands of disciples every year and to become an effective leader in Torah causes. His discourses were distinguished for profundity and originality.

Although he never wrote for publication, his writings were posthumously published as *Sefas Emes*, separate volumes of novellae on Talmud, and chassidic discourses on Torah and festivals.

Altschuller, Rav Yechiel Hillel ben David

Bible commentator of 18th century.

In order to promote the study of the Bible Reb Yechiel Hillel's father, Reb David, planned an easy-to-read commentary of Neviim and Kesuvim (Prophets and Hagiographa) based on earlier commentators.

His commentary to *Psalms, Proverbs* and Job was published before he died in 1753

Rav Yechiel edited his father's remaining manuscripts and completed the missing books himself. By 1780, the entire completed commentary was published. It consisted of two parts: Metzudas Zion which explains individual words; and Metzudas David which provides a running commentary to the text. Due to their simple and concise language, the dual commentaries have become almost indispensable aids in Bible-study. They have attained great popularity and have been reprinted in nearly every edition of the Bible.

Anaf Yosef

see Ray Chanoch Zundel ben Yosef.

Arama, Rav Yitzchak b. Moshe:

(1420-1494)

Spanish Rav, philosopher and preacher. He was Rav of Calatayud where he wrote most of his works. After the expulsion of the Jews from Spain in 1492, he settled in Naples where he died.

He is best known for his book Akeidas Yitzchak, a collection of allegorical commentaries on the Torah. First published in 1522, it has been reprinted many times and has exercised great influence on lewish thought.

Because of this work he is often referred to as the 'Baal Akeidah' ['author of the Akeidah'.]

He also wrote a Commentary on the Five Megillos which was printed together with his Commentary to the Torah in Salonica, 1573.

He wrote Yad Avshalom, a commentary on Proverbs, in memory of his son-inlaw, Avshalom, who died shortly after his marriage.

ARIzal

see Luria, Rav Yitzchak.

Avodah Zarah

Talmudic tractate in Seder Nezikin.

Azulai, Rav Chaim Yosef David:

Known by his Hebrew acronym CHIDA.

Born in Jerusalem in 1724; died in Leghorn in 1806. Halachist, Kabbalist, and bibliographer-historian, he possessed great intellectual powers and manyfaceted talents.

He went abroad as an emissary and he would send large sums of money back to Israel. He ended his mission in 1778 in Leghorn where he spent the rest of his life

His fame as a halachist rests on his glosses to Shulchan Aruch, contained in his Birkei Yosef, a work constantly cited by later authorities.

He was the author of the famous bibliographic work Shem haGedolim. Among his many works was tne homiletical Nachal Eshkol on the Five Megillos.

Baal haTurim:

see Ray Yaakoy ben Asher

Bass, Rav Shabsai ben Yosef

Born in Kalisz 1641; died at Krotoschin, 1718.

His parents were killed 'Al Kiddush Hashem' in the Kalisz massacres of 1655. He went to Prague where he excelled in the Yeshivah there. Possessing a fine voice he served as a member of the choir in the famous Altneuschul in Prague, hence his surname Bass.

Between 1674 and 1679 he travelled through Europe visiting libraries, and became profoundly interested in bibliographic studies. He established a publishing house in Breslau where his books were famous for their beautiful appearance. The first book he published was the then new commentary 'Beis Shmuel' on Even haEzer.

In 1680 he published his own work,

Sifsei Yesharim, a well-arranged bibliographical listing of all books published till his time.

His most famous work is Sifsei Chachamim— a commentary on Rashi's interpretation of Chumash and Five Megillos— where he clarifies with admirable brevity the surface difficulties in Rashi's commentary, and which is an almost indispendable aid toward understanding and appreciating Rashi. It is printed alongside Rashi's commentary in most large editions of the Bible. It has also been condensed and appears in many editions as Ikkar Sifsei Chachamim.

Bava Basra:

Talmudic tractate in Seder Nezikin.

Bava Kamma:

Talmudic tractate in Seder Nezikin.

Berachos:

Talmudic tractate in Seder Zeraim.

Binyan Ariel:

see Rav Shaul ben Aryeh Leib of Amsterdam.

Ray Chanoch Zundel Ben Yosef

(d. 1867).

Rav Chanoch lived in Bialystock, Poland, where he devoted his life to writing commentaries on the Midrash and the Ein Yaakov.

He published two commentaries which appear side-by-side in the large editions of the Midrash Rabba and Ein Yaakov: Eitz Yosef, in which he strives to give the plain meaning of the text; and Anaf Yosef which is largely homiletical.

Rav Chanoch also published a commentary to Pirkei Avos, but his commentaries to Yalkut Shim'oni and the Mechilta are still in manuscript.

Chasman, Ray Yehudah Leib:

(1869-1935)

Born in Lithuania, he studied in Slobodka, Volozhin, and Kelm. He was strongly influenced by three of the Mussar giants of the era: Rav Simcha Zisel Ziev of Kelm; Rav Yitzchak Lazar of St. Petersburg — both of whom were among the foremost disciples of Rav Yisrael Salanter; and Rav Nosson Zvi Finkel of Slobodka.

Rav Chasman held several positions as Rav and lecturer of *Talmud*. He found his place in Shtutzin, Lithuania, where, after assuming the rabbinate in 1909, he established a Yeshivah that grew to 300 students. However, the destruction and dislocation brought about by World War I, destroyed the Torah life of the city.

After the war, Rav Chasman was a vital activist in rebuilding Torah life in the city.

The call to become 'mashgiach' (Spiritual Guide) of the Hebron Yeshivah in Eretz Yisrael, gave him the opportunity to become a seminal figure in the development of the Torah Yishuv.

Ohr Yohel, published poshumously by his students, is a collection of his lectures and writings.

Chidah:

See Azulai, Rav Chaim Yosef David.

Chidushei haRim:

see Alter, Ray Yitzchak Meir.

Derech Hashem:

see Luzatto, Rav Moshe Chaim.

Dessler, Rav Eliyahu Eliezer:

(1891-1954).

One of the outstanding personalities of the Mussar movement. He was born in Homel, Russia.

In 1929 he settled in London. He exercised a profound influence on the teaching of Mussar, not only because of

the profundity of his ideas, but also on account of his personal, ethical conduct.

In 1941 he became director of the Kollel of Gateshead Yeshiva in England.

In 1947, at the invitation of Rav Yosef Kahaneman, he became *Mashgiach* of Ponovez Yeshiva in Bnei Brak, Israel, and there remained until his death.

His teachings reflect a harmonious mixture of Mussar, Kaballah, and Chassidus. Some of his ideas were published by his pupils in *Michtav me-Eliyahu* (3 vols. 1955-64).

Dubna Maggid:

See Kranz, Rav Yaakov,

Eidels, Rav Shmuel Eliezer ben Yehuda haLevi:

1555-1631

(Known as Maharsha — 'Moreinu ha-Ray Shmuel Eliezer'.)

One of the foremost Talmud commentators, whose commetary is included in almost every edition of the Talmud.

Born in Cracow, he moved to Posen in his youth. In 1614 he became Rav of Lublin, and in 1625 of Ostrog, where he founded a large Yeshivah.

Eiger Chumash:

A medieval manuscript found in Prague containing a commentary to the Siddur and a short running commentary to *The Five Megillos*. Published in 1846.

Einhorn, Rav Zev Wolf:

Ray in Vilna, end of 19th century.

Author of *Peirush Maharzu*, comprehensive and well-detailed commentary to *Midrash Rabba* appearing in the Romm edition.

Rav Eliezer b. Yehudah of Worms:

[Heb. Eliezer of Germizah. Also known as Baal haRokeach].

1160-1237.

Scholar in the field of Halachah, Kaballah, and also a paytan (composer of liturgical poems) in medieval Germany. Student of Rav Yehudah haChassid the author of Sefer Chassidim

Rav Eliezer is known primarily for his authoritative halachic work **Sefer Rokeach**, which is quoted extensively in the Shulchan Aruch.

His students were many, among them Rav Yitzchak of Vienna, author of Or Zarua. Among his exegetical works are Shaarei Binah.

Emunos v'De'os

see Rav Saadiah Gaon.

Epstein, Rav Baruch haLevi:

(1860-1940).

Born in Bobruisk, Russia. He received his early education from his father, Rav Yechiel Michel Epstein, author of *Aruch haShulchan*.

Rav Baruch later studied under his uncle, Rav Naftali Zvi Yehudah Berlin [the 'Netziv'].

He was the author of several works, but he is best known for a brilliant commentary to Chumash **Torah T'mimah**, in which he quotes and explains the Halachic and Aggadic passages on the various verses. He also wrote **Gishmei Bracha** on the Five Megillos.

Eshkol haKofer:

See Sava, Rav Avraham ben Yaakov.

Eyebeschuetz, Rav Yonasan:

Ray, and one of the greatest Torah scholars of his generation.

Born in 1690 in Cracow; served as Rosh Yeshivah in Prague. Served as Rav of the 'Three Communities' — Altona, Hamburg and Wandsbek, until his death in 1796.

Rav Yonasan published extensively on Halachah and Bible. His most famous Halachic works were Urim v'Tumim on Choshen Mishpat (1775), and Kreisi U'pleisi on Yoreh De'ah. His homiletic work, Yaaros D'vash, published post-humously, was reprinted many times.

Because of his friendly relations with the government officials, he secured the right to reprint the entire Talmud something which had been prohibited for centuries until that time.

Rav Yonasan's use of amulets sparked off a bitter controversary between him and Rav Yaakov Emden, repurcussions of which lasted even after Rav Yonasan's death. All who knew Rav Yonasan vouched for his righteousness, scholarship and sincerity.

Galanti, Rav Moshe ben

16th century Talmudist and Kabbalist. Rav Moshe was the brother of Rav Avraham Galanti (see bibliog. to ArtScroll ed. of Fichah.)

Rav Moshe received Semichah from his teacher, Rav Yosef Caro, author of the Shulchan Aruch. His teacher in Kaballah was Rav Moshe Cordovero.

From 1580 he served as Head of the Rabbinical Court in Safed.

He lived to over 90 and died after 1612.

He is the author of several halachic and esoteric works, including **Koheles Yaakov**, a partly homiletic and partly kabbalistic work on Koheles (Safed, 1578).

Galico, Rav Elisha ben Gavriel:

Prominent Talmudist and Kabbalist of the early 16th century.

Rav Elisha was a student of Rav Yosef Caro, author of the *Shulchan Aruch*, and was a member of his Rabbinical Court in Safed.

Rav Elisha was the teacher of Rav Shmuel de Uzeda, author of Iggeres Shmuel and Lechem Dim'ah (see bibliog. in ArtScroll ed. of Ruth and Eichah).

Rav Elisha's halachic responsa are quoted in Knesses haGedolah. He

published a commentary to all Five Megillos. His **Commentary to Koheles** was published in Venice, 1578.

Gans, Rav David.

(1541-1616).

Chronicler and mathematician.

Rav David was a student of the RAMA (Rav Moshe Isserles) and the MAHARAL of Prague (Rav Yehudah Loew), where he mastered his Talmudic studies.

He spent most of his life in Prague where he wrote many works, most of which have been lost.

Encouraged by the RAMA, Rav David published the historical work for which he is most famous: **Tzemach David**. The book is in two parts: one part deals with Jewish history; the other with general history.

This work has become a standard reference work for later chroniclers.

Gishmei Brachah:

see Epstein, Rav Baruch halevi.

Heilprin, Rav Yechiel b. Shlomo:

(1660-1746).

Lithuanian Ray, Kabbalist and historian.

He was a descendant of RASHAL (Rav Shlomo Luria), and traced his ancestry back through Rashi to the Tanna, Rav Yochanan haSandlar.

He was Rav and Rosh Yeshivah at Minsk, where he studied Kabbalah and published several works.

He is most known for his **Seder ha-Doros**, a history from Creation down to his own time.

He based his work on Sefer haYuchsin of Rav Avraham Zacuto; Shalsheles haKaballah of Rav Gedaliah ibn Yachya; and Tzemach David of Rav David Gans, as well as on an abundance of Talmudic and Midrashic references.

Hirsch, Rav Shamshon Raphael:

(1808-1888).

The father of modern German Orthodoxy. He was a fiery leader, brilliant writer, and profound educator. His greatness as a Talmudic scholar was obsured by his other monumental accomplishments. After becoming chief Rabbi and member of Parliament in Bohemia and Moravia, he left to revitalize Torah Judaism in Frankfortam-Main which he transformed into a Torah hastion

His best known works are the classic sixvolume Commentary on Chumash noted for its profound and brilliant philosophical approach to Biblical commentary; his Commentary to Psalms, and Horeb, a philosophical analysis of the mitzyos.

Ibn Ezra, Rav Avraham:

(Born 1089 in Toledo; died 1164).

Famous poet, philosopher, grammarian, astronomer — and above all — Biblical commentator. He also wrote a Commentary on the Five Megillos

In all his Bible commentaries he strived for the plain, literal meaning of the verse. His aim was to explain the etymology of difficult words within their grammatical context. Next to Rashi, his commentary on the Torah is most widely studied, and appears in almost all large editions of the Bible.

In France, he met Rav Yaakov Tam ['Rabbeinu Tam'] — grandson of Rashi, and a deep friendship between the two followed.

According to some, he married the daughter of Rav Yehudah haLevi, and had five sons.

Legend has it that he once met the Rambam and dedicated a poem to him on the day of his death.

Ibn Janach, Rav Yonah

Born in Cordova, c 985; died in Saragossa, first half of 11th century.

One of the foremost 'Baalei Dikduk' (grammarians and philologists) of the early middle ages. Little is known of his

He published one of the first Biblical grammar books and dictionaries, the earliest to have come down to us in its entirety. It was originally written in Arabic and later translated into Hebrew by Rav Yehudah Ibn Tibbon. It is divided into two parts: Sefer haRikmah; and Sefer haShorashim.

He is often quoted by later Bible commentators and Hebraists such as: Ibn Ezra; Ibn Daud; Kimchi; Mizrachi; Rambam

ne notable exception is Rashi who seems to have been unacquainted with his work (or who may have chosen not to quote him.)

Ibn Janach was also a physician and he published several treatises on medicine, which have been lost.

Ibn Yachya, Rav Yosef.

Bible commentator; member of the famous Ibn Yachya family of which many scholars were descendants.

He was born in Florence, Italy in 1494, his parents having fled to that country from Portugal.

He relates in his preface to his *Torah Or* that in her first month of pregnancy with him, his mother, under threat of being ravaged, had thrown herself off a roof in Pisa in order to preserve her modesty, and she was miraculously saved.

She then fled to Florence where he was born.

His Conimentary to the Five Megillos, was published in Bologna, 1538. Two of his other works: Derech Chaim and Ner Mitzvah were consigned to flames at the burning of the Talmud in Padua in 1554.

Ray Yosef had three sons, one of whom was Gedaliah, the author of Shalsheles haKabbalah.

Rav Yosef died in 1534. Ten years after his death his remains were brought to Eretz Yisrael. Rav Yosef Caro arranged for his burial in Safed.

Kara, Rav Yosef

French Bible commentator, c1060-1130. [not to be confused with Rav Yosef Caro, 15 century author of Shulchan Aruch].

Ray Yosef was the student of his illustrious uncle, Ray Menachem Chelbo, whom he often cites in his commentary.

Rav Yosef resided in Troyes, the same city in which Rashi lived, and he frequented Rashi's house, where he made the acquaintance of Rashi's grandson, Rashbam.

Rav Yosef wrote a commentary on Torah — based upon Rashi's commentary which he enlarged and expanded upon. He also added glosses to Rashi's commentary which Rashi himself agreed with and later incorporated into his own manuscript.

Ray Yosef also wrote an independent commentary to most of Tanach, including **The Five Megillos**.

In his commentaries he followed the general style of Rashi but was not as brief. Sometimes whole sentences are translated into French. He cares more for the sense of the whole sentence than for the grammatical dissection of a single word. Although he prefers peshat, the simple meaning of the text, he does not altogether hold aloof from haggadic interpretation — which he held was an adornment of the text and was necessary to 'render Torah great and glorious.'

Kedushas Levi:

See Rav Levi Yitzchak of Berditchev

Kimchi, Rav David:

French grammarian and commentator; known by his acronym 'RADAK'.

Born in Narbonne, 1160; died there in 1235.

His father, Rav Yosef, also a grammarian, died when Rav David was a child, and he studied under his brother, Rav Moshe, who had also published several volumes on grammar.

Radak's commentary on Prophets is profound, and is included in most large editions of the Bible.

Many have applied to him the saying from *Pirkei Avos*: 'Without *kemach* ['flour' i.e. 'Kimchi'] there is no Torah; such was his great influence.

His main work was the *Michlol*, the second edition of which came to be known independently as the *Sefer ha-Shorashim* (not to be confused with a work by the same name by Ibn Janach).

In his commentary, he stressed the derech ha'peshat, the plain sense, wherever possible, striving for clarity and readibility, rather than the compression and obscurity of some of his contemporary commentators.

Kiflayim l'Sushiyah:

see Landau, Rav Yitzchak Eliyahu ben Shmuel

Koheles Yaakov:

see Galanti, Rav Moshe ben Mordechai.

Kol Yaakov:

See Kranz, Rav Yaakov.

Kranz, Rav Yaakov:

(1741-1804).

Known as the 'Dubna Maggid.'

Born near Vilna; Rav Yaakov demonstrated his skill as a preacher at an early age, and was barely 20 years old when he became darshan in his city. He later became darshan in several cities, but he achieved his fame as preacher in Dubna where he served for 18 years.

He came into frequent contact with the Vilna Gaon, who, it is said, enjoyed his homiletical interpretations, stories, and parables.

The Dubna Maggid's works were printed posthumously by his son Yitzchak, and his pupil Baer Flahm. Among these works were: Ohel Yaakov on Chumash; Kol Yaakov on the Five Megillos; Commentary on the Passover Haggadah; and Mishlei Yaakov, a collection of his parables.

Landau, Rav Yitzchak Eliyahu ben Shmuel:

(1801-1876)

Lithuanian Rav, Maggid, and Bible commentator.

Little is known of him. He was born in Vilna; married the daughter of the wealthy and well-known Tzadok Marshalkovitch of Dubna and was thus relieved of financial cares. He spent most of his life compiling his books and sermons using the method of Rav Yaakov Kranz, the Dubna Maggid.

In 1868 he became the Dayyan of Vilna, a position he held until his death.

He published commentaries to many books of the Bible. Well-known is his commentary Mashal U'Melitzah, in which he explains the verses by using parables; and his exegetical commentary — printed alongside the Mashal U'Melitzah — entitled Kiflayim I'Sushiyah, in which he translates difficult words and provides a running commentary, profoundly clearing up surface difficulties.

He also published commentaries to the Tanna debe Eliyahu; to Mechilta; Pas'shegen haDas on Torah; Acharis I'Shalom on the Pesach Haggadah; Simlah Chadashah on the Machzor; and several volumes of Talmudic commentaries.

Rav Levi ben Gershom:

(Known by his acronym RALBAG; also known as Gersonides).

Born in Bangols, France in 1288; died 1344.

One of the most important Bible commentators of his time, he was also a mathematician, astronomer, philosopher and physician.

He wrote commentaries to The Torah; Job, the Five Megillos, Former Prophets, Proverbs, Daniel, and Nechemiah.

His commentary to *Koheles* was written in 1328.

His Bible comments show the work of a profound philosopher; and he has a great skill in analyzing a text and extracting the ethical and religious teachings inherent in them.

His commentary to Job was one of the first books printed in Hebrew (Ferrara, 1477).

Rav Levi Yitzchak of Berditchev:

(1740-1810)

Chassidic Tzaddik and Rebbe. One of the most famous personalities of the third generation of the Chassidic movement

He was born into a distinguished rabbinic family to his father, Rav Meir, who was Rav in Hoshakov, Galicia. It is said that at the moment of Rav Levi Yitzchak's birth the Baal Shem Tov remarked that a great soul had just descended from heaven which is destined to be the greatest intercessor of the Jewish people.

He was drawn to Chassidus by Rav Shmelke of Nicholsburg, and he became one of the foremost disciples of Rav Dov Ber, the Maggid of Mezeritch.

He succeeded Rav Shmelke in Richwal, and later became Rav in Zelechov, and was thus known as the 'Rebbe of Zelechov' by many of his Chassidim.

He ultimately moved to Berditchev in 1785, and served as Rebbe there until his death. His fame grew throughout the world as a Torah scholar and Tzaddik.

Under him, Berditchev became a great Chassidic center and many — including

great Torah Sages — flocked to consult with him.

His great love for every Jewish soul — always seeking the good side of every Jew, never the bad — permeated his very essence and he became the subject of many legends.

In his writings he notes that 'only he who admonishes Jewish people gently, elevates their souls and always extols them righteously, is worthy of being their leader.'

Although he did not found a dynasty, he had many pupils and left an indelible mark on Chassidim.

His most famous work is *Kedushas Levi*— a commentary on the Torah and some holidays. It was published during his lifetime in 1798. An expanded edition—supplemented by his sons from manuscript— was published posthumously in 1811.

Lorberbaum, Rav Yaakov ben Yaakov Moshe of Lissa:

(Polish Rav and Posek. Known as the 'Lissa Rav'; or 'Baal Nesivos').

(1760-1832).

He was the great-grandson of 'Chacham Zvi.' His father, Rav Yaakov Moshe, died before Yaakov was born, and his relative Rav Yosef Teomim brought him up.

He studied under Rav Meshullam Igra, and later became Rav in Kalish where he wrote most of his works.

After he published — at first anonymously — his Chavas Da'as on Yoreh De'ah, and Nesivos haMishpat on Choshen Mishpat, he became acknowledged as an outstanding posek.

His contemporaries said of him that his learning was so pure and 'lish' mah,' that his halachic decisions were as acceptable and unquestionable as if 'from Moshe at Sinai.'

Together with Rav Akiva Eiger and the Chasam Sofer he vehernently attacked

and opposed the Maskilim and Reformers.

In 1809 he responded to an invitation to become Ray in Lissa where he enlarged the Yeshivah to an enrollment of hundreds of students

In 1822 he left Lissa due to community strife, and returned to Kalish where he spent his time publishing many more of his books.

Among his writings were Imrei Yosher, a comprehensive commentary on the Five Megillos, each published separately under different names. His profound commentary to Koheles, entitled Taalumos Chachmah appears in most large editions of the Bible.

Luria, Ray David:

(1798-1855; Known as RADAL).

Lithuanian Rav and posek. Student of Rav Shaul Katzenellenbogen of Vilna.

After the death of his mentor, the Vilna Gaon, Radal was considered one of the Torah leaders of his generation. His scholarly writings embrace almost all of Torah literature. Among his works is his commentary to the Midrash, *Chidushei Radal*, printed in the Romm edition of the *Midrash Rabba*.

Luria, Rav Yitzchak:

(Known as ARIzal [from the initials of haEloki Rabbi Yitzchak, zichrono livrachah)).

The fountainhead of modern kab-balistic thought.

Born in Jerusalem, 1534, to his father, Rav Shlomo Luria, a relative of Maharshal.

While still a child, he lost his father. His mother moved the family to Egypt, and he was brought up by his wealthy uncle Rav Mordechai Francis in Cairo.

He studied at the Yeshivah of Rav David

ben Zimra (Radvaz) who was his teacher, par excellence in Torah and kaballah. He was a student / comrade of Rav Betzalel Ashkenazi (author of Shittah Mekubetzes) who himself was a student of Radvaz

ARIzal was beloved by his uncle, and at the age of fifteen, was given his cousin in marriage. He was thus enabled to continue his studies undisturbed.

His holiness manifested itself at an early age and students flocked to him, extolling his virtues and saintly qualities.

In 1570, at the age of 36, he moved to Eretz Yisrael and settled is Safed where he formed a circle of *kabbalists* among whom were Rav Moshe Cordovero (Ramak); Rav Shlomo Alkabetz; Rav Yosef Caro; Rav Moshe Alshich; Rav Yosef Chagiz.

His circle widened and his influence grew greatly. He was regarded by all who knew him as a profound Tzaddik who had the power to perform miracles

He entrusted his kabbalistic teachings to his disciple Rav Chaim Vital, who, according to ARIzal possessed a soul which had not been soiled by Adam's sin.

After the ARIzal's death in 1572, Rav Chaim Vital collected notes which the ARIzal's students had made of their master's teachings, and published them. Among the works so published were: Eitz Chaim; Hadras Melech; Marpei Nefesh; Tikkunei Shabbos; Commentary to Zohar Chadash; and Shulchan Aruch of the ARIzal incorporating his halachic customs. These customs are quoted extensively by later Halachic authorities, and his influence is immense.

ARIzal revealed many of the sepulchres of Sages whose locations had been forgotten until his time. He is also credited with composing the Sabbath Zemiros: Azamer Bish'vachin, Asader liSeudasa, and Bnei Haichala.

He died in Safed, 1572, at the young age of 38.

Luzatto, Rav Moshe Chaim

(1707-1746)

Kabbalist; author of Mussar ethical works; and poet.

Born in Padua, Italy, Rav Moshe Chaim was regarded as a genius from childhood, having mastered *T'nach, Midrash* and *Talmud* at an early age. He later went on to delve into Kabbalistic and ethical studies

He is most famous for his profound ethical treatise, *Mesilas Yesharim* ('The Path of the Upright') which has, alongside the *Chovos haLevavos* of Rav Bachya ibn Paquda and *Shaarei Teshuvah* of Rabbeinu Yonah, became the standard ethical-Mussar work.

Among his Kabbalistic works were: Razin Genizin, Megillas Sesarim; Maamar haGeulah: Derech Hashem.

In 1743, he emigrated to Eretz Yisrael. He lived a short time in Acre, and died there, with his family, in a plague.

Maharal:

see Ray Yehudah Loewe ben Bezalel.

Maharam Rothenburg:

see Rav Meir b. Baruch of Rothenburg.

Maharsha:

see Eidels, Rav Shmuel Eliezer ben Yehudah hal evi

Mashal Umelitzah:

Collection of homiletic interpretations on the Torah by Rav Avraham Naftali Galanti. Published in New York City during the last generation. (Not to be confused with the Bible commentary of the same name by Rav Yitzchak Eliyahu Landau.)

Matanos Kehunah:

see Ray Yissachar Berman haKohen.

Me'am Loez:

A contemporary commentary by Rav Shmuel Yerushalmi a noted Israeli Torah scholar, following the style of the 18th century homiletical bible commentary in Ladino; the outstanding work of Judeo-Spanish literature. A commentary of encyclopedic nature begun by Rav Yaakov Culi (1685-1732), of whom the Chidah in Shem haGedolim speaks in the most superlative of adjectives. No work designed to instruct the Jewish masses ever proved so popular. Rav Yaakov Culi died in 1732 having published only Breishis. He left many unfinished manuscripts and his work was completed by others.

A Hebrew translation of the entire work -with many new additions, and entitled *Yalkut Me'am Loez* was undertaken by Rav Shmuel Yerushalmi in 1967. Koheles was published in 1975.

Megillah

[as differentiated from 'Megillas Esther' — the Biblical Book of Esther.]

Talmudic tractate in Seder Mo'ed.

Megilas Sesarim:

See Luzatto, Rav Moshe Chaim.

Rav Meir ben Baruch of Rothenburg:

German Tosafist and Posek.

Known by his acronym Maharam Rothenburg. Maharam was born in Worms 1215 to a family of illustrious Torah scholars.

During his early years he was taught by his father.

One of his first teachers were Rav Yitzchak ben Moshe of Vienna (author of Or Zarua) and Rav Shmuel of Wurzburg. Maharam also studied at French Yeshivos under the eminent Rav Yechiel of Paris.

On his return to Germany, he quickly gathered around him a band of devoted pupils who were eager to study under so brilliant a teacher.

He officiated as Rav in the following communities: Kostnitz, Augsburg, Wurzburg, Rothenburg (where he spent the major part of his life), Worms, Nuremberg and Mayence.

He was recognized by his Ashkenazi contemporaries as the Torah giant of the generation and his halachic decisions were accepted as authoratative.

As a result of constant persecution, many German Jews emigrated abroad to Eretz Yisrael at the time. In 1286, Maharam was leading such a group when he was seized and thrown into prison in the fortress of Ensisheim, Alsace.

The King demanded an exhorbitant ransom for his release. The Jewish community was ready to raise the sum and liberate their leader, but Maharam himself prevented any such high sum being paid for his liberation lest the government use this as a precedent for imprisoning important men to extort ransoms. He therefore put the community welfare over his own and heroically spent the next seven years in prison — until his death in 1293.

He submitted to his fate in the thought that it was the will of God whose ways are always just. Even in prison he was occupied solely with studying and teaching. Several of his students were allowed to visit him there. It was there that his pupil Rav Shimshon ben Zadok compiled his 'Tashbetz.' He wrote, or revised a large part of his works; and his responsa now took the place of oral instruction.

He was a voluminous writer. He wrote Tosafos to various tractates of the Talmud; published various halacha codifications, and extensive amount of responsa and novellae.

Maharam had many distinguished disciples. Among them: Rav Asher ben Yechiel ('Rosh'), Rav Mordechai ben Hillel (The 'Mordechai'); Rav Yitzchak Madura ('Shaarei Dura').

Even after his death there was no peace. The King would not release his body, and his remains lay unburied for fourteen years until an exorbitant ransom was paid by a wealthy man, Reb Alexander Wimpan, whose only request was that when he dies he be buried alongside Maharam. His request was fulfilled.

Mendlowitz, Rav Shraga Feivel:

(1886-1948).

Born and educated in Austria-Hungary, Rav Mendlowitz became principal and teacher in the Scranton Pa. Talmud Torah. In 1921 he came to Brooklyn, where he became principal of the then small Yeshivah Torah Vodaath. During his tenure, he built it into one of the world's leading Torah centers. With the holocaust, he launched a new effort to train great Torah scholars to replace those lost in the War.

Rav Mendlowitz was a visionary who brought many dreams to reality. He pioneered such concepts as *Kollel* by founding Beth Medrosh Elyon, summer learning camps by founding Camp Mesivta, and the Day School Movement through Torah Umesorah.

Totally unselfish, he was instrumental in helping establish many of the country's great Yeshivos. He was widely respected for his willingness to work for other institutions even when his own was in need.

His philosophy was that Torah, not any individual institution, is the main responsibility of every Jew. Though he was ill and often in pain for the last twenty years of his life, his efforts were uninterrupted until his death.

A charismatic and inspiring teacher, his memory continues to be a beacon to hundreds of his students.

Mesillas Yesharim:

see Luzatto, Ray Moshe Chaim.

Michtav me-Elivahu:

see Dessler, Rav Eliyahu Eliezer.

Michlol:

see Kimchi, Rav David.

Midrash:

see Midrash Rabbah

Midrash Lekach Tov:

Midrash on various Books of the Bible attributed to *Rav Toviah ben Eliezer* 11th century. The work has achieved great popularity and is quoted by many *Rishonim* such as Rabbenu Tam, *Rambam*, Baal halttur, *Or Zaruah*, *Shibolei haLeket*. Ibn Ezra also refers to it in his commentary.

This Midrash has been published at separate times on the various books of the Bible as the manuscripts have been re-discovered. Koheles was published together with Eichah in 1908.

Midrash Rabbah:

[Lit. 'The Great Midrash'].

The oldest Amoraic classical Midrash on the Five Books of the Bible and the Megillos.

[Note: Throughout the commentary of this Book, whenever 'Midrash' alone is shown as the source, the reference is to Midrash Koheles Rabba]

Midrash Zuta.

Also called Koheles Zuta ('Minor Koheles'). This Midrash was probably compiled before the 10th century. It is quoted by the author of Midrash Lekach Tov which was written in the 11th century.

It was published by Buber from a Parma manuscript in 1894.

Miller, Rav Avigdor:

Contemporary Rav, noted lecturer and author. A major force on the American Orthodox scene. Rav in Brooklyn, New York. Author of Rejoice O Youth!; Sing You Righteous; Torah Nation; Behold A People.

Minchas Shay:

see Rav Yedidiah Shlomo of Norzi.

Moed Katan

Talmudic tractate in Seder Moed.

Ray Moshe ben Maimon:

Known by his acronym: RAMBAM; Maimonides.

(1135-1204).

One of the most illustrious figures in Judaism in the post-Talmudic era, and among the greatest of all time. He was a rabbinic authority, codifier, philosopher, and royal physician. According to some, he was a descendant of Rav Yehudah haNasi.

Born in Cordoba; Moved to Eretz Yisrael and then to Fostat, the old city of Cairo, Egypt.

At the age of 23 he began his Commentary on the Mishnah, which he wrote during his wanderings. His main work was Mishneh-Torah Yad-haChazakah, his codification of the spectrum of Halachah until his day. This was the only book he wrote in Hebrew, all his other works having been written in Arabic, a fact he is said to have regretted later in life.

He is also known for his profound and philosophic *Moreh Nevuchim ('Guide for the Perplexed')*, and for his many works in the field of medicine, hygiene, astronomy, etc.

Truly it may be said 'from Moshe to Moshe there arose none like Moshe.'

Ray Moshe ben Nachman:

Known by his acronym: RAMBAN; Nachmanides.

(1194-1270)

One of the leading Torah scholars and authors of Talmudic literature during the generation following Rambam; also a renowned philosopher, biblical commentator, poet and physician.

Born in Gerona to a famous rabbinic family, he is sometimes referred to, after his native town, as Rabbenu Moshe Gerondi. He spent most of his life in Gerona, supporting himself as a physician. He exercised extensive influence

over Jewish life. Even King James I consulted him on occasion.

Already at the age of 16 he had published works on Talmud and Halachah.

Among his works were: Milchemes Hashem, in defense of the Rif against the 'hasagos' (disputations) of Rav Zerachiah haLevi in his Sefer haMaor; Sefer haZechus, in response to the 'hasagos' of the Ravad on the Rif; Sefer haMitzvos; Iggeres haRamban; Iggeres haKodesh; and his profound and encyclopedic Commentary on the Torah, which is printed in all large editions of the Bible.

In 1263 he was coerced by King James I into holding a public disputation with the apostate Pablo Christiani which resulted in a victory for the Ramban, but which aroused the anger of the church and resulted in his forced exile from Spain just in time to escape the death penalty. He then emigrated to Eretz Yisrael. In 1268 he became Rav in Acco, successor to Rav Yechiel of Paris.

He died in 1270; his burial site has not been definitely ascertained.

Nachal Eshkol:

see Azulai, Rav Chaim Yosef David.

Nachmanides:

see Rav Moshe ben Nachman [Ramban].

Nedarim:

Talmudic tractate in Seder Nashim.

Niddah:

Talmudic tractate in Seder Tohoros.

Ohr Yohel:

See Chasman, Rav Yehudah Leib.

Pesikta Rabbasi:

Ancient Midrash; a collection of discourses dating from the first half of the first century, C.E. Divided in *Piskas* [sections] and containing discourses on the holidays, festivals and special Sab-

baths. Several piskas are devoted to Tishah b'Av, the Destruction, and ultimate Comfort and Restoration.

Pirkei d'Rahhi Fliezer:

Ancient aggadic work attributed to the first century *Tanna*, *Rabbi Eliezer* ben Hyrcanos.

Poras Yosef:

see Taitatzak, Rav Yosef.

Pri Tzaddik:

See next entry.

Rabinowitz. Rav Tzadok haKohen:

(1823-1900)

Born in Kreisburg, Latvia, young Tzadok attracted attention as a phenomenal genius. Orphaned at the age of six, he was raised by his uncle near Bialystock. Such was the child's reputation, that Rav Yitzchak Elchanan Spektor of Kovno made a point of testing him when he happened to be near by.He prophesied that 'the boy will light a great torch of knowledge in Israel.

In later years, Rav Tzadok lived in Lublin where he became acquainted with Rav Leibele Eiger, a disciple of Rav Mordechai Yosef of Izbica. Rav Tzadok became their disciple, and, with their passing, became Rebbe of the Chassidim of Izbica. He became known far and wide as the 'Kohen of Lublin'. The breadth and depth of his thought were astonishing. Many considered him the greatest Torah scholar in all of Poland.

Pri Tzaddik, is a collection of his discourses on the weekly portion, and festivals. He was a very prolific writer. Although much of his works have been published, he left many unpublished manuscripts that were destroyed during World War II.

Among his other works are Responsa Tiferes Zvi; Meishiv Tzaddik; and Resisei Layla.

Radak:

see Kimchi, Rav David.

Ralbag:

see Ray Levi ben Gershom:

Rambam:

see Rav Moshe ben Maimon.

Ramban:

See Rav Moshe ben Nachman.

Rashba haLevi:

see Alkabetz, Rav Shlomo haLevi.

Rashbam:

see Rav Shmuel ben Meir.

Rashi:

see Rav Shlomo ben Yitzchak.

Resisei Layla:

see Rabinowitz, Rav Tzadok haKohen.

Rottenberg, Rav Shlomo:

Noted contemporary teacher, lecturer and historian. His monumental series, *Toldas Am Olam*, unifies all Talmudical Midrashic sources on the history from the latter period of the First Temple.

Ray Saadiah (ben Yosef) Gaon

(892-942).

Rosh Yeshivah of Sura, and one of the most important figures of the illustrious Gaonic period.

Rav Saadiah was made Gaon by the Resh Gelusa (Exilarch) David ben Zakkai in 928, and the ancient acacemy in Sura, founded by Rav, then entered upon a new period of brilliancy.

He was a sage in every sphere of Torah knowledge, had a full grasp of the secular knowledge of his time, and was a dynamic leader, fighting a valiant battle against the growing influence of Karaism.

He published in many areas: Halachah, responsa, philosophy, grammar, but most of his works are lost or scattered among the genizas, waiting to be published.

Among the most important of Saadiah's works to have come down to us are his translation of and partial Commentary to the Bible, which was the first translation of the Bible from Hebrew into Arabic, and has remained the standard Bible for Arabic-speaking Jews; his Siddur, the first systematic compilation of prayers for the whole year; Sefer haAgron, on grammar; and his profound Sefer Emunos v'De'os, (Book of Belief and Doctrines), originally written in Arabic and translated in Hebrew by Ray Yehudah Ibn Tibbon. This major philosophic work is the earliest such work to have survived intact.

There is hardly a figure after him who does not pay generous and laudatory tribute to his pioneering work: the philologist Rav Menachem ben Yaakov (often quoted by Rashi); Ibn Janach; Ibn Ezra, all praise him in the greatest of superlatives.

Even Rambam who disagreed with Rav Saadiah on many fundamental points, states in his Iggeres Teiman: 'were it not for Rav Saadiah, the Torah would have well-nigh disappeared in the midst of Israel.'

Saba, Rav Avraham ben Yaakov.

15-16th Century Kabbalist, Bible commentator and Darshan.

Rav Avraham was among those expelled from Spain in 1492. He moved to Portugal where he wrote his commentary Eshkol haKofer to the Chumash, the Five Megillos, and Pirkei Avos.

In his youth, many of his works were lost, and he was forced to rewrite them later in life from memory.

His commentary to the Chumash was entitled Tzror haMor.

According to the Shem haGedolim, he died on board a ship on Erev Yom Kippur 1508.

Sanhedrin:

Talmudic tractate in Seder Nezikin.

Seder haDoros:

see Heilprin, Rav Yechiel b. Shlomo.

Seder Olam:

Early Midrashic-chronological work. Seder Olam is mentioned in the Talmud (Shab. 88a; Yev. 82b et al.) and is ascribed to the Tanna Rav Yose ben Chalafta.

Sefas Emes:

See Alter, Rav Yehudah Aryeh Leib.

Sefer Chassidim:

see Rav Yehudah haChassid.

Sefer halkkarim:

see Albo, Rav Yosef.

Sforno, Rav Ovadiah.

(1470-1550).

One of the greatest Italian commentators and literary figures of the Renaissance period.

Little is known of Ovadiah's youth, except that he excelled in Torah studies and at an early age his halachic opinions were sought by many. His decisions are quoted by Rav Meir Katzenellenbogen (Maharam Padua) where he is referred to with great esteem.

Sforno studied medicine which profession he followed.

He finally settled in Bologna where he was a major force in organizing the religious life of the town and established a bais medrash which he headed to his death.

Sforno's great fame, however, rests with his Commentary to the most of the Bible, in which he tries to explain the text literally, usually regarding the verse as a complete entity rather than philologically dissecting it. He usually avoids esoteric or kabbalistic interpretations. His commentary to the Chumash was edited by his brother Chumash edited by his brother Channel after his death. The Commentary to Koheles was first published in Venice in 1567.

Shabbos:

Talmudic tractate in Seder Moed.

Shaar Bas Rabim:

Scholarly and erudite anthology of commentaries on the Torah and Megillos by Rav Chaim Aryeh Leib Yedvavnah; late 19th century.

Shaarei Binah:

see Ray Eleazar ben Yehudah of Worms.

Rav Shaul ben Aryeh Leib of Amsterdam:

Born 1717 in Risha; died in Amsterdam, 1790.

Member of famous rabbinical family.

Served as Rav in many important cities, and upon the death of his father he replaced him as Rav of the prestigious Ashkenazi community of Amsterdam, where he served until his death.

He published many works on Bible, Talmud and Halachah, most famous of which was Binyan Ariel.

When the Chidah visited Amsterdam, he stayed at the home of Rav Shaul and was so awed by his erudition and righteousness, that he praised him most flourishingly in his Shem haGedolim.

Shem haGedolim:

see Azulai, Ray Chaim Yosef David.

Ray Shlomo ben Yitzchok:

(Known by his acronym RASHI)

Leading commentator on the Bible and Talmud.

He was born in Troyes, France in 1040 the year in which Rabbeinu Gershom M'or haGolah died. According to tradition, Rashi's ancestry goes back to Rav Yochanan haSandlar and to King David.

The summit of Rashi's commentaries was his commentary on the Talmud — an encyclopaedic and brilliant undertaking. Nothing can be compared to the impact this commentary has had upon all who study the Talmud. Rashi's com-

mentary has opened to all what otherwise would have been a sealed book. Without his commentary, no one would dare navigate the 'Sea of Talmud.' Every word is precise and laden with inner meaning. Rashi's corrections of the Talmud text were, for the most part, introduced into the standard editions and became the accepted text.

Rashi's Commentary to the Bible, too, made a similar impact — and virtually every printed Bible contains his commentary which is distinguished by its conciseness and clarity.

Many Halachic works from the 'School of Rashi' have come down to us: Sefer haOrah; Sefer haPardes; Machzor Vitry; Siddur Rashi; and responsa.

Rashi died on Tammuz 29, 1105. His burial place is not known.

Rav Shmuel ben Meir

(Known by his acronym RASHBAM).

Bible and Talmud commentator and Tosafist. Born in Northern France in 1080 to Rav Meir one of the first Tosafists and disciple of Rashi, whose daughter Yocheved Rav Meir Married.

Thus, a grandson of Rashi, he was the also the brother of the prominent Rabbeinu Tam, and a colleague of Rav Yosef Kara.

Rashbam studied under his father, but later was most influenced by his grandfather. They spent much time together in legal and exegetical discussions. In many instances it is noted that Rashi accepted his grandson's opinion in exegesis.

Rashbam lived a simple life. He would always pray that he might be privileged to perceive the Truth and to love peace.

He is most famous for his commentary to the Bible which is characterized by his extreme devotion to 'pshat'. He constantly refers to 'the profound literal meaning of the text. In many ways he considered his commentary as complementing that of Rashi; in many cases his commentary is exactly identical with his grandfather's.

He was also known as a Talmudic commentator. His commentary to portions of Pesachim and Bava Bassa where Rashi did not manage to complete his own commentary, were annexed to Rashi's.

Sotah:

Talmudic tractate in Seder Nashim.

Taalumos Chachmah:

see Lorberbaum, Rav Yaakov ben Yaakov Moshe of Lissa.

Taanis:

Talmudic Tractate in Seder Moed.

Taitatzak, Rav Yosef:

c.1487-c1545.

Talmudist and kabbalist of 16th century Salonika. He was the teacher of such eminent disciples as Rav Shmuel Modina (Maharshdam); Rav Yitzchak Adarbi ('Divrei Rivos'); Rav Shlomo Alkahetz

A renowned kabbalist, Rav Yosef may be regarded as one of the founders of the kabbalistic circle founded by his disciples in Safed. He spent over 18 hours a day immersed in Torah study, and it is related that he never slept in a bed for forty years apart from Shabbos. He was once addressed by Rav Yosef Caro in a halachic inquiry as 'the light and holy one of Israel, crown of the Diaspora,'

He published a profound commentary to Koheles: **Poras Yosef.**

Targum:

The ancient, authoritative translation of the Bible into Aramaic.

Teitelbaum, Ray Moshe:

(1759-1841). Founder of the Sigheter dynasty. A pupil of the Chozeh of Lublin. A renowned Tzaddik, he was among the first to spread Chassidus in the Northern and Central districts of Hungary in his capacity as Rav of Vjhely. Author of *Yismach Moshe*, considered one of the classic works of Chassidus.

Toldos Am Olam:

See *Rottenberg, Rav Shlomo.

Torah T'mimah

See Epstein, Rav Baruch haLevi.

Tuy Taam

Commentary to the Five Megillos by Rav Yeshayah Hammer; Cracow, 1897.

Tzemach David

See Gans, Rav David.

Rav Yaakov ben Asher

(1270-1340)

Posek and codifier: 'Baal haTurim'.

Son of Rav Asher ben Yechiel (the 'ROSH') under whom he studied. He was born in Germany, and in 1303 he accompanied his father to Toledo, where he lived in great poverty, and devoted his life to Torah.

Rav Yaakov's enduring fame rests on his encyclopedic Halachic codification Arbaah Turim, which is the forerunner of our Shulchan Aruch today, and as a result of which he is referred to as the "Baal haTurim."

The arrangement and wealth of content made it a basic work in halachah and it was disseminated greatly through the Jewish world. It became so widely accepted, that when Rav Yosef Caro wrote his major work, Bais Yosef, , he decided to base it upon the Turim "because it contains most of its views of the Poskim"

Rav Yaakov also wrote a comprehensive commentary on the Chumash anthologizing the literal explanations (p'shat) by earlier Bible commentators. To the beginning of each section he added "as a little appetizer, gemmatrios and explanations of the Masorah, in order to attract the mind." Ironically the whole work was printed only twice. It was just these "appetizers" that were popularly published alongside most editions of the Bible under the title Ba'al HaTurim.

Among Rav Yaakov's students was Rav David Abudarham.

According to Shem haGedolim Rav Yaakov died en route to Eretz Yisrael.

Yalkut Shimoni

The best known and most comprehensive Midrashic anthology covering the entire Bible.

It is attributed to Rav Shimon ha-Darshan of Frankfort who lived in the 13th century.

The author collected Midrashim from more than 50 works, arranging them into more than 10,000 statements of Aggadah Halachah according to the verses of the Bible.

Ray Yedidiah Shlomo of Norzi

Ray and Commentator.

Born in Mantua 1560; died in 1626. Became Ray in Mantua in 1585.

Rav Yedidiah consecrated the greater part of his life to studying the Masorah of the Bible — and by studying every previously printed Masorah text, comparing the various readings scattered through Talmudic and Midrashic literature, as well as in published and unpublished manuscripts.

The resulting work was entitled Geder Poretz, but was published under the name Minchas Shai.

This work, which was as perfect as thorough learning and conscientious industry could make it, has become the most accepted work in establishing the Masorah. The Minchas Shai is printed in the back of all large Bibles.

Rav Yehudah (ben Shmuel) haChassid:

(c.1150-1217).

One of the main teachers of the 'Chassidei Ashkenaz' and one of the most profound ethical teachers to have lived.

Author-editor of **Sefer Chassidim**, a profound ethical / halachic treatise which has come down to us in two separate editions. The book has

achieved great popularity and was reprinted many times.

Rav Yehudah's father, Shmuel, was a renowned Rosh Yeshiva in Speyer, and Rav Yehudah studied under him. His saintly life was revered by all.

Among his illustrious students were: Rav Eliezer of Worms (Baal Rokeach); Rav Yitzchak of Vienna (Or Zarua).

His contemporaries said of him: 'Had he lived in the time of the prophets, he would have been a prohet; in the time of the Tannaim, he would have been a Tanna; in the time of the Amoraim, an Amora ...'

Rav Yehudah Loewe ben Bezalel.

Known as the MAHARAL of Prague.

One of the seminal figures in the last 500 years of Jewish thought, Rav Yehudah was born c. 1512 and died in Prague in 1609. His genealogy can be traced to King David.

Although he was universally acknowledged as one of the rabbinic greats of the era, his life was not an easy one. He delayed his marriage for 20 years due to financial difficulties. He was Chief Rabbi of Moravia, residing in Nikolsburg, for 20 years. Then, in 1573, he transferred his yeshiva to Prague, the Torah metropolis of Europe. Upon two different occasions, he accepted the rabbinate of Posen in order to settle communal strife.

He was elected Chief Rabbi of Prague in 1597 as a very old man. It appears that the position had been denied him up to then because of his outspokenness in attacking social evils and religious laxity.

Though commonly known as a folk hero and miracle worker, his greatest contribution was his formulation of a self-contained system of Jewish thought. His many books and lengthy sermons formed the basis for much of the significant writing of succeeding centuries.

Among his many erudite works were: Novellae on Shulchan Aruch Yoreh Deah; Gur Aryeh on the Torah; Be'er haGolah on the Passover Hagaddah; Derech Chaim; Netzach Yisrael; Nesivos Olam, etc. Many of his works are extant and were recently republished in an 18-volume set: Sifrei Maharal.

Yerushalmi, Peah

Tractate Peah in the Jerusalem Talmud.

Yevamos

Talmudic tractate in Seder Nashim.

Yismach Moshe:

see Teitelbaum, Rav Moshe.

Ray Yissachar Berman haKohen

Known as Berman Ashkenazi.

16-17th Century commentator on the Midrash.

Very little is known about him except that he was born in Sczebrzesyn, Poland, and that he was a student of the Rama (Ray Moshe Isserles).

He is the author of the famous commentary to the Midrash Rabba, *Matanos Kehuna*, first published in 1584, and appearing subsequently in nearly every edition of the Midrash.

Rav Yissachar makes it very clear in his introduction that he was very concerned with establishing the correct text for the *Midrashim*, basing his text upon all the various printed editions up to his time and on various manuscripts.

Yoma

Talmudic tractate in Seder Moed.

Rabbeinu Yonah of Gerona:

Spanish Ray and Moralist of the thirteenth century.

Rabbeinu Yonah was a cousin of

Ramban (Nachmanides). He was one of the most prominent students of Rav Shmuel ben Avrahom of Montpellier ("Min haHar").

Rabbeinu Yonah was one of the people who banned the Rambam's (Maimonides) Moreh Nevuchim out of fear that philosophical influences — rampant at the time — would cause untold harm to the religiosity of the people. But when he saw that this anti-Rambam controversy was getting out of hand — and even resulted in the public burning of the Talmud in the same place where the philosophical writings of Rambam had been destroyed - Rabbeinu Yonah publicly admitted that he was wrong in all his acts against the works of Rambam. In his repentance he vowed to travel to Eretz Yisrael and prostrate himself over the grave of the great teacher and implore his pardon in the presence of ten men for seven consecutive days.

He left France with that intention, but was detained — and died before he was able to fulfill his plan, in 1263.

Rabbeinu Yonah wrote many works, among them commentaries on portions of Tanach; commentary of Avos; Chiddushim on several tractates of the Talmud; and his famous Mussar works, later reprinted: Iggeres haTeshuva; Shaarei Teshuvah and Sefer haYirah.

Rabbeinu Yonah established Yeshivos. Among his most prominent pupils were Rav Shlomo Adret (Rashba).

He stayed in close contact with his cousin, Ramban, and Ramban's daughter married Rabbeinu Yonah's son. When Rabbeinu Yonah died, his daughter was pregnant. When she gave birth to a son, Ramban told her to name the child Yonah so that he will assuredly excell in Torah and piety.